July 31, 2023

International Auditing and Assurance Standards Board (IAASB)
529 Fifth Avenue, 6th floor
New York 10017
USA

Dear Sir/Madam,

The Federation of Accounting Professions of Thailand welcomes the opportunity to comment on the Proposed International Standard on Auditing 570 (Revised 202X), Going Concern and Proposed Conforming and Consequential Amendments to Other ISAs.

Please find our comments on the proposed standard in the attachment. The proposed standard will help auditor exercise more reasonable judgements on the going concern issues. We hope that our comments are useful in improving the implementation of the proposed standard.

If you need any clarifications, please kindly contact the Federation of Accounting Professions of Thailand.

Yours sincerely,

Mr. Winid Silamongkol
Chairman of the Auditing Profession Committee
Federation of Accounting Professions of Thailand
Bangkok, Thailand
**Overall Questions**

1. Do you agree that the proposals in ED-570 are responsive to the public interest, considering the qualitative standard-setting characteristics and project objectives that support the public interest as set out in Appendix 1?

   Yes, we do.

2. Do you believe that the proposals in ED-570, considered collectively, will enhance and strengthen the auditor’s judgments and work relating to going concern in an audit of financial statements, including enhancing transparency through communicating and reporting about the auditor’s responsibilities and work?

   Yes.

3. Do you believe the proposed standard is scalable to entities of different sizes and complexities, recognizing that general purpose financial statements are prepared using the going concern basis of accounting and that going concern matters are relevant to all entities?

   Yes.

4. Do the requirements and application material of ED-570 appropriately reinforce the auditor’s application of professional skepticism in relation to going concern?

   Yes, they do.

**Specific Questions**

5. Do you support the definition of Material Uncertainty (Related to Going Concern)? In particular, do you support the application material to the definition clarifying the phrase “may cast significant doubt”?

   Yes.

6. Does ED-570 appropriately build on the foundational requirements in ISA 315 (Revised 2019) in addressing risk assessment procedures and related activities, to support a more robust
identification by the auditor of events or conditions that may cast significant doubt on the entity’s ability to continue as a going concern?

Yes.

7. Do you support the change in the commencement date of the twelve-month period of management’s assessment of going concern, from the date of the financial statements (in extant ISA 570 (Revised)) to the date of approval of the financial statements (as proposed in paragraph 21 of ED-570)? When responding consider the flexibility provided in paragraphs 22 and A43-A44 of ED-570 in circumstances where management is unwilling to make or extend its assessment. If you are not supportive of the proposal(s), what alternative(s) would you suggest (please describe why you believe such alternative(s) would be more appropriate and practicable)?

No, we do not support the proposed change in this matter. We believe the commencement date of the twelve-month period from the date of the financial statements (as in the extant standard) is appropriate and more practicable. This is an accounting matter in which the management should be responsible for the preparation of the financial statements and the current practice is aligned with IAS 1.26 that require management to assess the going concern assumption at least twelve months from the end of the reporting period.

8. Do you support the enhanced approach in ED-570 that requires the auditor to design and perform audit procedures to evaluate management’s assessment of going concern in all circumstances and irrespective of whether events or conditions have been identified that may cast significant doubt on the entity’s ability to continue as a going concern?

Yes.

9. Does ED-570 appropriately incorporate the concepts introduced from ISA 540 (Revised) for the auditor’s evaluation of the method, assumptions, and data used in management’s assessment of going concern?

Yes.
10. Do you support the enhanced requirements and application material, as part of evaluating management’s plans for future actions, for the auditor to evaluate whether management has the intent and ability to carry out specific courses of action, as well as to evaluate the intent and ability of third parties or related parties, including the entity’s owner-manager, to maintain or provide the necessary financial support?

Yes.

11. Will the enhanced requirements and application material to communicate with TCWG encourage early transparent dialogue among the auditor, management and TCWG, and result in enhanced two-way communication with TCWG about matters related to going concern?

Yes, they will.

12. Do you support the new requirement and application material for the auditor to report to an appropriate authority outside of the entity where law, regulation or relevant ethical requirements require or establish responsibilities for such reporting?

Yes.

13. This question relates to the implications for the auditor’s report for audits of financial statements of all entities, i.e., to communicate in a separate section in the auditor’s report, under the heading “Going Concern” or “Material Uncertainty Related to Going Concern”, explicit statements about the auditor’s conclusions on the appropriateness of management’s use of the going concern basis of accounting and on whether a material uncertainty has been identified.

Do you support the requirements and application material that facilitate enhanced transparency about the auditor’s responsibilities and work relating to going concern, and do they provide useful information for intended users of the audited financial statements? Do the proposals enable greater consistency and comparability across auditor’s reports globally?

Yes, we support. The proposed standard will encourage greater consistency and comparability.
14. This question relates to the additional implications for the auditor’s report for audits of financial statements of listed entities, i.e., to also describe how the auditor evaluated management’s assessment of going concern when events or conditions have been identified that may cast significant doubt on the entity’s ability to continue as a going concern (both when no material uncertainty exists or when a material uncertainty exists).

Do you support the requirements and application material that facilitate further enhanced transparency about the auditor’s responsibilities and work relating to going concern? Should this be extended to also apply to audits of financial statements of entities other than listed entities?

Yes, we support the requirements and application material. However, we do not believe it should be extended to also apply to audits of financial statements of entities other than listed entities.

15. Is it clear that ED-570 addresses all implications for the auditor’s report relating to the auditor’s required conclusions and related communications about going concern (i.e., auditor reporting is in accordance with ED-570 and not in accordance with ISA 701 or any other ISA)? This includes when a material uncertainty related to going concern exists or when, for audits of financial statements of listed entities, events or conditions have been identified that may cast significant doubt on the entity’s ability to continue as a going concern but, based on the audit evidence obtained, the auditor concludes that no material uncertainty exists.

Yes.

16. Are there any other matters you would like to raise in relation to ED-570? If so, please clearly indicate the requirement(s) or application material, or the theme or topic, to which your comment(s) relate.

No, we don’t have any other matters.
Request for General Comments

17. The IAASB is also seeking comments on the matters set out below:

(a) Translations—Recognizing that many respondents may intend to translate the final ISA for adoption in their own environments, the IAASB welcomes comment on potential translation issues respondents note in reviewing the ED-570.

No, we don’t foresee any significant translation issues.

(b) Effective Date—Given the need for national due process and translation, as applicable, and the need to coordinate effective dates with the fraud project, the IAASB believes that an appropriate effective date for the standard would be for financial reporting periods beginning approximately 18 months after approval of the final standard. Earlier application would be permitted and encouraged. The IAASB welcomes comments on whether this would provide a sufficient period to support effective implementation of the ISA.

The 18-month period after the approval should be sufficient.