

### ISRE 2410<sup>1</sup> – Issues Paper

#### Objective of the IAASB Discussion

The objective of the IAASB discussion is to approve the exposure draft of proposed ISRE 2410 (Revised), presented in mark-up from December in **Agenda Items 2-B** and **2-C**.

#### Request for Board Comments in Advance of the Meeting

Board members are asked to communicate any significant matters to the ISRE 2410 project team by **Thursday, March 12, 2026** to assist with the turnaround of the proposed standard for discussion of any targeted issues on **March 18** and the final turnaround in preparation for the vote for approval in the meeting on **March 19**. All significant matters should still be raised and discussed in the Board plenary session to ensure that such matters are on the public record.

#### *Approach to the Board Discussion:*

The project team will walk through **Agenda Items 2-B** and **2-C**, seeking comments on the requirements and related application material and appendices, in the order set out **Appendix 4** of this paper.

### Background

1. At the [December 2025](#) IAASB meeting, the Board considered a first full draft of proposed ISRE 2410 (Revised), and expressed broad support for the direction of the revised standard. The Board also provided directional input on a range of significant matters, which included asking the project team to:
  - (a) Consider any opportunities to more clearly differentiate the expected work effort for an interim review engagement, from that in ISRE 2400 (Revised);<sup>2</sup>
  - (b) Reconsider the balance of requirements and application material related to group interim review engagements;
  - (c) Clarify and strengthen the link between the work effort requirements relating to fraud, non-compliance with laws or regulations, and going concern, and the requirement in paragraph 60 for the auditor to perform additional procedures in circumstances when the auditor becomes aware of matters that cause the auditor to believe that there may be a material misstatement of the interim financial information (the “deep dive”); and
  - (d) Clarify and enhance the proposed reporting requirements related to going concern.
2. The project team, supported by project board members and informed by other outreach, has actioned the directions recorded in the meeting minutes, and addressed substantive comments received offline. A revised draft of proposed ISRE 2410 (Revised) is presented in marked and in clean, in

<sup>1</sup> International Standard on Review Engagements (ISRE) 2410, *Review of Interim Financial Information Performed by the Independent Auditor of the Entity's Annual Financial Statements*

<sup>2</sup> ISRE 2400 (Revised), *Engagements to Review Historical Financial Information*

**Agenda Items 2-B and 2-C, and 2-F and 2-G** respectively. Significant changes to the requirements and application material are explained in **Agenda Item 2-A**.

3. This Agenda Item includes the following sections and appendices:

- **Section A:** Matters from previous meetings for resolution prior to approval of the exposure draft of proposed ISRE 2410 (revised).
- **Section B:** Brief contextual material to support the Board's review of proposed ISRE 2410 (Revised).
- **Section C:** Due Process Matters.
- **Appendices:**
  - **1:** Project Team Activities
  - **2:** Proposed Matters for Inclusion in the Explanatory Memorandum
  - **3:** Mapping Proposed Actions in the Project Proposal to Key Revisions in Proposed ISRE 2410 (Revised)
  - **4:** Approach to the Walkthrough of Proposed ISRE 2410 (Revised)

4. In addition to this paper, the materials presented include the following:

<b>Agenda Item 2-A</b>	Explanation of Significant Changes
<b>Agenda Item 2-B</b>	Proposed ISRE 2410 (Revised) – Requirements (marked) <i>Marked from the version presented in December 2025</i>
<b>Agenda Item 2-C</b>	Proposed ISRE 2410 (Revised) – Application Material (marked) <i>Marked from the version presented in December 2025</i>
<b>Agenda Item 2-D</b>	Proposed ISRE 2410 (Revised) – Appendix 1 - Illustrative Interim Review Reports
<b>Agenda Item 2-E</b>	Proposed ISRE 2410 (Revised) – Appendix 2 - Illustrative Sections on Going Concern
<b>Agenda Item 2-F</b> <b>Agenda Item 2-G</b>	Proposed ISRE 2410 (Revised) – Requirements (Clean) Proposed ISRE 2410 (Revised) – Application Material (Clean) <i>Clean version of Agenda Items 2-A and 2-B, for ease of readability.</i>
<b>Agenda Item 2-H</b> <b>(Supplemental)</b>	Mapping of ISRE 2410 to Proposed ISRE 2410 (Revised) <i>Mapping of the 'black letter' paragraphs from ISRE 2410 to proposed ISRE 2410 (Revised).</i>

## A. Matters from Previous Meetings

### Coordination with the International Ethics Standards Board for Accountants (IESBA)

5. In December 2025, the project team highlighted that coordination with the IESBA remained ongoing on certain matters. In that regard, the project team has engaged in further discussions with technical staff from the IESBA to assess whether there were any substantial unintended consequences for the interoperability of the Code<sup>3</sup> and proposed ISRE 2410 (Revised). Matters for discussion included the possible interaction of revisions in the proposed revised standard with the IESBA's standards addressing [non-compliance with laws and regulations](#), and [group audit engagements](#). Specific matters discussed included:
  - (a) The requirement in paragraph 21 of **Agenda Item 2-B** relating to compliance with relevant ethical requirements, including those related to independence.<sup>4</sup>
  - (b) The applicable requirements in the Code when information concerning non-compliance or suspected non-compliance with laws and regulations comes to the attention of the auditor during the interim review engagement (see paragraphs 48 to 52 of Agenda Item 2-B)
  - (c) The proposed group interim review provisions in ISRE 2410 (Revised), including paragraph 15A and related requirements and application material, and whether these raise any interoperability questions given that the Code's group-audit-specific independence provisions in Section 405 (developed in connection with ISA 600 (Revised)) are scoped to group audits and do not explicitly address review engagements involving component auditors.
6. The discussion with the IESBA staff resulted in some revisions to the application material and an addition to the requirement in paragraph 85(a) of **Agenda Item 2-B**, when applied in the context of group engagements, reflecting the principle in ISA 600 (Revised).<sup>5</sup>
7. The coordination discussion did not identify substantive interoperability concerns with the proposed drafting at this stage. However, the discussion highlighted an opportunity for the IESBA to obtain some further insights into how the Code is applied in practice in the context of interim review engagements. Accordingly, subject to further coordination with the IESBA, the project team will consider inclusion in the Explanatory Memorandum of a discussion, and potentially a targeted question(s) to stakeholders, regarding the application of the Code in interim review engagements.

### Framework Neutrality in Proposed ISRE 2410 (Revised)

8. In December 2025, the IAASB reaffirmed its view that the form of the auditor's interim review conclusion should differ depending on whether the applicable financial reporting framework is a fair presentation framework or a compliance framework as defined in paragraph 15(b) of **Agenda Item 2-B**. The Board also acknowledged the diversity of views between and within jurisdictions about whether condensed interim financial reports prepared in accordance with International Accounting Standard (IAS) 34, *Interim Financial Reporting*, are designed to achieve, or may achieve, fair

---

<sup>3</sup> The IESBA's International Code of Ethics for Professional Accountants (including International Independence Standards).

<sup>4</sup> Coordination with IESBA on this point was previously discussed by the IAASB. Refer to Agenda Item 7 of the IAASB's [March 2025](#) quarterly meeting.

<sup>5</sup> ISA 600 (Revised), *Special Considerations—Audits of Group Financial Statements (Including the Work of Component Auditors)*, paragraph 25.

presentation, and if so, of what. The Board emphasized that it did not see its remit as extending to the interpretation of financial reporting standards. Accordingly, the Board did not form a view on whether the preparation of condensed interim financial reports in accordance with IAS 34 results in interim financial information prepared in accordance with a fair presentation framework, as defined by the IAASB.

9. The project team considered further actions that may be required on this matter in the context of this project, including discussion with Board members who had expressed varying views on the topic in the December meeting. After further consideration, the project team proposes:
  - (a) No substantive changes to the proposed requirement relating to the form of the interim review conclusion (see paragraph 89 of **Agenda Item 2-B**), retaining the principle previously agreed by the Board that the wording of the conclusion should “follow the framework” as noted in paragraph 8 above;
  - (b) Introducing two new paragraphs of application material (see paragraphs A143a and A144a of **Agenda Item 2-C**); and
  - (c) Including wording in the illustrative report examples (see **Agenda Item 2-D**), consistent with the requirement in paragraph 89 of **Agenda Item 2-B**, so as to avoid unintentionally implying that the IAASB has formed a view on this matter. The project team notes that the reference in the requirement and illustrative reports to “the applicable financial reporting framework, including identification of the jurisdiction of origin of the financial reporting framework if it is not IFRS Accounting Standards as issued by the International Accounting Standards Board” is consistent with ISA 700 (Revised)<sup>6</sup> and other IAASB standards and the related illustrative reports.
10. The project team notes that, given the views in different jurisdictions that the Board highlighted in December,<sup>7</sup> there is a risk that the review conclusions issued in respect of interim financial reports prepared under the same reporting framework may continue to differ between jurisdictions. As bringing consistency in this regard would support achieving the project objective, the project team is proposing to seek specific input on this topic during the exposure period (see **Part C**) to inform any further actions that may be necessary in this area.

## **B. Walkthrough of Draft of Proposed ISRE 2410 (Revised)**

11. The project team provides the following matters of note to support the Board’s review of the draft of proposed ISRE 2410 (Revised) shown in mark-up from December 2025 in **Agenda Items 2-B** and **2-C**, as well as the explanation of significant changes (see **Agenda Item 2-A**):

---

<sup>6</sup> International Standard on Auditing (ISA) 700 (Revised), *Forming an Opinion and Reporting on Financial Statements*

<sup>7</sup> These differences are apparent in the differences how different preparers in different or within a jurisdiction, describe the basis of accounting of their interim financial reports; and in how different regulations about the preparation of interim financial reports describe the frameworks. For example, the UK’s Disclosure and Transparency Rule applicable to Listed Entities requires a statement be made by those responsible for the half-yearly financial report, that confirms that, to the best of their knowledge, “the condensed set of financial statements, which has been prepared in accordance with the applicable set of accounting standards, gives a true and fair view of the assets, liabilities, financial position and profit or loss of the issuer” and specifies that they may “satisfy the requirement to confirm that the condensed set of financial statements give a true and fair view by giving a statement that they have been prepared in accordance with IAS 34.”

- An independent review of the application of the Clarity, Understandability, Scalability and Proportionality (CUSP) Drafting Principles and Guideline was completed in February 2026, identifying a range of suggestions for improved alignment with these principles, as well as consistency with other IAASB standards. **Agenda Items 2-B** and **2-C** reflect the revisions made in response to comments and suggestions from this review, with a focus on enhancing clarity and conciseness.
  - Illustrative reports and report sections have been included in **Agenda items 2-D** and **2-E**
  - In **Agenda Items 2-B** and **2-C**:
    - Paragraphs that were deleted prior to December are marked as **[NOT USED]**
    - Paragraphs or sentences that have been moved within the standard have been marked as “[Moved to paragraph XX]” and “[Moved from paragraph XX].” When content that has been moved has been revised from the December draft, the revisions are shown in mark-up in the new location.
  - In December, for each paragraph of proposed ISRE 2410 (Revised), the drafting included a reference in square brackets to paragraphs in other standards that had served as a baseline for the requirements as proposed. These have been removed in **Agenda Items 2-B** and **2-C**, to enable the Board to focus its review of proposed ISRE 2410 (Revised) on a standalone basis.
  - For ease of readability and navigation, to support an overall evaluation of the revisions as a whole, **Agenda Items 2-F** and **2-G** are “clean” versions of **Agenda Items 2-B** and **2-C**, with all mark-ups ‘accepted’ for ease of reading. The references to “Not Used” and “Moved” paragraphs described above are retained. Wholly deleted paragraphs are shown as “[Deleted]”.
  - A mapping document has been provided for reference purposes in supplemental **Agenda Item 2-H**, showing how the black-letter paragraphs from the extant standard, in its pre-Clarity format, have been incorporated into the draft of proposed ISRE 2410 (Revised).
12. The project team draws the Board’s attention to the following areas of proposed ISRE 2410 (Revised) in which more substantive changes have been proposed in response to the Board’s directions in December:

Topic	Paragraphs in Proposed ISRE 2410 (Revised)	
	Requirements	Application Material
<i>Materiality</i>	38, 39	A52 to A53a
<i>Group Interim Review Engagements</i>	37A – 37B 59A 85(a)	A51b to A51d, A53c

Topic	Paragraphs in Proposed ISRE 2410 (Revised)	
	Requirements	Application Material
<i>Communications with Management and Those Charged With Governance</i>	37	A50a – A50b
<i>Fraud, Non-Compliance with Laws and Regulations (NOCLAR)</i>	48 to 51* <i>52 is now not used.</i>	A80a to A85
<i>Going Concern – Performance requirements</i>	52A to 56A	A86 to A92a
<i>Going Concern – Reporting Requirements</i>	98 to 102	A150 to A150b Appendix 2

13. The markup from December as presented in **Agenda Items 2-B** and **2-C** may, in some areas, appear to be extensive. In most cases these changes reflect the result of a substantial effort by the project team to capture specific suggestions as a result of the CUSP review (as described in paragraph 11 above), and the fact the draft of proposed ISRE 2410 (Revised) presented in December 2025 leveraged wording from other standards which have not been revised since CUSP guidelines were issued. Others result from a comprehensive stand-back evaluation of the proposed draft given the directions received in December, and in consideration of the ability of the standard to meet the overarching project objective. Many such revisions do not reflect changes in the fundamental concepts or principles underlying the requirements.
14. In proposing revisions, the project team also took into account potential challenges on translation of certain phrases or terms, focusing on ensuring, for example, that:
- Only one verb was used to describe a specific intended work effort or action by the auditor (e.g., see the explanation for paragraphs 45-47 in **Agenda Item 2-A** regarding the terms “become aware of” compared to “identify”),
  - Terms with a plain English meaning were either used in that context, or otherwise described or defined (e.g., the term “review procedures” is used to refer to any procedure performed by an auditor for the purpose of satisfying the objective of the interim review engagement.)
  - The IAASB’s recent thinking on certain matters was reflected. For example, as set out in paragraph 11, the explanation that the procedures performed in an interim review engagement are less than those in an audit, and therefore result in a substantially lower level of assurance, reflects the principles debated in issuing ISSA 5000,<sup>8</sup> rather than those reflected in extant ISRE 2410 or in ISRE 2400 (Revised).

<sup>8</sup> International Standard on Sustainability Assurance (ISSA) 5000, General Requirements for Sustainability Assurance Engagements

15. **Appendix 3** of this paper includes a table cross-referencing the proposed actions from the project proposal to paragraphs in the proposed draft in which specific actions are reflected. This table is intended to support the Board’s evaluation of proposed ISRE 2410 (Revised) against its ability to achieve the public interest objectives described in the [project proposal](#), by reference to the qualitative characteristics identified therein as being of most relevance.<sup>9</sup>

**Matters for IAASB Consideration**

1. The Board is asked for its views on proposed ISRE 2410 (Revised), as presented in **Agenda Items 2-B** and **2-C**.

**C. Due Process Matters Related to the Exposure of Proposed ISRE 2410 (Revised)**

*Exposure Period*

16. Subject to the Board’s approval as planned at the March meeting, it is expected that the IAASB will publish the exposure draft circa May 6, 2026. The project proposal included an indicative comment period of 90 days, in accordance with the IAASB’s [due process](#).
17. Given the nature and extent of the revisions in proposed ISRE 2410 (Revised), due in large part to changes needed to present the revised standard in the “Clarity” format, and taking into account concurrent consultations of the IAASB and the IESBA, the project team now proposes an exposure period of 120 days.
18. Based on those approval and publication dates, responses would be due at the start of September 2026. The project team would therefore intend to present the analysis of comments to the Board in December 2026. Depending on the nature and extent of comments received, Board approval of the final revised standard is targeted for June 2027.

*Proposed Implementation Period*

19. The project team recognizes that proposed ISRE 2410 (Revised) includes a more robust work effort than extant ISRE 2410 in certain areas. Regarding going concern and fraud, the proposed revisions reflect the principles and concepts in ISA 570 (Revised 2024)<sup>10</sup> and ISA 240 (Revised)<sup>11</sup>, respectively, albeit in a manner proportional to the circumstances of an interim review engagement. Accordingly, the effective date of those standards is relevant to considering the proposed implementation period of proposed ISRE 2410 (Revised).
20. The project team proposes to include in the Explanatory Memorandum an implementation period of approximately 18 months after approval of proposed ISRE 2410 (Revised) by the IAASB. In the project team’s view, such period would provide sufficient time to implement the standard, including adoption and translation by jurisdictions, and incorporating the changes into firm methodologies, enablement tools and training material.

<sup>9</sup> A more descriptive mapping of the proposed actions in the project proposal, explaining how the actions undertaken support achieving the project objective, is being prepared for inclusion in the Explanatory Memorandum.

<sup>10</sup> ISA 570 (Revised 2024), *Going Concern*

<sup>11</sup> ISA 240 (Revised), *The Auditor’s Responsibilities Relating to Fraud in an Audit of Financial Statements*

21. A question will be asked in the Explanatory Memorandum about the expected implementation efforts and the appropriateness of the proposed implementation period.

#### *Due Process Matters*

22. In the view of the project team, the significant matters identified as a result of deliberations since the beginning of this project have been included in the issues papers presented to the IAASB for discussion. In the view of the project team, there are no significant matters that have not been brought to the attention of the IAASB.
23. The project team does not believe that a consultation paper or field testing is needed at this stage of the project, as the development of proposed ISRE 2410 (Revised) leveraged existing IAASB standards and guidance, with input from various stakeholder groups throughout the development of the proposed draft. In addition, a plan for further outreach during the exposure period is being developed.

#### *Matters for Inclusion in the Explanatory Memorandum*

24. In December, the project team presented a preliminary list of matters to be included in the explanatory memorandum. Based on the Board's feedback, the project team has developed a revised list of matters, for consideration, presented in **Appendix 2** to this paper.

#### *Outreach During the Exposure Period*

25. The [project proposal](#) for the revision of ISRE 2410 identified the interests of three stakeholder groups as being most likely affected by the project objective: the intended users of interim financial information, those responsible for adoption and implementation of the IAASB's standards, and the profession. Throughout the development of proposed ISRE 2410 (Revised), the project team has sought input from these stakeholder groups through targeted outreach and discussions. The project team intends to use the exposure period to seek further input on specific matters from each of these groups. In particular, the project team also intends to engage with the IAASB's User Advisory Group to support actioning proposed action 3.3 in the project proposal: "Explore other ways to promote user understanding of interim review engagements, such as through non-authoritative guidance or implementation support materials."

#### **Matter for IAASB Consideration**

2. The Board is asked whether there are any other matters that the project team should consider in developing the explanatory memorandum (see **Appendix 2**).
3. The Board is asked whether there are any other matters that the project team should consider in respect of any of the matters described in this paper, including the Due Process Matters set out in paragraphs 16 to 25.



## Appendix 1

### Project Team and Project Board Members

#### Project Team

1. During the first quarter of 2026, the project team consisted of IAASB staff, and staff of the New Zealand External Reporting Board:
  - Ana Espinal-Rae (IAASB)
  - Daniel Montgomery (IAASB)
  - Sharon Walker (XRB)

#### Project Board Members

2. The IAASB Project Board Members are:
  - Robert Koethner
  - Wendy Stevens

#### Activities in the Period

3. During the first quarter of 2026, the project team:
  - Met virtually on a weekly basis for collaboration between IAASB staff and staff from the AUASB and XRB;
  - Engaged virtually or in hybrid meetings three times with both project board members for directional guidance. Wolf Böhm and Brian Wilson, technical advisors to the project board members, joined one of the meetings to provide further perspectives;
  - Engaged virtually one-on-one with technical advisors with expertise on limited assurance engagements;
  - Met with representatives from a global network firm; and
  - Engaged in coordination discussions with the Going Concern Taskforce Chair and Staff Lead, obtaining feedback on proposed revisions to performance and reporting requirements.

## Appendix 2

### Proposed Matters for Inclusion in the Explanatory Memorandum

1. Based on the Board's feedback, the project team has revised the list of key matters and decisions of the IAASB, that they propose to include in the Explanatory Memorandum:
  - The overarching public interest objective of the project to provide a global baseline for consistent performance of interim review engagements.
  - How the priority areas in the project proposal have been addressed.
  - Coordination with IESBA, including ongoing liaison with IESBA staff.
  - The approach to the development of proposed ISRE 2410 (Revised), which recognized it as being a standard that addresses an engagement performed by the auditor of the entity's annual financial statements, to provide limited assurance (a review engagement) over historical financial information that covers a period shorter than a year and which may not be presented as a complete set of financial statements (hence, 'interim financial *information*').
  - The differences between an audit and an interim review engagement, and between an interim review engagement under proposed ISRE 2410 (Revised) and ISRE 2400 (Revised).
  - The flow of the engagement as set out in proposed ISRE 2410 (Revised), including an emphasis on obtaining sufficient appropriate evidence as a basis for the auditor's conclusion.
  - Strengthened requirements, compared to the extant standard, relating to:
    - Materiality;
    - Areas where public interest issues have triggered a need for standard-setting action in relation to audits of financial statements under the ISAs since ISRE 2410 was issued:
      - Fraud or suspected fraud;
      - Non-compliance with laws or regulations that have an effect on the interim financial information;
      - Going concern;
    - The auditor's responsibilities for other information in a document or documents containing the interim financial information and the auditor's interim review report thereon.
  - How proposed ISRE 2410 (Revised) addresses the review of interim financial information of groups.
  - Reporting considerations:
    - The form of conclusion – applying a principles-based approach in determining the appropriate form of conclusion in the auditor's report; and

- The order of information presented in the auditor's interim review report, mirroring the enhancements introduced in the auditor's reporting project with the conclusion section followed by a basis for conclusion section
- The Board's decision not to include concepts similar to Key Audit Matters;
- The introduction of explicit statements related to going concern, including differential requirements where appropriate;
- For publicly traded entities, a requirement to include the name of the engagement partner.

## Appendix 3

### Mapping Issues and Proposed Actions to Relevant Paragraphs of Proposed ISRE 2410 (Revised)

This Appendix shows a streamlined extract of the approved [project proposal](#) approved: a table of issues (bold text only), and the proposed actions to address these issues, to achieve the stated project objectives. A column has been added to indicate the paragraphs of proposed ISRE 2410 (Revised) where the project team considers that the action has been carried out, and the issue addressed.

#	Issue	Proposed Actions	Relevant sections or paragraphs addressing the matter
<b>Project Objective:</b> Establish a global baseline for the consistent performance of interim review engagements.			
<i>Qualitative standard-setting characteristics of most relevance for the project: <b>scope (and proportionality), coherence, comprehensiveness and ability to be consistently applied and globally operable.</b></i>			
1	<b>There is a need to revise ISRE 2410 to clarify the principles and concepts relevant to the interim review engagement.</b>	1.1 Redraft ISRE 2410 in the “Clarity format.” 1.2. Revise ISRE 2410 as necessary so that principles and concepts: (a) Align with concepts and terms used in the International Framework for Assurance Engagements; <sup>12</sup> and (b) Draw on relevant concepts and principles of ISRE 2400 (Revised), and as appropriate, other IAASB standards.	<i>This is reflected throughout Proposed ISRE 2410 (Revised), as an overarching structure and contend. Paragraphs 7 to 11 describe these principles within the body of the standard.</i>

<sup>12</sup> For example, the International Framework for Assurance Engagements describes a limited assurance engagement as one in which the practitioner reduces engagement risk to a “level that is acceptable in the circumstances but where that risk is greater than for a reasonable assurance engagement.” In comparison, ISRE 2410 describes the aim of an interim review engagement as being to reduce to a “moderate level” the risk of expressing an inappropriate conclusion.

#	Issue	Proposed Actions	Relevant sections or paragraphs addressing the matter
		1.3 Explore ways to clarify the relationship between interim review engagements under ISRE 2410 and other IAASB standards.	
2	<b>ISRE 2410 should be modernized to meet the expectations of intended users about the auditor's responsibilities for the review of interim financial information.</b>	<p>2.1 Determine the extent to which recent enhancements to IAASB standards need to be addressed, in a proportionate manner, in proposed revised ISRE 2410, given the circumstances of an interim review engagement, and the requirements of the applicable financial reporting framework for interim financial information.</p> <p>This may include considering whether new requirements or application material are necessary to address the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(a) The relationship between ISRE 2410 and the quality management standards, including quality management at the engagement level.</li> <li>(b) The auditor's responsibilities relating to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(i) Understanding the entity and its environment, the applicable financial reporting framework and the entity's system of internal control</li> <li>(ii) Accounting estimates</li> <li>(iii) Going concern,<sup>13</sup> including related to management's assessment of going concern in the interim financial information</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<p><i>(a) Quality Management Considerations</i> <i>Refer to paragraphs: 24-24A and A21-A32a</i></p> <p><i>(b) Auditor's Responsibilities relating to:</i></p> <p>Understanding the entity: <i>Refer to paragraphs: 40 and A54a-A62</i></p> <p>Accounting Estimates: <i>Refer to paragraphs: 40, 42</i></p> <p>Going Concern (work effort): <i>Refer to paragraphs: 52A-56A and A86-A92a</i></p> <p>Fraud and NOCLAR: <i>Refer to paragraphs: 48-51 and A86-A92</i></p> <p>Other Information: <i>Refer to paragraphs: 15(e1) and A7a-A7ab, 75-77 and A108-A113</i></p>

<sup>13</sup> Reflecting the importance of this topic, some JSS (for example, the UK) have amended their local version of ISRE 2410 to clarify or enhance the auditor's responsibility for, and communication of, going concern-related matters in the interim review engagement, while others (for example Australia and New Zealand) expressed their agreement on the need for a global revision on this matter.

#	Issue	Proposed Actions	Relevant sections or paragraphs addressing the matter
		<p>(iv) Fraud and non-compliance with laws and regulations (NOCLAR)</p> <p>(v) Other information.</p> <p>(c) Special considerations that apply for interim review engagements related to group interim financial information.</p>	<p>Interim Review Engagements of Groups:</p> <p><i>Refer to paragraphs:</i> 37A-37B, 59A, 85(a) and A15a-A51d</p>
3	<b>The clarity of reporting and communication requirements in ISRE 2410, including the clarity for intended users about the nature and scope of an interim review engagement, have been challenged.</b>	<p>3.1 Explore enhancements to the form, structure and presentation of the auditor's review report to enhance the understandability and usefulness of the report to users.</p> <p>3.2 Consider whether and, if so, how certain matters are to be included in the auditor's review report, including going concern-related matters.<sup>14</sup></p> <p>3.3 Explore other ways to promote user understanding of interim review engagements, such as through non-authoritative guidance or implementation support materials.</p>	<p>General: <i>Refer to paragraphs:</i> 86-87 and A126-A142</p> <p><i>Specific matters:</i></p> <p><i>Refer to paragraphs:</i> 98-103 and A150-A150a</p> <p>[Proposed action 3.3 does not involve a standard-setting action. Refer to paragraph 25 of this Agenda Item, for targeted outreach with users proposed on this matter.]</p>
4	<b>There are continued practical challenges with consistently interpreting</b>	<p><b>Materiality</b></p> <p>4.1 Clarify or strengthen, as appropriate, requirements and application material relating to materiality that may support</p>	<p>Materiality</p> <p><i>Refer to paragraphs:</i> 38-39 and A52-A54</p>

<sup>14</sup> For example, some JSS (Australia, Japan, New Zealand, United Kingdom) have made local modifications to their versions of ISRE 2410, requiring a material uncertainty related to going concern that is adequately disclosed in the interim financial information to be reported under the heading "Material Uncertainty Related to Going Concern," consistent with ISA 570 (Revised), instead of reporting this as an "Emphasis of Matter," as currently required under extant ISRE 2410.

#	Issue	Proposed Actions	Relevant sections or paragraphs addressing the matter
	<p><b>and applying specific aspects of ISRE 2410.</b></p>	<p>the auditor's determination of materiality in the specific circumstances of interim review engagements.</p> <p><b>First-time engagements</b></p> <p>4.2 Explore enhancements to clarify any special considerations related to interim review engagements when the auditor is newly appointed and has not performed an audit of the entity's annual financial statements.</p> <p><b>Other matters</b></p> <p>4.3 Explore clarifications or enhancements to requirements or application material relating to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(a) The work effort relating to acceptance and continuance for the interim review engagements.</li> <li>(b) The extent to which foundational matters such as professional judgment and professional skepticism may need to be addressed.</li> <li>(c) The auditor's responsibilities relating to related party relationships and transactions; and comparative information in a review of interim financial information.</li> <li>(d) The procedures performed for the interim review engagement versus procedures the auditor may elect to perform concurrently for purposes of the audit of the financial statements.</li> </ul> <p>4.4 Explore areas for which public sector considerations may be needed.</p>	<p>First-time engagements</p> <p><i>Paragraphs A29, A46, A60-A62</i></p> <p><b>Other matters</b></p> <p>Acceptance and continuance: paragraphs: 25-36A and A33-A49</p> <p>Professional judgment and professional skepticism: paragraphs: 22-23, 81, and A13-A20</p> <p>Related parties: paragraphs: 45-47 and A13-A20</p> <p>Procedures performed at the time of the interim review engagement, for purposes of the audit: Paragraph A70</p> <p>Public sector considerations: Paragraphs A7aa, A50, A85</p>

## Appendix 4

### Approach to the Walkthrough of Proposed ISRE 2410 (Revised)

	Section of Draft Proposed ISRE 2410 (Revised)	Paragraph References
1	Introduction Objectives	1-11; A1a-A7 13-14; A7aa
2	Definitions	15-15A; A7a-A7b
3	Conduct of an Interim Review Engagement in Accordance with this proposed ISRE Relevant Ethical Requirements Professional Skepticism and Professional Judgment	16-23; A8-A20
4	Engagement-Level Quality Management	24-24A; A21-A32a
5	Acceptance and Continuance of the Interim Review Engagement	27-36A; A35a-A50
6	Communications with Management and Those Charged with Governance	37; A50a-A50b
	<b>Planning and Performing the Engagement</b>	
7	<i>Group Interim Review Engagements</i> <i>Materiality</i> <i>Obtaining an Understanding of the Entity and Its Environment ...</i>	37A-40; A51b-A62
8	<i>Designing and Performing Review Procedures</i>	41-44A; A63-A80
9	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Related Parties, Fraud and Non-Compliance with Laws and Regulations</li> </ul>	45-51; A80a-A85
10	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Going Concern</li> </ul>	52A-56A; A86-A92a
11	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Using the Work of an Auditor's Expert</li> <li>Reconciling Interim Financial Information to Underlying Accounting Records</li> </ul>	57-60; A93-A99a



	Section of Draft Proposed ISRE 2410 (Revised)	Paragraph References
	<i>Additional Procedures When the Auditor Becomes Aware that the Financial Statements May Be Materially Misstated</i>	
12	Subsequent Events Written Representations Accumulation and Evaluation of Misstatements Other Information	61-77; A100-A113
13	Forming a Conclusion on the Interim Financial Information	81-85; A117-A125
14	Preparing the Auditor's Interim Review Report	86-87; A126-A142
15	Preparing the Auditor's Interim Review Report <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>Expressing the Auditor's Interim Review Conclusion</i></li> </ul> [Appendix 1 - Illustrative Interim Review Reports]	88-96; A143-A149, A156a Appendix 1
16	Preparing the Auditor's Interim Review Report <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>Going Concern</i></li> </ul> [Appendix 2 - Illustrative Sections of Interim Review Report]	98-103; A150-A151 Appendix 2
17	Preparing the Auditor's Interim Review Report <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>Other Reporting Responsibilities</i></li> <li>• <i>Date of the Auditor's Review Report</i></li> <li>• <i>Report Prescribed by Law or Regulation</i></li> <li>• <i>Emphasis of Matter Paragraphs</i></li> <li>• <i>Other Matter Paragraphs</i></li> <li>• <i>Comparative Information</i></li> </ul>	103A-109; A151a-A156
18	Documentation	110-114; A159-A161