

ISA 540 (Revised) Post-Implementation Survey

Response ID:146 Data

3. Section II: About the Respondent

1. Please provide the following information about your organization (if applicable) and other contact information:

Organization (or leave blank if you are completing the survey in your personal capacity) : The Malaysian Institute of Certified Public Accountants

Name(s) of contact(s) for this submission : Paruindran Balakrishnan

Job title or role : Head of Department - Technical

E-mail address(es) of contact(s) : paruindran@micpa.com.my

2. Please select from the following options the stakeholder group to which you or your organization belongs:

Professional Accountancy or Professional Organization (PAOs)

3. Please select from the following options the geographical region that best matches you or your organization:

Asia Pacific

4. Did you undertake outreach activities with other stakeholders outside your organization to inform your responses to this survey?

No

5. You are welcome to provide any additional information you believe may be relevant to clarify the context in which you are completing the survey, or the circumstances or experiences that have informed your views or perspectives (or you can choose to leave this question blank).

4. Section III: Overall Questions

6. Please provide overall views about whether ISA 540 (Revised) has achieved its intended purpose and the objectives of the IAASB in developing the revised standard.

We are of view that ISA 540 (Revised) has generally met its objectives by enhancing audit quality in relation to accounting estimates and related disclosures. The revised standard provides a robust guidance and emphasizes the importance of professional skepticism, risk assessment, and the evaluation of audit evidence.

7. Are you aware of the non-authoritative guidance and support tools developed to support the implementation of ISA 540 (Revised)?

Yes

7A. Do you agree that the non-authoritative guidance and support tools developed to support the implementation of ISA 540 (Revised) are useful?

3 – Agree

Comments: The non-authoritative guidance, including illustrative examples, flowcharts, and presentations helps to clarify requirements, provides practical implementation guidance and facilitates a better understanding of the standard.

5. Section IV: Perceived Benefits from ISA 540 (Revised)

8. For each perceived benefit, indicate the extent to which you agree that ISA 540 (Revised) has led to benefits or improvements.

	4 – Strongly Agree	3 – Agree	2 – Disagree	1 – Strongly Disagree	0 – No Response
(a) Enhanced exercise of professional skepticism when auditing accounting estimates.	X				
(b) Greater consideration of the skills and competencies of the engagement team, including the need to involve specialists or experts.	X				
(c) Greater attention to understanding management's process for making estimates, including the selection of methods, assumptions and data (and the consistency of selection between periods).		X			
(d) Better or more focused assessments of the risks of material misstatements for accounting estimates.		X			
(e) More focus on understanding and testing controls related to accounting estimates.	X				
(f) Increased auditor attention to disclosures related to accounting estimates, including disclosures about estimation uncertainty.		X			
(g) Enhanced interactions and communications between the auditor and management and those charged with governance related to the audit of accounting estimates.	X				
(h) Enhanced quality of financial reporting related to accounting estimates.		X			

9. Are there any additional benefits or positive outcomes arising from the implementation of ISA 540 (Revised) that you would like to highlight?

Yes

Please specify:

Improved awareness among management about the importance of robust estimation processes, which has indirectly strengthened internal controls in some engagements.

6. Section V: Potential Issues or Challenges Relating to ISA 540 (Revised)

10. ISA 540 (Revised) was designed to be capable of being applied to all accounting estimates, and for the nature, timing and extent of the risk assessment and further audit procedures to be varied in relation to the estimation uncertainty and the assessment of the risks of material misstatement. Indicate the extent to which you have observed or are aware of any issues or challenges related to scalability and proportionality.

2 – Minor Issues or Challenges

11. Briefly describe these or any other issues or challenges you observed related to scalability and proportionality.

While the standard is designed to be applied to audits of all sizes, the documentation and procedural requirements can feel burdensome for low-risk or smaller engagements. Although the standard does not explicitly mandate excessive documentation, firms often adopt comprehensive templates to mitigate inspection risk. This results in:

- (i) mechanical documentation of inherent risk factors
- (ii) formalised standback assessments
- (iii) detailed linkage of risk assessment to procedures, even where estimation uncertainty is low

12. In your view, are the issues or challenges due to a lack of clarity or insufficiency of the requirements or application material in the standard?

No

13. What specific suggestions do you have for how the IAASB can best address the issues or challenges you have

identified (e.g., standard-setting, non-authoritative guidance, or other actions)?

IAASB can provide non-authoritative guidance or illustrative examples demonstrating how the requirements of ISA 540 (Revised) can be applied in a proportionate manner for low-risk or routine accounting estimates.

For example, depreciation is an accounting estimate that is typically straightforward and based on stable assumptions. In such cases, applying the full extent of procedures may not be necessary where estimation uncertainty and risk of material misstatement are low.

7. Section V: Potential Issues or Challenges Relating to ISA 540 (Revised) (continued)

14. Indicate the extent to which you have observed or are aware of the following issues or challenges relating to ISA 540 (Revised) with respect the auditor exercising professional skepticism when auditing accounting estimates and related disclosures.

	4 – Significant Issues or Challenges	3 – Moderate Issues or Challenges	2 – Minor Issues or Challenges	1 – No Issues or Challenges	0 – No Response
(a) Appropriately challenging management's judgments used in making accounting estimates, particularly when they involve complex or subjective inputs.				X	
(b) Identifying and appropriately responding to potential indicators of management bias in the development of accounting estimates.				X	
(c) Appropriately considering all relevant audit evidence obtained, whether corroborative or contradictory.				X	

15. Briefly describe these or any other issues or challenges you observed related to exercising professional skepticism.

No significant issues were observed in relation to professional skepticism.

16. In your view, are the observed issues or challenges due to a lack of clarity or insufficiency of the requirements or application material in the standard?

17. What specific suggestions do you have for how the IAASB can best address the issues or challenges you have identified (e.g., standard-setting, non-authoritative guidance, or other actions)?

Not applicable

8. Section V: Potential Issues or Challenges Relating to ISA 540 (Revised) (continued)

18. Indicate the extent to which you have observed the following issues or challenges in applying ISA 540 (Revised) with respect to *risk assessment procedures and identifying and assessing the risks of material misstatement related to accounting estimates*.

	4 – Significant Issues or Challenges	3 – Moderate Issues or Challenges	2 – Minor Issues or Challenges	1 – No Issues or Challenges	0 – No Response
(a) The consideration of inherent risk factors and the interrelationship between them in identifying and assessing risks of material misstatement related to accounting estimates.				X	
(b) How the understanding of the entity and its environment, and the entity's internal control for accounting estimates, relates to the understanding required by ISA 315 (Revised 2019) (i.e., whether it is clear that the requirements in paragraph 13 of ISA 540 (Revised) relate specifically to accounting estimates and build on the broader requirements in ISA 315 (Revised 2019)).		X			
(c) Reviewing the outcome of previous accounting estimates or, where applicable, their subsequent re-estimation (i.e., the retrospective review of accounting estimates).		X			
(d) Understanding management's process for making accounting estimates, including how management identifies and selects relevant methods, assumptions and data, and how management understands and addresses estimation uncertainty.				X	
(e) The separate assessments of inherent and control risk relating to an accounting estimate.				X	
(f) Whether any of the assessed risks of material misstatement are significant risks.				X	

18A. Provide additional input, if any, about any issues or challenges regarding the interaction of ISA 540 (Revised) with ISA 315 (Revised 2019) in relation to risk assessment procedures and the identification and assessment of risks of material misstatement for accounting estimates.

The interaction between ISA 540 (Revised) and ISA 315 (Revised 2019) presents practical challenges, as the boundary between the general risk assessment requirements of ISA 315 and the estimate focused requirements of ISA 540 is not always clearly articulated. This lack of clarity can result in uncertainty over the extent to which inherent risk factors should be evaluated, how internal controls should be incorporated into the audit of accounting estimates, and how the spectrum of inherent risk in ISA 540 should be consistently aligned with ISA 315's risk assessment framework.

As a result, in practice, auditors may experience overlap and duplication when performing and documenting risk assessment procedures for accounting estimates.

18B. Indicate the extent to which you agree with the following statements with respect to *reviewing the outcome of previous accounting estimates or, where applicable, their subsequent re-estimation*.

	4 – Strongly Agree	3 – Agree	2 – Disagree	1 – Strongly Disagree	0 – No Response
(a) The retrospective review process is difficult due to changes in economic conditions or assumptions that make prior-period estimates less comparable.		X			
(b) The time and effort required to perform a retrospective review outweigh the perceived benefits for audit risk assessment.		X			

19. Briefly describe these or any other issues or challenges you observed related to risk assessment procedures and whether such issues or challenges relate primarily to estimates that have a high degree of estimation uncertainty or complexity.

Retrospective review of accounting estimates can be challenging due to changes in economic conditions and assumptions may limit comparability with actual outcomes. The process can also be time-consuming, especially for accounting estimates with high uncertainty and complexity.

20. In your view, are the observed issues or challenges due to a lack of clarity or insufficiency of the requirements or application material in the standard?

Difficult to Determine

21. What specific suggestions do you have for how the IAASB can best address the issues or challenges you have identified (e.g., standard-setting, non-authoritative guidance, or other actions)?

Providing non-authoritative guidance, such as practical examples and case studies, to illustrate the application of retrospective reviews, particularly in volatile economic environments. Additionally, guidance on how the risk assessment requirements of ISA 540 (Revised) build on ISA 315 (Revised 2019) could help reduce overlap and duplication in documentation and procedures.

9. Section V: Potential Issues or Challenges Relating to ISA 540 (Revised) (continued)

22. Indicate the extent to which you have observed the following issues or challenges in applying ISA 540 (Revised) with respect to *responding to the assessed risks of material misstatement*

	4 – Significant Issues or Challenges	3 – Moderate Issues or Challenges	2 – Minor Issues or Challenges	1 – No Issues or Challenges	0 – No Response
Responses to the Assessed Risks of Material Misstatement					
(a) Determining the most appropriate response(s) to the assessed risks of material misstatement at the assertion				X	
(b) Determining whether to test the operating effectiveness of controls related to an accounting estimate.				X	
(c) Appropriately applying the testing approach of obtaining audit evidence about events occurring up to the date of the auditor's report, either alone or with another testing approach(es).				X	
(d) Testing how management made the accounting estimate.				X	
(e) Developing an auditor's point estimate or range.				X	
Overall Evaluation					
(f) Determining whether the accounting estimates and related disclosures are reasonable in the context of the applicable financial reporting framework, or are misstated.				X	
(g) Evaluating identified misstatements related to accounting estimates, including when the audit evidence supports a point estimate that differs from management's point estimate or a range that does not include management's point estimate, or when the auditor's range is wide (in some cases, multiples of materiality for the financial statements as a whole).				X	

23. Briefly describe these or any other issues or challenges you observed related to responding to risks of material misstatement and whether such issues or challenges relate primarily to estimates that have a high degree of estimation uncertainty or complexity.

Not applicable

24. In your view, are the observed issues or challenges due to a lack of clarity or insufficiency of the requirements or application material in the standard?

25. What specific suggestions do you have for how the IAASB can best address the issues or challenges you have identified (e.g., standard-setting, non-authoritative guidance, or other actions)?

Not applicable

10. Section V: Potential Issues or Challenges Relating to ISA 540 (Revised) (continued)

26. Indicate the extent to which you have observed the following issues or challenges in applying ISA 540 (Revised) with respect to *communication, specialized skills or knowledge, including using the work of an auditor's expert, and documentation*.

	4 – Significant Issues or Challenges	3 – Moderate Issues or Challenges	2 – Minor Issues or Challenges	1 – No Issues or Challenges	0 – No Response
Communication (a) Determining the matters, if any, to communicate related to accounting estimates, (including about significant qualitative aspects of the entity's accounting practices and significant deficiencies in internal control).				X	
Specialized Skills or Knowledge, Including Using the Work of an Auditor's Expert (b) Determining when it is appropriate to involve an auditor's expert(s) (an internal or external expert) and using the work of an auditor's expert(s) in auditing an accounting estimate(s).				X	
Documentation (c) Preparing appropriate audit documentation in accordance with the requirements in paragraph 39 of ISA 540 (Revised).				X	

27. Briefly describe these or any other issues or challenges you encountered or observed related to communications, specialized skills or knowledge (including using the work of an auditor's expert) and documentation.

Not applicable

28. In your view, are the observed issues or challenges due to a lack of clarity or insufficiency of the requirements or application material in the standard?

29. What specific suggestions do you have for how the IAASB can best address the issues or challenges you have identified (e.g., standard-setting, non-authoritative guidance, or other actions)?

Not applicable