

ISA 540 (Revised) Post-Implementation Survey

Response ID:274 Data

3. Section II: About the Respondent

1. Please provide the following information about your organization (if applicable) and other contact information:

Organization (or leave blank if you are completing the survey in your personal capacity) : Institute of Chartered Accountants Ghana

Name(s) of contact(s) for this submission : Ofori Frimpong Henneh

Job title or role : Technical Manager

E-mail address(es) of contact(s) : ofori.henneh@icagh.com

2. Please select from the following options the stakeholder group to which you or your organization belongs:

Professional Accountancy or Professional Organization (PAOs)

3. Please select from the following options the geographical region that best matches you or your organization:

Africa

4. Did you undertake outreach activities with other stakeholders outside your organization to inform your responses to this survey?

Yes

4A. Please provide further information about your outreach activities, including:

The manner in which the outreach was undertaken. : audit monitoring reviews and training

The nature and number (or estimate thereof) of stakeholders with whom you engaged. : in-person, 349

5. You are welcome to provide any additional information you believe may be relevant to clarify the context in which you are completing the survey, or the circumstances or experiences that have informed your views or perspectives (or you can choose to leave this question blank).

4. Section III: Overall Questions

6. Please provide overall views about whether ISA 540 (Revised) has achieved its intended purpose and the objectives of the IAASB in developing the revised standard.

Yes, the Revised standard ISA 540 has largely achieved its objectives and intended purposes in assessing variables relating to estimates like depreciation, revenues, etc. The bigger firms are using the standard effectively, however, smaller firms are unable to apply the standard as it should be.

7. Are you aware of the non-authoritative guidance and support tools developed to support the implementation of ISA 540 (Revised)?

Yes

7A. Do you agree that the non-authoritative guidance and support tools developed to support the implementation of ISA 540 (Revised) are useful?

4 – Strongly Agree

Comments: they help to effectively understand how to interpret and apply the standard

5. Section IV: Perceived Benefits from ISA 540 (Revised)

8. For each perceived benefit, indicate the extent to which you agree that ISA 540 (Revised) has led to benefits or improvements.

	4 – Strongly Agree	3 – Agree	2 – Disagree	1 – Strongly Disagree	0 – No Response
(a) Enhanced exercise of professional skepticism when auditing accounting estimates.	X				
(b) Greater consideration of the skills and competencies of the engagement team, including the need to involve specialists or experts.	X				
(c) Greater attention to understanding management's process for making estimates, including the selection of methods, assumptions and data (and the consistency of selection between periods).	X				
(d) Better or more focused assessments of the risks of material misstatements for accounting estimates.	X				
(e) More focus on understanding and testing controls related to accounting estimates.	X				
(f) Increased auditor attention to disclosures related to accounting estimates, including disclosures about estimation uncertainty.	X				
(g) Enhanced interactions and communications between the auditor and management and those charged with governance related to the audit of accounting estimates.	X				
(h) Enhanced quality of financial reporting related to accounting estimates.	X				

9. Are there any additional benefits or positive outcomes arising from the implementation of ISA 540 (Revised) that you would like to highlight?

Yes

Please specify:

ISA 540 (Revised) has provided a basis of comparison and consistency for all firms, irrespective of size, nature, or jurisdiction to apply the same standard relating to the same or similar item or situation. this enhances comparison of financial statements by investors

6. Section V: Potential Issues or Challenges Relating to ISA 540 (Revised)

10. ISA 540 (Revised) was designed to be capable of being applied to all accounting estimates, and for the nature, timing and extent of the risk assessment and further audit procedures to be varied in relation to the estimation uncertainty and the assessment of the risks of material misstatement. Indicate the extent to which you have observed or are aware of any issues or challenges related to scalability and proportionality.

3 – Moderate Issues or Challenges

11. Briefly describe these or any other issues or challenges you observed related to scalability and proportionality.

the smaller firms, particularly in developing countries do not have enough resources, in terms of personnel, expertise and financial to undertake estimations for complex transactions like what the bigger firms could do. The cause a situation where estimates done by management or those charge with governance, in relation to transactions, would not be independently verified by auditors

12. In your view, are the issues or challenges due to a lack of clarity or insufficiency of the requirements or application material in the standard?

No

13. What specific suggestions do you have for how the IAASB can best address the issues or challenges you have identified (e.g., standard-setting, non-authoritative guidance, or other actions)?

7. Section V: Potential Issues or Challenges Relating to ISA 540 (Revised) (continued)

14. Indicate the extent to which you have observed or are aware of the following issues or challenges relating to ISA 540 (Revised) with respect the auditor exercising professional skepticism when auditing accounting estimates and related disclosures.

	4 – Significant Issues or Challenges	3 – Moderate Issues or Challenges	2 – Minor Issues or Challenges	1 – No Issues or Challenges	0 – No Response
(a) Appropriately challenging management's judgments used in making accounting estimates, particularly when they involve complex or subjective inputs.			X		
(b) Identifying and appropriately responding to potential indicators of management bias in the development of accounting estimates.		X			
(c) Appropriately considering all relevant audit evidence obtained, whether corroborative or contradictory.			X		

15. Briefly describe these or any other issues or challenges you observed related to exercising professional skepticism.

Management may use estimates to manipulate financial statements to achieve their personal objectives especially where their remuneration and other incentives are based on performance. This would lead to creative accounting and misrepresentation of information that could create skepticism for auditors. The may play around the requirements of ISA 540 (Revised) to achieve their personal interests as against other stakeholders

16. In your view, are the observed issues or challenges due to a lack of clarity or insufficiency of the requirements or application material in the standard?

Yes

17. What specific suggestions do you have for how the IAASB can best address the issues or challenges you have identified (e.g., standard-setting, non-authoritative guidance, or other actions)?

Due to the fact that the Standard allows for alternative methods in some situations, management may at times adopt a method that suits their personal interest which may not align with the overall interest of the organization. We suggest that IAASB should give specific or strict approach to be adopted in situations that may create potential conflict and create scenarios for management tending to use easy way out for their benefits

8. Section V: Potential Issues or Challenges Relating to ISA 540 (Revised) (continued)

18. Indicate the extent to which you have observed the following issues or challenges in applying ISA 540 (Revised) with respect to *risk assessment procedures and identifying and assessing the risks of material misstatement related to accounting estimates*.

	4 – Significant Issues or Challenges	3 – Moderate Issues or Challenges	2 – Minor Issues or Challenges	1 – No Issues or Challenges	0 – No Response
(a) The consideration of inherent risk factors and the interrelationship between them in identifying and assessing risks of material misstatement related to accounting estimates.		X			
(b) How the understanding of the entity and its environment, and the entity's internal control for accounting estimates, relates to the understanding required by ISA 315 (Revised 2019) (i.e., whether it is clear that the requirements in paragraph 13 of ISA 540 (Revised) relate specifically to accounting estimates and build on the broader requirements in ISA 315 (Revised 2019)).		X			
(c) Reviewing the outcome of previous accounting estimates or, where applicable, their subsequent re-estimation (i.e., the retrospective review of accounting estimates).		X			
(d) Understanding management's process for making accounting estimates, including how management identifies and selects relevant methods, assumptions and data, and how management understands and addresses estimation uncertainty.		X			
(e) The separate assessments of inherent and control risk relating to an accounting estimate.	X				
(f) Whether any of the assessed risks of material misstatement are significant risks.	X				

18A. Provide additional input, if any, about any issues or challenges regarding the interaction of ISA 540 (Revised) with ISA 315 (Revised 2019) in relation to risk assessment procedures and the identification and assessment of risks of material misstatement for accounting estimates.

Industry generic risks should be identified in the understanding of the entity and its environment and how such risks could be identified and their potential effects identified. The two standards should be reconciled to address specific and generic risks affecting each industry and how such risk can be identified, assessed, classified and estimated

18B. Indicate the extent to which you agree with the following statements with respect to *reviewing the outcome of previous accounting estimates or, where applicable, their subsequent re-estimation*.

	4 – Strongly Agree	3 – Agree	2 – Disagree	1 – Strongly Disagree	0 – No Response
(a) The retrospective review process is difficult due to changes in economic conditions or assumptions that make prior-period estimates less comparable.	X				
(b) The time and effort required to perform a retrospective review outweigh the perceived benefits for audit risk assessment.	X				

19. Briefly describe these or any other issues or challenges you observed related to risk assessment procedures and whether such issues or challenges relate primarily to estimates that have a high degree of estimation uncertainty or complexity.

Where events or situations which are not frequent occurs, the company may not have developed any procedure to address them, Such situations create adhoc measures and procedures which may not be the best to address the situation. This also

create a complex situation to apply any effective estimation to give a fair presentation of information and data

20. In your view, are the observed issues or challenges due to a lack of clarity or insufficiency of the requirements or application material in the standard?

Yes

21. What specific suggestions do you have for how the IAASB can best address the issues or challenges you have identified (e.g., standard-setting, non-authoritative guidance, or other actions)?

The standard should indicate how issues of infrequent nature or unnatural occurs, how they should be treated and measured and what appropriate estimation method or procedure should be applied.

9. Section V: Potential Issues or Challenges Relating to ISA 540 (Revised) (continued)

22. Indicate the extent to which you have observed the following issues or challenges in applying ISA 540 (Revised) with respect to *responding to the assessed risks of material misstatement*

	4 – Significant Issues or Challenges	3 – Moderate Issues or Challenges	2 – Minor Issues or Challenges	1 – No Issues or Challenges	0 – No Response
Responses to the Assessed Risks of Material Misstatement					
(a) Determining the most appropriate response(s) to the assessed risks of material misstatement at the assertion	X				
(b) Determining whether to test the operating effectiveness of controls related to an accounting estimate.		X			
(c) Appropriately applying the testing approach of obtaining audit evidence about events occurring up to the date of the auditor's report, either alone or with another testing approach(es).		X			
(d) Testing how management made the accounting estimate.		X			
(e) Developing an auditor's point estimate or range.	X				
Overall Evaluation					
(f) Determining whether the accounting estimates and related disclosures are reasonable in the context of the applicable financial reporting framework, or are misstated.		X			
(g) Evaluating identified misstatements related to accounting estimates, including when the audit evidence supports a point estimate that differs from management's point estimate or a range that does not include management's point estimate, or when the auditor's range is wide (in some cases, multiples of materiality for the financial statements as a whole).	X				

22A. Indicate the extent to which you have observed the following issues or challenges in applying ISA 540 (Revised) with respect to *testing how management made the accounting estimates*.

	4 – Significant Issues or Challenges	3 – Moderate Issues or Challenges	2 – Minor Issues or Challenges	1 – No Issues or Challenges	0 – No Response
(a) Testing management's selection of the method, significant assumptions, and data used, including whether management's judgments give rise to indicators of possible management bias.		X			
(b) Understanding management's application of the method involving complex modelling and testing complex models, including the integrity and completeness of the data used.		X			
(c) Whether management has taken appropriate steps to understand and address estimation uncertainty, including the selection of an appropriate point estimate and developing related disclosures about estimation uncertainty.		X			

22B. Indicate the extent to which you have observed the following issues or challenges in applying ISA 540 (Revised) with respect to *developing a point estimate or range*.

	4 – Significant Issues or Challenges	3 – Moderate Issues or Challenges	2 – Minor Issues or Challenges	1 – No Issues or Challenges	0 – No Response
(a) Determining when it may be appropriate to develop an auditor's point estimate or range.	X				
(b) Determining that the auditor's range includes only amounts that are supported by sufficient appropriate audit evidence and have been evaluated to be reasonable in the context of the measurement objectives and other requirements of the applicable financial reporting framework.	X				
(c) Maintaining objectivity and independence, for example, when material adjustments are made to management's point estimate based on the auditor's point estimate developed using a model or assumptions that were different from management's models and assumptions.	X				

23. Briefly describe these or any other issues or challenges you observed related to responding to risks of material misstatement and whether such issues or challenges relate primarily to estimates that have a high degree of estimation uncertainty or complexity.

The nature of items or transactions, the volume of transactions, size of the organisation, and the complexity of transaction all affect the risk of material misstatement. These risks are compounded for smaller firms with little resources to measure, determine, assess, and estimate the impact of these factors on the performance of the organisation. So estimates that have a high degree of estimation uncertainty or complexity create a situation that makes risk of material misstatements very high and particularly for smaller firms

24. In your view, are the observed issues or challenges due to a lack of clarity or insufficiency of the requirements or application material in the standard?

No

25. What specific suggestions do you have for how the IAASB can best address the issues or challenges you have identified (e.g., standard-setting, non-authoritative guidance, or other actions)?

10. Section V: Potential Issues or Challenges Relating to ISA 540 (Revised) (continued)

26. Indicate the extent to which you have observed the following issues or challenges in applying ISA 540 (Revised) with respect to *communication, specialized skills or knowledge, including using the work of an auditor's expert, and documentation*.

	4 – Significant Issues or Challenges	3 – Moderate Issues or Challenges	2 – Minor Issues or Challenges	1 – No Issues or Challenges	0 – No Response
Communication (a) Determining the matters, if any, to communicate related to accounting estimates, (including about significant qualitative aspects of the entity's accounting practices and significant deficiencies in internal control).		X			
Specialized Skills or Knowledge, Including Using the Work of an Auditor's Expert (b) Determining when it is appropriate to involve an auditor's expert(s) (an internal or external expert) and using the work of an auditor's expert(s) in auditing an accounting estimate(s).	X				
Documentation (c) Preparing appropriate audit documentation in accordance with the requirements in paragraph 39 of ISA 540 (Revised).	X				

27. Briefly describe these or any other issues or challenges you encountered or observed related to communications, specialized skills or knowledge (including using the work of an auditor's expert) and documentation.

At times, identifying the appropriate levels of management to communicate to to get the right responses is a challenge. The standard should help in identifying the right or appropriate level to communicate and the timing, manner, and nature of reporting and what to communicate. Identifying the right expertise to engage to dealing with complex transactions or situations, particularly those involving different disciplines or knowledge area becomes a significant risk issue. These may affect the results that the auditor may get if he does not engage appropriately.

28. In your view, are the observed issues or challenges due to a lack of clarity or insufficiency of the requirements or application material in the standard?

No

29. What specific suggestions do you have for how the IAASB can best address the issues or challenges you have identified (e.g., standard-setting, non-authoritative guidance, or other actions)?

ISA 540 (Revised) Post-Implementation Survey

Response ID:275 Data

3. Section II: About the Respondent

1. Please provide the following information about your organization (if applicable) and other contact information:

Organization (or leave blank if you are completing the survey in your personal capacity) : Institute of Chartered Accountants, Ghana

Name(s) of contact(s) for this submission : Newman Owiredu Darkwa

Job title or role : Deputy Manager

E-mail address(es) of contact(s) : newman.darkwa@icagh.com

2. Please select from the following options the stakeholder group to which you or your organization belongs:

Professional Accountancy or Professional Organization (PAOs)

3. Please select from the following options the geographical region that best matches you or your organization:

Africa

4. Did you undertake outreach activities with other stakeholders outside your organization to inform your responses to this survey?

Yes

4A. Please provide further information about your outreach activities, including:

The manner in which the outreach was undertaken. : monitoring reviews of all audit firms in Ghana

The nature and number (or estimate thereof) of stakeholders with whom you engaged. : In person. 349 audit firms

5. You are welcome to provide any additional information you believe may be relevant to clarify the context in which you are completing the survey, or the circumstances or experiences that have informed your views or perspectives (or you can choose to leave this question blank).

4. Section III: Overall Questions

6. Please provide overall views about whether ISA 540 (Revised) has achieved its intended purpose and the objectives of the IAASB in developing the revised standard.

ISA 540 (Revised) has achieved its objectives. In Ghana, the globally represented audit firms have implemented the standard in detail. Small and medium firms (SMEs) have not fully implemented it due to a lack of requisite training for their staff and capacity.

7. Are you aware of the non-authoritative guidance and support tools developed to support the implementation of ISA 540 (Revised)?

Yes

7A. Do you agree that the non-authoritative guidance and support tools developed to support the implementation of ISA 540 (Revised) are useful?

4 – Strongly Agree

Comments: They help users of the standard on how to implement the revised standard

5. Section IV: Perceived Benefits from ISA 540 (Revised)

8. For each perceived benefit, indicate the extent to which you agree that ISA 540 (Revised) has led to benefits or improvements.

	4 – Strongly Agree	3 – Agree	2 – Disagree	1 – Strongly Disagree	0 – No Response
(a) Enhanced exercise of professional skepticism when auditing accounting estimates.	X				
(b) Greater consideration of the skills and competencies of the engagement team, including the need to involve specialists or experts.	X				
(c) Greater attention to understanding management's process for making estimates, including the selection of methods, assumptions and data (and the consistency of selection between periods).	X				
(d) Better or more focused assessments of the risks of material misstatements for accounting estimates.	X				
(e) More focus on understanding and testing controls related to accounting estimates.	X				
(f) Increased auditor attention to disclosures related to accounting estimates, including disclosures about estimation uncertainty.	X				
(g) Enhanced interactions and communications between the auditor and management and those charged with governance related to the audit of accounting estimates.	X				
(h) Enhanced quality of financial reporting related to accounting estimates.	X				

9. Are there any additional benefits or positive outcomes arising from the implementation of ISA 540 (Revised) that you would like to highlight?

Yes

Please specify:

It serves as a unified platform for financial reporting globally. Hence, reduces financial manipulation by the preparers of accounts.

It also helps the compatibility of financial information.

6. Section V: Potential Issues or Challenges Relating to ISA 540 (Revised)

10. ISA 540 (Revised) was designed to be capable of being applied to all accounting estimates, and for the nature, timing and extent of the risk assessment and further audit procedures to be varied in relation to the estimation uncertainty and the assessment of the risks of material misstatement. Indicate the extent to which you have observed or are aware of any issues or challenges related to scalability and proportionality.

3 – Moderate Issues or Challenges

11. Briefly describe these or any other issues or challenges you observed related to scalability and proportionality.

The challenge has been with minor firms' inability to raise the needed funds to carry out training on how to implement and use the standard fully.

12. In your view, are the issues or challenges due to a lack of clarity or insufficiency of the requirements or application material in the standard?

No

13. What specific suggestions do you have for how the IAASB can best address the issues or challenges you have identified (e.g., standard-setting, non-authoritative guidance, or other actions)?

7. Section V: Potential Issues or Challenges Relating to ISA 540 (Revised) (continued)

14. Indicate the extent to which you have observed or are aware of the following issues or challenges relating to ISA 540 (Revised) with respect the auditor exercising professional skepticism when auditing accounting estimates and related disclosures.

	4 – Significant Issues or Challenges	3 – Moderate Issues or Challenges	2 – Minor Issues or Challenges	1 – No Issues or Challenges	0 – No Response
(a) Appropriately challenging management's judgments used in making accounting estimates, particularly when they involve complex or subjective inputs.			X		
(b) Identifying and appropriately responding to potential indicators of management bias in the development of accounting estimates.			X		
(c) Appropriately considering all relevant audit evidence obtained, whether corroborative or contradictory.			X		

15. Briefly describe these or any other issues or challenges you observed related to exercising professional skepticism.

These issues with accounting estimates are mostly with Companies whose directors are paid bonuses based on the positive performance of these Companies. The management of these companies makes lower estimates that inflate the level of their profits so that they can be paid their bonuses.

16. In your view, are the observed issues or challenges due to a lack of clarity or insufficiency of the requirements or application material in the standard?

Yes

17. What specific suggestions do you have for how the IAASB can best address the issues or challenges you have identified (e.g., standard-setting, non-authoritative guidance, or other actions)?

The IAASB can use standard setting guidance to reduce the level of manipulation by preparers of accounts as a way to control them.

8. Section V: Potential Issues or Challenges Relating to ISA 540 (Revised) (continued)

18. Indicate the extent to which you have observed the following issues or challenges in applying ISA 540 (Revised) with respect to *risk assessment procedures and identifying and assessing the risks of material misstatement related to accounting estimates*.

	4 – Significant Issues or Challenges	3 – Moderate Issues or Challenges	2 – Minor Issues or Challenges	1 – No Issues or Challenges	0 – No Response
(a) The consideration of inherent risk factors and the interrelationship between them in identifying and assessing risks of material misstatement related to accounting estimates.		X			
(b) How the understanding of the entity and its environment, and the entity's internal control for accounting estimates, relates to the understanding required by ISA 315 (Revised 2019) (i.e., whether it is clear that the requirements in paragraph 13 of ISA 540 (Revised) relate specifically to accounting estimates and build on the broader requirements in ISA 315 (Revised 2019)).		X			
(c) Reviewing the outcome of previous accounting estimates or, where applicable, their subsequent re-estimation (i.e., the retrospective review of accounting estimates).		X			
(d) Understanding management's process for making accounting estimates, including how management identifies and selects relevant methods, assumptions and data, and how management understands and addresses estimation uncertainty.		X			
(e) The separate assessments of inherent and control risk relating to an accounting estimate.	X				
(f) Whether any of the assessed risks of material misstatement are significant risks.	X				

18A. Provide additional input, if any, about any issues or challenges regarding the interaction of ISA 540 (Revised) with ISA 315 (Revised 2019) in relation to risk assessment procedures and the identification and assessment of risks of material misstatement for accounting estimates.

All industries have specific risks which needs to be addressed. ISA 315 interacts with ISA 540 (Revised) with risk assessment procedures the firms will have to do a proper assessment to mitigate the risk.

18B. Indicate the extent to which you agree with the following statements with respect to reviewing the outcome of previous accounting estimates or, where applicable, their subsequent re-estimation.

	4 – Strongly Agree	3 – Agree	2 – Disagree	1 – Strongly Disagree	0 – No Response
(a) The retrospective review process is difficult due to changes in economic conditions or assumptions that make prior-period estimates less comparable.	X				
(b) The time and effort required to perform a retrospective review outweigh the perceived benefits for audit risk assessment.	X				

19. Briefly describe these or any other issues or challenges you observed related to risk assessment procedures and whether such issues or challenges relate primarily to estimates that have a high degree of estimation uncertainty or complexity.

Procedures on ad hoc challenges like natural disasters are hardly planned for and are mostly ignored during the Company's risk assessment.

20. In your view, are the observed issues or challenges due to a lack of clarity or insufficiency of the requirements or

application material in the standard?

Yes

21. What specific suggestions do you have for how the IAASB can best address the issues or challenges you have identified (e.g., standard-setting, non-authoritative guidance, or other actions)?

The standard should provide for any contingency happenings like Earthquake and other natural disasters.

9. Section V: Potential Issues or Challenges Relating to ISA 540 (Revised) (continued)**22. Indicate the extent to which you have observed the following issues or challenges in applying ISA 540 (Revised) with respect to *responding to the assessed risks of material misstatement***

	4 – Significant Issues or Challenges	3 – Moderate Issues or Challenges	2 – Minor Issues or Challenges	1 – No Issues or Challenges	0 – No Response
Responses to the Assessed Risks of Material Misstatement					
(a) Determining the most appropriate response(s) to the assessed risks of material misstatement at the assertion	X				
(b) Determining whether to test the operating effectiveness of controls related to an accounting estimate.		X			
(c) Appropriately applying the testing approach of obtaining audit evidence about events occurring up to the date of the auditor's report, either alone or with another testing approach(es).				X	
(d) Testing how management made the accounting estimate.		X			
(e) Developing an auditor's point estimate or range.	X				
Overall Evaluation					
(f) Determining whether the accounting estimates and related disclosures are reasonable in the context of the applicable financial reporting framework, or are misstated.		X			
(g) Evaluating identified misstatements related to accounting estimates, including when the audit evidence supports a point estimate that differs from management's point estimate or a range that does not include management's point estimate, or when the auditor's range is wide (in some cases, multiples of materiality for the financial statements as a whole).	X				

22A. Indicate the extent to which you have observed the following issues or challenges in applying ISA 540 (Revised) with respect to *testing how management made the accounting estimates*.

	4 – Significant Issues or Challenges	3 – Moderate Issues or Challenges	2 – Minor Issues or Challenges	1 – No Issues or Challenges	0 – No Response
(a) Testing management's selection of the method, significant assumptions, and data used, including whether management's judgments give rise to indicators of possible management bias.		X			
(b) Understanding management's application of the method involving complex modelling and testing complex models, including the integrity and completeness of the data used.	X				
(c) Whether management has taken appropriate steps to understand and address estimation uncertainty, including the selection of an appropriate point estimate and developing related disclosures about estimation uncertainty.		X			

22B. Indicate the extent to which you have observed the following issues or challenges in applying ISA 540 (Revised) with respect to *developing a point estimate or range*.

	4 – Significant Issues or Challenges	3 – Moderate Issues or Challenges	2 – Minor Issues or Challenges	1 – No Issues or Challenges	0 – No Response
(a) Determining when it may be appropriate to develop an auditor's point estimate or range.	X				
(b) Determining that the auditor's range includes only amounts that are supported by sufficient appropriate audit evidence and have been evaluated to be reasonable in the context of the measurement objectives and other requirements of the applicable financial reporting framework.	X				
(c) Maintaining objectivity and independence, for example, when material adjustments are made to management's point estimate based on the auditor's point estimate developed using a model or assumptions that were different from management's models and assumptions.	X				

23. Briefly describe these or any other issues or challenges you observed related to responding to risks of material misstatement and whether such issues or challenges relate primarily to estimates that have a high degree of estimation uncertainty or complexity.

The challenges that affect the level of risk of material misstatement relating to the auditors' point of estimate relate to estimation uncertainty.

24. In your view, are the observed issues or challenges due to a lack of clarity or insufficiency of the requirements or application material in the standard?

No

25. What specific suggestions do you have for how the IAASB can best address the issues or challenges you have identified (e.g., standard-setting, non-authoritative guidance, or other actions)?

26. Indicate the extent to which you have observed the following issues or challenges in applying ISA 540 (Revised) with respect to *communication, specialized skills or knowledge, including using the work of an auditor's expert, and documentation*.

	4 – Significant Issues or Challenges	3 – Moderate Issues or Challenges	2 – Minor Issues or Challenges	1 – No Issues or Challenges	0 – No Response
Communication (a) Determining the matters, if any, to communicate related to accounting estimates, (including about significant qualitative aspects of the entity's accounting practices and significant deficiencies in internal control).		X			
Specialized Skills or Knowledge, Including Using the Work of an Auditor's Expert (b) Determining when it is appropriate to involve an auditor's expert(s) (an internal or external expert) and using the work of an auditor's expert(s) in auditing an accounting estimate(s).	X				
Documentation (c) Preparing appropriate audit documentation in accordance with the requirements in paragraph 39 of ISA 540 (Revised).	X				

27. Briefly describe these or any other issues or challenges you encountered or observed related to communications, specialized skills or knowledge (including using the work of an auditor's expert) and documentation.

The issue has to do with identifying the correct expert to use for a specific risk area. For example, if the entity is Insurance, the work of the Actuarist comes into play to estimate the insurance liabilities.

28. In your view, are the observed issues or challenges due to a lack of clarity or insufficiency of the requirements or application material in the standard?

No

29. What specific suggestions do you have for how the IAASB can best address the issues or challenges you have identified (e.g., standard-setting, non-authoritative guidance, or other actions)?