

ISA 540 (Revised) Post-Implementation Survey

Response ID:421 Data

3. Section II: About the Respondent

1. Please provide the following information about your organization (if applicable) and other contact information:

Organization (or leave blank if you are completing the survey in your personal capacity) : Group of Latin American Accounting Standard Setters (GLASS)

Name(s) of contact(s) for this submission : Hernán Casinelli

Job title or role : President

E-mail address(es) of contact(s) : glenif@glenif.org

2. Please select from the following options the stakeholder group to which you or your organization belongs:

Jurisdictional Standard Setter (JSS) or Other Standard Setter

3. Please select from the following options the geographical region that best matches you or your organization:

South America

4. Did you undertake outreach activities with other stakeholders outside your organization to inform your responses to this survey?

Yes

4A. Please provide further information about your outreach activities, including:

The manner in which the outreach was undertaken. : A Technical Working Group (TWG) of GLASS was formed with representatives from several countries in the region.

5. You are welcome to provide any additional information you believe may be relevant to clarify the context in which you are completing the survey, or the circumstances or experiences that have informed your views or perspectives (or you can choose to leave this question blank).

4. Section III: Overall Questions

6. Please provide overall views about whether ISA 540 (Revised) has achieved its intended purpose and the objectives of the IAASB in developing the revised standard.

As a general opinion, we can say that ISA 540 has achieved its intended purpose and the objectives of the IAASB in its implementation in large entities, which have technical structures in accordance with their size.

7. Are you aware of the non-authoritative guidance and support tools developed to support the implementation of ISA 540 (Revised)?

Yes

7A. Do you agree that the non-authoritative guidance and support tools developed to support the implementation of ISA 540 (Revised) are useful?

3 – Agree

Comments: We find flowcharts and diagrams useful. However, we believe that illustrative examples for simple estimates are not entirely helpful, as we understand that it is impractical to have documentation with the same level of detail as that illustrative example for every "simple" estimate in the financial statements, since estimates exist in most elements of the financial statements, except for cash, especially in audits of SMEs.

5. Section IV: Perceived Benefits from ISA 540 (Revised)

8. For each perceived benefit, indicate the extent to which you agree that ISA 540 (Revised) has led to benefits or improvements.

	4 – Strongly Agree	3 – Agree	2 – Disagree	1 – Strongly Disagree	0 – No Response
(a) Enhanced exercise of professional skepticism when auditing accounting estimates.		X			
(b) Greater consideration of the skills and competencies of the engagement team, including the need to involve specialists or experts.		X			
(c) Greater attention to understanding management's process for making estimates, including the selection of methods, assumptions and data (and the consistency of selection between periods).		X			
(d) Better or more focused assessments of the risks of material misstatements for accounting estimates.		X			
(e) More focus on understanding and testing controls related to accounting estimates.			X		
(f) Increased auditor attention to disclosures related to accounting estimates, including disclosures about estimation uncertainty.		X			
(g) Enhanced interactions and communications between the auditor and management and those charged with governance related to the audit of accounting estimates.					X
(h) Enhanced quality of financial reporting related to accounting estimates.		X			

9. Are there any additional benefits or positive outcomes arising from the implementation of ISA 540 (Revised) that you would like to highlight?

No

6. Section V: Potential Issues or Challenges Relating to ISA 540 (Revised)

10. ISA 540 (Revised) was designed to be capable of being applied to all accounting estimates, and for the nature, timing and extent of the risk assessment and further audit procedures to be varied in relation to the estimation uncertainty and the assessment of the risks of material misstatement. Indicate the extent to which you have observed or are aware of any issues or challenges related to scalability and proportionality.

4 – Significant Issues or Challenges

11. Briefly describe these or any other issues or challenges you observed related to scalability and proportionality.

1. Excessive Internal Control in Estimates: The risk in estimates stems from inherent factors (subjectivity, data, assumptions) and not from internal control failures, especially in SMEs or simple estimates. Controls only matter in complex/routine estimates. The standard should leave the identification of controls to the auditor's judgment.
2. Limited Retrospective Review: Requiring retrospective testing for every estimate is excessive. It should only be applied to those with high inherent risk. For others, a general review confirming that they remain low risk is sufficient.
3. Excessive Documentation: In practice, paragraph 39.b obliges auditors to document how each risk factor affects each estimate, generating an excessive and unnecessary workload that contradicts the standard's own guidance.

12. In your view, are the issues or challenges due to a lack of clarity or insufficiency of the requirements or application material in the standard?

Yes

13. What specific suggestions do you have for how the IAASB can best address the issues or challenges you have identified (e.g., standard-setting, non-authoritative guidance, or other actions)?

The IAASB could better address the problems or challenges we have identified by establishing standards and publishing non-authoritative guidance.

7. Section V: Potential Issues or Challenges Relating to ISA 540 (Revised) (continued)

14. Indicate the extent to which you have observed or are aware of the following issues or challenges relating to ISA 540 (Revised) with respect the auditor exercising professional skepticism when auditing accounting estimates and related disclosures.

	4 – Significant Issues or Challenges	3 – Moderate Issues or Challenges	2 – Minor Issues or Challenges	1 – No Issues or Challenges	0 – No Response
(a) Appropriately challenging management's judgments used in making accounting estimates, particularly when they involve complex or subjective inputs.			X		
(b) Identifying and appropriately responding to potential indicators of management bias in the development of accounting estimates.		X			
(c) Appropriately considering all relevant audit evidence obtained, whether corroborative or contradictory.			X		

15. Briefly describe these or any other issues or challenges you observed related to exercising professional skepticism.

The standard requires evaluating management bias at an aggregate (global) level, not individually. However, in large or group audits, the work is fragmented among different individuals or component auditors. This leads to a lack of clarity on how to coordinate and unify the findings.

We suggest including an explicit requirement for engagement (and group) partners to lead this discussion in team planning and closing meetings, given their comprehensive understanding of the entity.

16. In your view, are the observed issues or challenges due to a lack of clarity or insufficiency of the requirements or application material in the standard?

Yes

17. What specific suggestions do you have for how the IAASB can best address the issues or challenges you have identified (e.g., standard-setting, non-authoritative guidance, or other actions)?

The IAASB could better address the problems or challenges we have identified by establishing standards and publishing non-authoritative guidance.

8. Section V: Potential Issues or Challenges Relating to ISA 540 (Revised) (continued)

18. Indicate the extent to which you have observed the following issues or challenges in applying ISA 540 (Revised) with respect to *risk assessment procedures and identifying and assessing the risks of material misstatement related to accounting estimates*.

	4 – Significant Issues or Challenges	3 – Moderate Issues or Challenges	2 – Minor Issues or Challenges	1 – No Issues or Challenges	0 – No Response
(a) The consideration of inherent risk factors and the interrelationship between them in identifying and assessing risks of material misstatement related to accounting estimates.			X		
(b) How the understanding of the entity and its environment, and the entity's internal control for accounting estimates, relates to the understanding required by ISA 315 (Revised 2019) (i.e., whether it is clear that the requirements in paragraph 13 of ISA 540 (Revised) relate specifically to accounting estimates and build on the broader requirements in ISA 315 (Revised 2019)).	X				
(c) Reviewing the outcome of previous accounting estimates or, where applicable, their subsequent re-estimation (i.e., the retrospective review of accounting estimates).		X			
(d) Understanding management's process for making accounting estimates, including how management identifies and selects relevant methods, assumptions and data, and how management understands and addresses estimation uncertainty.			X		
(e) The separate assessments of inherent and control risk relating to an accounting estimate.			X		
(f) Whether any of the assessed risks of material misstatement are significant risks.			X		

18A. Provide additional input, if any, about any issues or challenges regarding the interaction of ISA 540 (Revised) with ISA 315 (Revised 2019) in relation to risk assessment procedures and the identification and assessment of risks of material misstatement for accounting estimates.

The standard's requirements for evaluating internal control are excessive, especially for SMEs. Unlike common transactions (such as sales or purchases) where internal control prevents fraud, the real risk in estimates stems from inherent factors, such as subjectivity and assumptions.

Although internal control is key in routine and complex estimates (such as expected credit losses in banks), it becomes largely irrelevant in the vast majority of simple estimates (such as depreciation or accounts receivable) because their methodologies are simple and reliance on their controls is rarely sought. Even in complex but non-routine estimates (such as impairment testing under IAS 36), controls depend on management reviews that are difficult to document and inefficient to test, since their risks (bias and subjectivity) are already covered by inherent risk.

Therefore, it is proposed that the standard be aligned with ISA 315 and leave the decision of which control activities to evaluate and document to the auditor's professional judgment, thereby eliminating an unnecessary workload.

18B. Indicate the extent to which you agree with the following statements with respect to *reviewing the outcome of previous accounting estimates or, where applicable, their subsequent re-estimation*.

	4 – Strongly Agree	3 – Agree	2 – Disagree	1 – Strongly Disagree	0 – No Response
(a) The retrospective review process is difficult due to changes in economic conditions or assumptions that make prior-period estimates less comparable.		X			
(b) The time and effort required to perform a retrospective review outweigh the perceived benefits for audit risk assessment.			X		

19. Briefly describe these or any other issues or challenges you observed related to risk assessment procedures and whether such issues or challenges relate primarily to estimates that have a high degree of estimation uncertainty or complexity.

We believe that while retrospective review is essential for assessing high risks, the current standard lacks clarity and practical utility in several specific scenarios.

20. In your view, are the observed issues or challenges due to a lack of clarity or insufficiency of the requirements or application material in the standard?

Yes

21. What specific suggestions do you have for how the IAASB can best address the issues or challenges you have identified (e.g., standard-setting, non-authoritative guidance, or other actions)?

The IAASB could better address the problems or challenges we have identified by establishing standards and publishing non-authoritative guidance.

9. Section V: Potential Issues or Challenges Relating to ISA 540 (Revised) (continued)

22. Indicate the extent to which you have observed the following issues or challenges in applying ISA 540 (Revised) with respect to *responding to the assessed risks of material misstatement*

	4 – Significant Issues or Challenges	3 – Moderate Issues or Challenges	2 – Minor Issues or Challenges	1 – No Issues or Challenges	0 – No Response
Responses to the Assessed Risks of Material Misstatement					
(a) Determining the most appropriate response(s) to the assessed risks of material misstatement at the assertion			X		
(b) Determining whether to test the operating effectiveness of controls related to an accounting estimate.			X		
(c) Appropriately applying the testing approach of obtaining audit evidence about events occurring up to the date of the auditor's report, either alone or with another testing approach(es).			X		
(d) Testing how management made the accounting estimate.			X		
(e) Developing an auditor's point estimate or range.			X		
Overall Evaluation					
(f) Determining whether the accounting estimates and related disclosures are reasonable in the context of the applicable financial reporting framework, or are misstated.			X		
(g) Evaluating identified misstatements related to accounting estimates, including when the audit evidence supports a point estimate that differs from management's point estimate or a range that does not include management's point estimate, or when the auditor's range is wide (in some cases, multiples of materiality for the financial statements as a whole).		X			

23. Briefly describe these or any other issues or challenges you observed related to responding to risks of material misstatement and whether such issues or challenges relate primarily to estimates that have a high degree of estimation uncertainty or complexity.

The auditor's margin of confidence is wide for estimates with very high uncertainty. It is unclear how to address this fact (for example, in the auditor's report and in the assessment of uncorrected errors) when the auditor's margin of confidence for an accounting estimate is greater than materiality.

24. In your view, are the observed issues or challenges due to a lack of clarity or insufficiency of the requirements or application material in the standard?

Yes

25. What specific suggestions do you have for how the IAASB can best address the issues or challenges you have identified (e.g., standard-setting, non-authoritative guidance, or other actions)?

The IAASB could better address the problems or challenges we have identified by establishing standards and publishing non-authoritative guidance.

10. Section V: Potential Issues or Challenges Relating to ISA 540 (Revised) (continued)

26. Indicate the extent to which you have observed the following issues or challenges in applying ISA 540 (Revised) with respect to *communication, specialized skills or knowledge, including using the work of an auditor's expert, and documentation*.

	4 – Significant Issues or Challenges	3 – Moderate Issues or Challenges	2 – Minor Issues or Challenges	1 – No Issues or Challenges	0 – No Response
Communication (a) Determining the matters, if any, to communicate related to accounting estimates, (including about significant qualitative aspects of the entity's accounting practices and significant deficiencies in internal control).			X		
Specialized Skills or Knowledge, Including Using the Work of an Auditor's Expert (b) Determining when it is appropriate to involve an auditor's expert(s) (an internal or external expert) and using the work of an auditor's expert(s) in auditing an accounting estimate(s).			X		
Documentation (c) Preparing appropriate audit documentation in accordance with the requirements in paragraph 39 of ISA 540 (Revised).		X			

27. Briefly describe these or any other issues or challenges you encountered or observed related to communications, specialized skills or knowledge (including using the work of an auditor's expert) and documentation.

Documentation

We believe there may be application issues with respect to audit documentation in paragraph 39(b). Despite the comment in paragraph A150 that auditors are not required to document how each inherent risk factor was considered in the risk assessment in relation to each accounting estimate, we believe that, in practice, this is often the case, resulting in excessive documentation.

28. In your view, are the observed issues or challenges due to a lack of clarity or insufficiency of the requirements or application material in the standard?

Yes

29. What specific suggestions do you have for how the IAASB can best address the issues or challenges you have identified (e.g., standard-setting, non-authoritative guidance, or other actions)?

We believe non-authoritative guidance and maybe moving the clarification in p.A150 to the requirements.