

PROPOSED INTERNATIONAL STANDARD ON SUSTAINABILITY ASSURANCE (ISSA) 5000, GENERAL REQUIREMENTS FOR SUSTAINABILITY ASSURANCE ENGAGEMENTS

[INTRODUCTION, OBJECTIVES, DEFINITIONS AND REQUIREMENTS - MARKED FROM JUNE AND JULY 2024 MEETINGS]

This Agenda Item includes revisions to proposed ISSA 5000, General Requirements for Sustainability Assurance Engagements, marked from the IAASB June 2024 meeting (Agenda Item 2-A.1) or the July mid-quarter Board call (Agenda Item 1-B). Paragraphs marked from the July Board call are indicated by **yellow highlighting** of the paragraph number or a definition, as applicable. Paragraphs that have been the subject of coordination discussions with IESBA are shaded in grey.

Introduction

1. This International Standard on Sustainability Assurance (ISSA) deals with assurance engagements on sustainability information.
- 23.** For purposes of this ISSA, sustainability information is information about sustainability matters. An entity's disclosures about such matters may relate to several different topics (e.g., climate, labor practices, biodiversity) and aspects of topics (e.g., risks and opportunities, metrics and key performance indicators). Law or regulation or sustainability reporting frameworks may describe sustainability matters, topics or aspects of topics in different ways, and may also provide requirements or guidance for the entity in determining the sustainability information to be reported. (Ref: Para. A1, ~~A22A16~~-~~A23A16A~~, ~~A44A32~~, Appendix 1)
- 33A.** Sustainability information is reported in accordance with the criteria. This ISSA requires the practitioner to evaluate whether the criteria that the practitioner expects to be applied in the preparation of the sustainability information are suitable for the engagement circumstances. In the absence of indications to the contrary, framework criteria that are embodied in law or regulation or are established by authorized or recognized organizations that follow a transparent due process are presumed to be suitable. (Ref: Para. ~~A2A1x~~, ~~A199A170~~)
- 43B.** The criteria may specify a process by which the entity identifies sustainability matters to be reported, including the application of materiality in identifying such matters, and the reporting boundary. In this ISSA, "the entity's process to identify sustainability information to be reported" refers to the process applied by the entity to determine the sustainability matters to be reported in the sustainability information and the reporting boundary. (Ref: Para. ~~A3A1A~~)
- 54.** The scope of the assurance engagement may extend to all of the sustainability information to be reported by the entity or only part of that information. For example, some jurisdictions may require the entirety of the sustainability information to be reported in accordance with an established framework to be subject to assurance. However, in certain jurisdictions, law or regulation may require that only climate-related disclosures in an entity's sustainability information be subject to assurance. The reporting requirements of this ISSA require the practitioner to identify or describe the information that is subject to the assurance engagement. (Ref: Para. ~~A4A2~~)
- 65.** This ISSA is premised on the basis that: (Ref: Para. ~~A5A3~~)
 - (a) The members of the engagement team and the engagement quality reviewer (for those engagements where one has been appointed) are subject to the provisions of the

International Ethics Standards Board for Accountants' *International Code of Ethics for Professional Accountants (including International Independence Standards)* (IESBA Code) related to sustainability assurance engagements, or ~~other~~ professional requirements, or requirements in law or regulation, that are at least as demanding; and (Ref: Para. ~~A6A4–A7A5~~, ~~A58A44–A64A49~~)

- (b) The practitioner who is performing the engagement is a member of a firm that is subject to ISQM 1,¹ or ~~other~~ professional requirements, or requirements in law or regulation, regarding the firm's responsibility for its system of quality management, that are at least as demanding as ISQM 1. (Ref: Para. ~~A8A6–A11A9~~, Para. ~~A68A53–A74A58~~)

- ~~76.~~ Quality management within firms that perform assurance engagements, and compliance with ethical principles, including independence requirements, are widely recognized as being in the public interest and an integral part of high-quality assurance engagements. When a practitioner performs a sustainability assurance engagement in accordance with this and other ISSAs, it is important to recognize that this ISSA includes requirements that reflect the premises described in paragraph ~~65~~. (Ref: Para. ~~A8A6–A11A9~~)

Scope of this ISSA

- ~~86A.~~ This ISSA applies to all assurance engagements on sustainability information. It applies to all types of sustainability information, regardless of how that information is presented. (Ref: Para. ~~A12A9A–A14A9B~~)
- ~~97.~~ This ISSA deals with both reasonable and limited assurance engagements. Unless otherwise stated, each requirement of this ISSA applies to both reasonable and limited assurance engagements. Because the level of assurance obtained in a limited assurance engagement is substantially lower than in a reasonable assurance engagement, the procedures the practitioner will perform in a limited assurance engagement will vary in nature and timing from, and are less in extent than for, a reasonable assurance engagement. (Ref: Para. ~~A15A10~~)
- ~~109.~~ The *International Framework for Assurance Engagements* notes that an assurance engagement may be either an attestation engagement or a direct engagement. This ISSA deals only with attestation engagements. Therefore, references in this ISSA to "assurance engagement" or "engagement" mean an attestation engagement.

Relationship with ISAE 3000 (Revised)²

- ~~1149.~~ This ISSA is an overarching standard that includes requirements and application material for all elements of a sustainability assurance engagement. Accordingly, the practitioner is not required to apply ISAE 3000 (Revised) when performing the engagement.

Relationship with the Audited Financial Statements

- ~~1244.~~ ~~This ISSA does not address sustainability information that is required to be included in the entity's financial statements in accordance with the applicable financial reporting framework. Certain information about sustainability matters may be required to be included in the entity's financial statements in accordance with the applicable financial reporting framework. When such information is included in the entity's financial statements subject to audit, the auditor of the~~

¹ International Standard on Quality Management (ISQM) 1, *Quality Management for Firms That Perform Audits or Reviews of Financial Statements, or Other Assurance or Related Services Engagements*

² International Standard on Assurance Engagements (ISAE) 3000 (Revised), *Assurance Engagements Other Than Audits or Reviews of Historical Financial Information*

entity's financial statements is required to apply the International Standards on Auditing apply to such information.

1342. Sustainability information may be presented together with the entity's audited financial statements, for example, as a part of the entity's annual report or in a separate document or documents accompanying the annual report. In these circumstances, the audited financial statements are considered other information for purposes of this ISSA.

Scalability

1443. This ISSA is intended for assurance engagements on sustainability information of all entities, regardless of size or complexity. However, the requirements of this ISSA are intended to be applied in the context of the nature and circumstances of the engagement.

Effective Date

1544. This ISSA is effective for assurance engagements on sustainability information reported:

- (a) For periods beginning on or after December 15, 2026; or
- (b) As at a specific date on or after December 15, 2026.

Earlier application of this ISSA is permitted.

Objectives

1645. In conducting a sustainability assurance engagement, the objectives of the practitioner are:

- (a) To obtain reasonable assurance or limited assurance, as applicable, about whether the sustainability information is free from material misstatement;
- (b) To express a conclusion on the sustainability information through a written report that conveys a reasonable assurance or a limited assurance conclusion, as applicable, and describes the basis for the conclusion; and
- (c) To communicate further as required by this ISSA and any other relevant ISSA.

1746. In all cases when reasonable assurance or limited assurance, as applicable, cannot be obtained and a qualified conclusion in the practitioner's assurance report is insufficient in the circumstances for purposes of reporting to the intended users, this ISSA requires the practitioner to disclaim a conclusion or withdraw from the engagement, where withdrawal is possible under applicable law or regulation.

Definitions

1847. For purposes of the ISSAs, the following terms have the meanings attributed below:

Analytical procedures	Evaluations of sustainability information through analysis of plausible relationships among both quantitative and qualitative data. Analytical procedures also encompass such investigation as is necessary of identified fluctuations or relationships that are inconsistent with other relevant information or that differ from expected values by a significant amount. [Previously 17(a)]
-----------------------	--

Another practitioner	<p>A firm, other than the practitioner's firm, that performs work that the practitioner intends to use for purposes of the <u>sustainability</u> assurance engagement and the practitioner is unable to be sufficiently and appropriately involved in that work. (Ref: Para. A16-A16A)</p> <p>For purposes of the ISSAs:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (a) The work of another practitioner that the practitioner may intend to use for purposes of the sustainability assurance engagement is performed in the context of a separate engagement. (b) Individuals from another practitioner who perform the work are not members of the engagement team as they are not performing procedures on the sustainability assurance engagement. Such individuals are also not practitioner's experts. (c) References to using the work of another practitioner include, when applicable, work performed by individuals from that other firm. <p><u>[Previously 17(a1)]</u></p>
Applicable criteria	<p>The criteria used for the particular sustainability assurance engagement. <u>[Previously 17(a2)]</u></p>
Appropriate party(ies)	<p>Management or those charged with governance, as appropriate, or the engaging party, if different. <u>[Previously 17(b)]</u></p>
Assertions	<p>Representations by the entity, explicit or otherwise, that are embodied in the sustainability information, as used by the practitioner to consider the different types of potential misstatements that may occur. (Ref: Para. <u>A17RA13</u>) <u>[Previously 17(c)]</u></p>
Assurance engagement	<p>An engagement in which a practitioner aims to obtain sufficient appropriate evidence in order to express a conclusion designed to enhance the degree of confidence of the intended users about the sustainability information. Each assurance engagement is either a:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <u>(a)</u> Reasonable assurance engagement – An assurance engagement in which the practitioner reduces engagement risk to an acceptably low level in the circumstances of the engagement as the basis for the practitioner's conclusion. The practitioner's conclusion is expressed in a form that conveys the practitioner's opinion on the outcome of the measurement or evaluation, including presentation and disclosure, of the sustainability matters against the applicable criteria; <u>or</u> <u>(b)</u> Limited assurance engagement – An assurance engagement in which the practitioner reduces engagement risk to a level that is acceptable in the circumstances of the engagement but where that risk is greater than for a reasonable assurance

	<p>engagement as the basis for expressing a conclusion in a form that conveys whether, based on the procedures performed and evidence obtained, a matter(s) has come to the practitioner's attention to cause the practitioner to believe the sustainability information is materially misstated. The nature, timing and extent of procedures performed in a limited assurance engagement is limited compared with that necessary in a reasonable assurance engagement but is planned to obtain a level of assurance that is, in the practitioner's professional judgment, meaningful. To be meaningful, the level of assurance obtained by the practitioner is likely to enhance the intended users' confidence about the sustainability information to a degree that is clearly more than inconsequential.</p> <p>[Previously 17(d)]</p>
Assurance skills and techniques	<p>Planning, evidence gathering, evidence evaluation, communication and reporting skills and techniques demonstrated by an assurance practitioner that are distinct from the expertise in sustainability matters or their evaluation or measurement. [Previously 17(e)]</p>
Attestation engagement	<p>An assurance engagement in which a party other than the practitioner measures or evaluates the sustainability matters against the applicable criteria. [Previously 17(f)]</p>
Comparative information	<p>The sustainability information presented for one or more prior periods. [Previously 17(g)]</p>
Component	<p>An entity, business unit, function or business activity, or some combination thereof, within the reporting boundary, determined by the practitioner for purposes of planning and performing the sustainability assurance engagement. (Ref: Para. A1813) [Previously 17(g1)]</p> <p>For purposes of the ISSAs, a "group component" relates to a component within the reporting entity's operational control, and a "value chain component" relates to a component outside the reporting entity's operational control.</p>
Component practitioner	<p>A firm that performs <u>assurance</u> work related to a component for purposes of the sustainability assurance engagement, and the practitioner is able to be sufficiently and appropriately involved in that work. (Ref: Para. A19-A20) For purposes of the ISSAs, rReferences to a component practitioner include, when applicable, individuals from that firm. The individuals from a component practitioner who perform the work are members of the engagement team. (Ref: Para. <u>A19-A20</u>) [Previously 17(g2)]</p>
Criteria	<p>The benchmarks used to measure or evaluate the sustainability matters. Criteria comprise either framework criteria, entity-developed</p>

	<p>criteria or both. Framework criteria are either fair presentation criteria or compliance criteria. (Ref: Para. A21, A197A467)</p> <p>The term “fair presentation criteria” is used to refer to a sustainability reporting framework that requires compliance with the requirements of the framework and: (Ref: Para. A522A454-A523A455)</p> <p>(a) Acknowledges explicitly or implicitly that, to achieve fair presentation of the sustainability information, it may be necessary for management to provide information beyond that specifically required by the framework; or</p> <p>(b) Acknowledges explicitly that it may be necessary for management to depart from a requirement of the framework to achieve fair presentation of the sustainability information. Such departures are expected to be necessary only in extremely rare circumstances.</p> <p>The term “compliance criteria” is used to refer to a sustainability reporting framework that requires compliance with the requirements of the framework but does not contain the acknowledgments in (a) or (b) above. [Previously 17(h)]</p>
Disclosure(s)	Sustainability information about an aspect of a topic. (Ref: Para. A22A16-A23A16A, Appendix 1) [Previously 17(i)]
Engagement circumstances	The broad context defining the particular assurance engagement, which includes: the terms of the engagement; the scope of the engagement and whether it is a reasonable assurance engagement or a limited assurance engagement; the characteristics of the sustainability matters; the applicable criteria; the information needs of the intended users; relevant characteristics of the entity and its reporting boundary; the characteristics of the entity’s management and those charged with governance; and other matters that may have a significant effect on the engagement. [Previously 17(j)]
Engagement leader	The partner or other individual, appointed by the firm, who is responsible for the engagement and its performance, and for the assurance report that is issued on behalf of the firm, and who, where when required, has the appropriate authority from a professional, legal or regulatory body. “Engagement leader” should be read as referring to its public sector equivalents where relevant. (Ref: Para. A24A17-A25A18) [Previously 17(k)]
Engagement risk	The risk that the practitioner expresses an inappropriate conclusion when the sustainability information is materially misstated. (Ref: Para. A26A19-A28A21R) [Previously 17(l)]
Engaging party	Management, those charged with governance, or another party, that engages the practitioner to perform the assurance engagement. [Previously 17(m)]

Engagement quality review	An objective evaluation of the significant judgments made by the engagement team and the conclusions reached thereon, performed by the engagement quality reviewer and completed on or before the date of the assurance report. [Previously 17(n)]
Engagement quality reviewer	A partner, other individual in the firm, or an external individual, appointed by the firm to perform the engagement quality review. [Previously 17(o)]
Engagement team	The engagement leader and other personnel performing the engagement, and any other individuals who perform procedures on the engagement, excluding a practitioner's external expert and internal auditors who provide direct assistance on an the engagement. (Ref: Para. <u>A29A22-A30A22A</u>) [Previously 17(p)]
Entity	The legal entity, economic entity, or the identifiable portion of a legal or economic entity, or combination of legal or other entities or portions of those entities, to which the sustainability information relates. (Ref: Para. <u>A31A23</u>) [Previously 17(q)]
Evidence	Information, after applying assurance procedures, that the practitioner uses to draw conclusions that form the basis for the practitioner's assurance conclusion and report. Sufficiency of evidence is the measure of the quantity of evidence. Appropriateness of evidence is the measure of the quality of evidence. [Previously 17(r)]
Firm	A sole practitioner, partnership or corporation or other entity of individual practitioners. "Firm" should be read as referring to its public sector equivalents where relevant. (Ref: Para. <u>A32A24</u>) [Previously 17(s)]
Fraud	An intentional act by one or more individuals among management, those charged with governance, employees, or third parties, involving the use of deception to obtain an unjust or illegal advantage. (Ref: Para. <u>A33A24A-A34A24B</u>) [Previously 17(t)]
Further procedures	Procedures, including tests of controls and substantive procedures, performed in response to assessed risks of material misstatement. [Previously 17(u)]
Group	A reporting entity for which group sustainability information is prepared. (Ref: Para. <u>A35A24C</u>) [Previously 17(u1)]
Group sustainability assurance engagement	An assurance engagement on group sustainability information. [Previously 17(u2)]

Group sustainability information	Sustainability information that includes the sustainability information of more than one entity or business unit in accordance with the criteria. (Ref: Para. A36A24D) [Previously 17(u3)]
Historical financial information	Information expressed in financial terms in relation to a particular entity, derived primarily from that entity's accounting system, about economic events occurring in past time periods, or about economic conditions or circumstances at points in time in the past. [Previously 17(v)]
Intended users	The individual(s) or organization(s), or group(s) thereof, that the practitioner expects will use the sustainability assurance report. In some cases, there may be intended users other than those to whom the sustainability assurance report is addressed. (Ref: Para. A37A25-A39A27) [Previously 17(w)]
Internal audit function	A function of an entity that performs assurance and consulting activities designed to evaluate and improve the effectiveness of the entity's governance, risk management and internal control processes. [Previously 17(x)]
Management	The person(s) with executive responsibility for the conduct of the entity's operations. For some entities in some jurisdictions, management includes some or all of those charged with governance, for example, executive members of a governance board, or an owner-manager. [Previously 17(y)]
Management's expert	An individual or organization possessing expertise in a field other than assurance, whose work in that field is used by the entity to assist the entity in preparing the sustainability information. [Previously 17(z)]
Misstatement	A difference between the disclosure(s) and the appropriate measurement or evaluation of the sustainability matters in accordance with the applicable criteria. Misstatements can arise from error or fraud, may be qualitative or quantitative, and include omitted information or information that obscures the presentation of the disclosures. (Ref: Para. A414 , A468A401 , A474A406) [Previously 17(aa)]
Misstatement of the other information	A misstatement of the other information exists when the other information is incorrectly stated or otherwise misleading (including because it omits or obscures information necessary for a proper understanding of a matter disclosed in the other information). [Previously 17(bb)]
Network	A larger structure: (a) That is aimed at cooperation, and

	(b#) That is clearly aimed at profit or cost-sharing or shares common ownership, control or management, common quality management policies or procedures, common business strategy, the use of a common brand name, or a significant part of professional resources. [Previously 17(cc)]
Network firm	A firm or entity that belongs to the firm's network. [Previously 17(dd)]
Other information	Information not subject to the assurance engagement included in a document or documents containing the sustainability information subject to the assurance engagement and the assurance report thereon. [Previously 17(ee)]
Partner	Any individual with authority to bind the firm with respect to the performance of a professional services engagement. (Ref: Para. A40A29) [Previously 17(ff)]
Performance materiality	The amount or amounts set by the practitioner at less than the amount or amounts determined to be material for a quantitative disclosure to reduce to an appropriately low level the probability that the aggregate of uncorrected and undetected misstatements in that disclosure is material. [Previously 17(gg)]
Personnel	Partners and staff in the firm. [Previously 17(hh)]
Practitioner	The individual(s) conducting the engagement (usually the engagement leader or other members of the engagement team, or, as applicable, the firm). Where this ISSA expressly intends that a requirement or responsibility be fulfilled by the engagement leader, the term "engagement leader" rather than "practitioner" is used. [Previously 17(ii)]
Practitioner's expert	An individual or organization possessing expertise in a field other than assurance, whose work in that field is used by the practitioner <u>to assist</u> in obtaining sufficient appropriate evidence. A practitioner's expert may be either a practitioner's internal expert (who is a partner or staff, including temporary staff, of the practitioner's firm or a network firm), or a practitioner's external expert. [Previously 17(jj)]
Professional judgment	The application of relevant training, knowledge, and experience, within the context provided by assurance and ethical standards, in making informed decisions about the courses of action that are appropriate in the circumstances of the engagement. [Previously 17(kk)]
Professional skepticism	An attitude that includes a questioning mind, being alert to conditions which may indicate possible misstatement due to error or fraud, and a critical assessment of evidence. [Previously 17(ll)]

Professional standards	International Standards on Sustainability Assurance (ISSAs) and relevant ethical requirements. [Previously 17(mm)]
Relevant ethical requirements	Principles of professional ethics and ethical requirements that are applicable to practitioners when undertaking assurance engagements on sustainability information. Relevant ethical requirements <u>ordinarily</u> comprise the provisions of the IESBA Code related to sustainability assurance engagements, together with national requirements that are more restrictive, or professional requirements or requirements in law or regulation that an appropriate authority has determined to be at least as demanding as <u>the provisions of</u> the IESBA Code related to sustainability assurance engagements. (Ref: Para. A62-A63) [Previously 17(nn)]
Reporting boundary	Activities, operations, relationships or resources to be included in the entity's sustainability information. <u>For purposes of the ISSAs, the reporting boundary is determined</u> in accordance with the applicable criteria. (Ref: Para. A41A29A- A42A30) [Previously 17(oo)]
Risk of material misstatement	The risk that the sustainability information is materially misstated prior to the engagement. [Previously 17(pp)]
Risk assessment procedures	The procedures designed and performed to: (a) In a limited assurance engagement, identify and assess the risks of material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error, at the disclosure level; and (b) In a reasonable assurance engagement, identify and assess the risks of material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error, of the disclosures at the assertion level. [Previously 17(qq)]
Staff	Professionals, other than partners, including any experts the firm employs. [Previously 17(rr)]
Substantive procedures	Procedures designed to detect material misstatements. Substantive procedures comprise tests of details and analytical procedures. (Ref: Para. A43A31A) [Previously 17(ss)]
Sustainability competence	Competence in the sustainability matters that are the subject of the sustainability assurance engagement and in their measurement or evaluation. [Previously 17(tt)]
Sustainability information	Sustainability information – Information about sustainability matters. (Ref: Para. A44A32) For purposes of the ISSAs: (a) Sustainability information results from measuring or evaluating sustainability matters against the criteria.

	<p>(b) Sustainability information that is the subject of the assurance engagement is the equivalent of “subject matter information” in other IAASB assurance standards.</p> <p>(c) References to “sustainability information to be reported” are intended to relate to the entirety of the sustainability information to be reported by the entity, and are used primarily in the context of the practitioner’s preliminary knowledge of the engagement circumstances.</p> <p>(d) If the assurance engagement does not cover the entirety of the sustainability information reported by the entity, the term “sustainability information” is to be read as the information that is subject to assurance. (Ref: Para. A45A32A) [Previously 17(uu)]</p>
Sustainability matters	<p>Environmental, social, governance or other sustainability-related factors-matters as defined or described in law or regulation or relevant sustainability reporting frameworks, or as determined by the entity for purposes of preparing or presenting sustainability information.</p> <p>For purposes of the ISSAs, sustainability matters being measured or evaluated in accordance with the criteria are the equivalent of “underlying subject matter” in other IAASB assurance standards. (Ref: Para. A46-A46AA32B) [Previously 17(vv)]</p>
System of internal control	<p>The system designed, implemented and maintained by those charged with governance, management and other entity personnel to provide reasonable assurance about the achievement of an entity’s objectives with regard to sustainable business activities and the reliability of sustainability reporting, effectiveness and efficiency of operations, and compliance with applicable laws and regulations related to sustainability matters.</p> <p>The term “controls” refers to policies or procedures that an entity establishes to achieve the control objectives of management or those charged with governance, relating to any aspects of one or more of the components of the system of internal control. [Previously 17(ww)]</p>
Those charged with governance	<p>The person(s) or organization(s) (for example, a corporate trustee) with responsibility for overseeing the strategic direction of the entity and obligations related to the accountability of the entity. This includes overseeing the sustainability reporting process. For some entities in some jurisdictions, those charged with governance may include management personnel, for example, executive members of a governance board of a private or public sector entity, or an owner–manager. [Previously 17(xx)]</p>

Uncorrected misstatements	Misstatements that the practitioner has accumulated during the assurance engagement and that have not been corrected. [Previously 17(yy)]
---------------------------	--

Requirements

Conduct of an Assurance Engagement in Accordance with the ISSAs

Complying with Standards that are Relevant to the Engagement

1918. The practitioner shall comply with this ISSA and any other ISSAs relevant to the engagement.

2049. The practitioner shall not represent compliance with this or any other ISSAs unless the practitioner has complied with the requirements of this ISSA and any other ISSAs relevant to the engagement. (Ref: Para. A47A33–A48A34)

Text of an ISSA

2120. The practitioner shall have an understanding of the entire text of an ISSA, including its application and other explanatory material, to understand its objectives and to apply its requirements properly. (Ref: Para. A49A35–A54A40)

Complying with Relevant Requirements (Ref: Para. A55A41–A56A42)

2224. The practitioner shall comply with each requirement of this ISSA and any other relevant ISSAs unless, in the circumstances of the assurance engagement, the requirement is not relevant because it is conditional and the condition does not exist. Requirements that apply to only limited assurance or reasonable assurance engagements have the letter “L” (limited assurance) or “R” (reasonable assurance), respectively, after the paragraph number. When a requirement applies to both limited and reasonable engagements, but in a differential manner, such requirements have been presented in a columnar format with the “L” (limited assurance) and “R” (reasonable assurance) designations.

2322. In exceptional circumstances, the practitioner may judge it necessary to depart from a relevant requirement in an ISSA. In such circumstances, the practitioner shall perform alternative procedures to achieve the aim of that requirement. The need for the practitioner to depart from a relevant requirement is expected to arise only when the requirement is for a specific procedure to be performed and, in the specific circumstances of the assurance engagement, that procedure would be ineffective in achieving the aim of the requirement.

Documentation of a Departure from a Relevant Requirement

2423. If, in exceptional circumstances, the practitioner judges it necessary to depart from a relevant requirement in this ISSA or any other ISSAs, the practitioner shall document how the alternative procedures performed achieve the aim of that requirement, and the reasons for the departure. (Ref: Para. A43A57)

Failure to Achieve an Objective

2524. If an objective in this ISSA or any other ISSAs relevant to the engagement cannot be achieved, the practitioner shall evaluate whether this requires the practitioner to modify the practitioner's conclusion or withdraw from the assurance engagement (where withdrawal is possible under applicable law or regulation). Failure to achieve an objective in this or any other relevant ISSA represents a significant matter requiring documentation in accordance with paragraph 6863.

Acceptance and Continuance of the Assurance Engagement

2625. The practitioner shall accept or continue the engagement only when:

- (a) The practitioner has no reason to believe that relevant ethical requirements, including independence, will not be satisfied; (Ref: Para. A58A44–A64A49)
- (b) The practitioner has determined that those persons who are to perform the engagement collectively have the appropriate competence and capabilities, including having sufficient time, to perform the engagement; and
- (c) The basis upon which the engagement is to be performed has been agreed, by:
 - (i) ~~Determining–Establishing~~ that the preconditions for an assurance engagement are present (see also paragraphs 7570); and
 - (ii) Confirming that there is a common understanding between the practitioner and the engaging party of the terms of the engagement, including the practitioner's reporting responsibilities (see also paragraph 8478).

2726. If the engaging party imposes a limitation on the scope of the practitioner's work in the terms of a proposed engagement such that the practitioner believes the limitation will result in the practitioner disclaiming a conclusion on the sustainability information, the practitioner shall not accept such an engagement as an assurance engagement, unless required by law or regulation to do so.

2827. The engagement leader shall determine that the firm's policies or procedures for the acceptance and continuance of client relationships and assurance engagements have been followed and that conclusions reached in this regard are appropriate in accepting the engagement in accordance with paragraph 2526. (Ref: Para. A50A65–A52A67)

2928. If the engagement leader obtains information that may have caused the firm to decline the engagement had that information been known by the firm prior to accepting or continuing the client relationship or specific engagement, the engagement leader shall communicate that information promptly to the firm, so that the firm and the engagement leader can take the necessary action.

Firm-level Quality Management

3029. The engagement leader shall be a member of a firm that applies: (Ref: Para. A68A53–A72A58)

- (a) ISQM 1; or
- (b) Professional requirements, or requirements in law or regulation, that an appropriate authority has determined to be at least as demanding as ISQM 1. (Ref: Para. A73A58A–A74A58B)

Engagement-level Quality Management

Overall Responsibility for Managing and Achieving Quality

3130. The engagement leader shall take overall responsibility for managing and achieving quality on the engagement and being sufficiently and appropriately involved throughout the engagement such that the engagement leader has the basis for determining whether the significant judgments made, and the conclusions reached, are appropriate given the nature and circumstances of the engagement. (Ref: Para. A75A59–A79A63)

3234. If the engagement leader assigns the design or performance of procedures, tasks or actions related to a requirement of this ISSA to other members of the engagement team to assist the

engagement leader in complying with the requirements of this ISSA, the engagement leader shall continue to take overall responsibility for managing and achieving quality on the engagement through direction and supervision of those members of the engagement team, and review of their work. (Ref: Para. [A80A60-A64](#))

Characteristics of the Engagement Leader

[3332](#). The engagement leader shall have: (Ref: Para. [A81A65-A83A67](#))

- (a) Competence and capabilities in assurance skills and techniques developed through extensive training and practical application;
- (b) An understanding of the relevant ethical requirements, including those related to independence, that are applicable given the nature and circumstances of the assurance engagement; and
- (c) Sustainability competence sufficient to accept responsibility for the conclusions reached on the engagement.

Relevant Ethical Requirements, Including Those Related to Independence

[3433](#). The practitioner shall comply with relevant ethical requirements, including those related to independence, that comprise: (Ref: Para. [A58A44-A61A47](#), [A64A49](#))

- (a) The provisions of the IESBA Code related to sustainability assurance engagements, together with national requirements that are more restrictive; or
- (b) Professional requirements, or requirements in law or regulation, that an appropriate authority has determined to be at least as demanding as the provisions of the IESBA Code related to sustainability assurance engagements. (Ref: Para: [A62A48-A63A48A](#))

[3534](#). The engagement leader shall take responsibility for other members of the engagement team having been made aware of relevant ethical requirements that are applicable given the nature and circumstances of the engagement, and the firm's related policies or procedures, including those that address: (Ref: Para. [A84A68-A85A69](#))

- (a) Identifying, evaluating and addressing threats to compliance with relevant ethical requirements, including those related to independence;
- (b) Circumstances that may cause a breach of relevant ethical requirements, including those related to independence, and the responsibilities of members of the engagement team when they become aware of breaches; and
- (c) The responsibilities of members of the engagement team when they become aware of an instance of non-compliance with laws and regulations by the entity.

[3635](#). If matters come to the engagement leader's attention that indicate that a threat to compliance with relevant ethical requirements exists, the engagement leader shall evaluate the threat through complying with the firm's policies or procedures, using relevant information from the firm, the engagement team or other sources, and take appropriate action. (Ref: Para. [A85A69-A86A70](#))

[3736](#). Throughout the engagement, the engagement leader shall remain alert, through observation and making inquiries as necessary, for evidence of breaches of relevant ethical requirements by members of the engagement team. If matters come to the engagement leader's attention through the firm's system of quality management or otherwise that indicate that members of the engagement team have breached relevant ethical requirements, the engagement leader, in consultation with others in the firm, shall determine the appropriate action. (Ref: Para. [A86A70](#))

Assurance Skills and Techniques, Professional Skepticism and Professional Judgment

3837. The practitioner shall apply assurance skills and techniques as part of an iterative, systematic engagement process.
3938. The practitioner shall plan and perform ~~an-the~~ engagement with professional skepticism, recognizing that circumstances may exist that cause the sustainability information to be materially misstated. (Ref: Para. A87A71-A92A76)
4039. The practitioner shall exercise professional judgment in planning and performing the assurance engagement, including determining the nature, timing and extent of procedures. (Ref: Para. A93A77-A95A79)

Engagement Resources

4140. The engagement leader shall determine that sufficient and appropriate resources to perform the engagement are assigned or made available to the engagement team in a timely manner, taking into account the nature and circumstances of the engagement, the firm's policies or procedures, and any changes that may arise during the engagement. (Ref: Para. A96A80-A97A84)
4244. The engagement leader shall determine that members of the engagement team, and any practitioner's external experts and internal auditors who provide direct assistance, collectively have the appropriate sustainability competence, competence and capabilities in assurance skills and techniques, and sufficient time, to perform the engagement. (Ref: Para. A98A82-A102A86)
4342. If the practitioner intends to obtain evidence from using the work of a firm other than the practitioner's firm, the engagement leader shall determine whether the engagement leader will be able to be sufficiently and appropriately involved in such work. When the engagement leader: (Ref: Para. A103A87-A106A90)
- (a) Is able to be sufficiently and appropriately involved in that work, that firm is a component practitioner and the individuals performing the work are part of the engagement team. In such circumstances, the practitioner shall apply paragraphs 4645-4948 with respect to that work;
 - (b) Is unable to be sufficiently and appropriately involved in that work, that firm is another practitioner, and the practitioner shall apply paragraphs 5054-5554 with respect to that work.
4443. If, as a result of complying with the requirements in paragraphs 4140-4342, the engagement leader determines that resources assigned or made available are insufficient or inappropriate in the circumstances of the engagement, the engagement leader shall take appropriate action, including communicating with appropriate individuals in the firm about the need to assign or make available additional or alternative resources to the engagement. (Ref: Para. A107A92-A109A94)
4544. The engagement leader shall take responsibility for using the resources assigned or made available to the engagement team appropriately, given the nature and circumstances of the engagement. (Ref: Para. A110A95)

Direction, Supervision and Review

4645. The engagement leader shall take responsibility for the direction and supervision of the members of the engagement team and the review of their work. (Ref: Para. A111A96-A116A104)
4746. The engagement leader shall determine that the nature, timing and extent of direction, supervision and review is: (Ref: Para. A117A102-A118A103)
- (a) Planned and performed in accordance with the firm's policies or procedures, professional

standards and applicable legal and regulatory requirements; and

- (b) Responsive to the nature and circumstances of the engagement and the resources assigned or made available to the engagement team by the firm.

4847. The engagement leader shall review engagement documentation at appropriate points in time during the engagement, including documentation relating to: (Ref: Para. [A119A104-A121A106](#), [A175A144](#))

- (a) Significant matters;
- (b) Significant judgments, including those relating to difficult or contentious matters identified during the engagement, and the conclusions reached; and
- (c) Other matters that, in the engagement leader's professional judgment, are relevant to the engagement leader's responsibilities.

4948. The engagement leader shall review, prior to their issuance, formal written communications to management, those charged with governance or regulatory authorities. (Ref: Para. [A122A107](#))

Using the Work of Others

Using the Work of Another Practitioner

5054. If the practitioner intends to obtain evidence from using the work of another practitioner, the practitioner shall: (Ref: Para. [A123A107A-A124A107B](#))

- (a) Comply with relevant ethical requirements that apply to using the work of another practitioner; (Ref: Para. [A125-A126A16-A16A](#))
- (b) Evaluate whether that practitioner has the necessary competence and capabilities for the practitioner's purposes; (Ref: Para. [A127A107C-A107E](#))
- (c) Evaluate whether the nature, scope and objectives of that practitioner's work are appropriate for the practitioner's purposes; and (Ref: Para. [A128A107F](#))
- (d) Determine whether the evidence obtained from that practitioner's work is adequate for the practitioner's purposes. (Ref: Para. [A124A107B](#))

5154A. In making the evaluation in accordance with paragraph [5450\(b\)](#) and determination in accordance with paragraph [5450\(c\)](#), if the practitioner plans to use an [assurance](#) report of another practitioner that has been designed for [use by the purpose of conveying assurance to other](#) user entities and their assurance practitioners across a value chain (referred to [herein in this ISSA](#) as a one-to-many report), the practitioner shall determine whether that assurance report provides sufficient appropriate evidence for the practitioner's purposes by evaluating: (Ref: Para. [A129-A107A131](#), [A293A269E](#))

- (a) Whether the description of the procedures performed and the results thereof are appropriate for the practitioner's purposes; and
- (b) The adequacy of the standard(s) under which the assurance report was issued

5254B. If the practitioner intends to obtain evidence about the operating effectiveness of controls in accordance with paragraphs [117R407R](#) or [118L408L](#), as applicable, the practitioner shall determine whether any complementary user entity controls identified in [the-an](#) assurance report of another practitioner are relevant to the user entity. (Ref: Para: [A130A107H](#))

5352. In making the determination in accordance with paragraph [5450\(c\)](#), the practitioner shall, to the extent necessary in the circumstances, communicate with another practitioner about the findings from another practitioner's work. (Ref: Para. [A132A107J-A133A107K](#))

5452A. The practitioner shall determine whether, and the extent to which, it is necessary to review additional documentation of the work performed by another practitioner. (Ref: Para. A134A107L)

5553. If the practitioner determines that the evidence obtained from the work of another practitioner is not adequate for the practitioner's purposes, including when the practitioner is unable to obtain information to make that determination or when the practitioner is not satisfied that communications with another practitioner are adequate for the practitioners' purposes, the practitioner shall:

- (a) Determine whether the practitioner is able to obtain sufficient appropriate evidence through performing alternative procedures; and
- (b) If sufficient appropriate evidence cannot be obtained through performing alternative procedures, consider the implications for the engagement, including whether a scope limitation exists. (Ref: Para. A135A107M)

Using the Work of a Practitioner's Expert

5654A. If the practitioner plans to use the work of a practitioner's expert, the practitioner shall: (Ref: Para. A136A108-A138A110)

- (a) Evaluate whether the expert has the necessary competence, capabilities and objectivity for the practitioner's purposes. (Ref: Para. A139A111-A142A112)
- (b) When evaluating the objectivity of a practitioner's external expert, inquire regarding interests and relationships that may create a threat to that expert's objectivity; (Ref: Para. A142-A144A113)
- (c) Obtain a sufficient understanding of the field of expertise of the expert to determine the nature, scope and objectives of that expert's work for the practitioner's purposes; and (Ref: Para. A145-A146A114)
- (d) Agree with the expert, in writing when appropriate, on:
 - (i) The nature, scope and objectives of that expert's work; and (Ref: Para. A146A114-A147A114B)
 - (ii) The respective roles and responsibilities of the practitioner and that expert, including the nature, timing and extent of communication between the practitioner and expert. (Ref: Para A148A115-A149A115B)

5754B. The practitioner shall evaluate the adequacy of the practitioner's expert's work for the practitioner's purposes, including: (Ref: Para A150A115C-A116)

- (a) The relevance and reasonableness of that expert's findings or conclusions, and their consistency with other evidence obtained by the practitioner;
- (b) If that expert's work involves use of significant assumptions and methods, the relevance and reasonableness of those assumptions and methods in the circumstances; and
- (c) If that expert's work involves the use of source data that is significant to that expert's work, the relevance, completeness, and accuracy of that source data.

5854C. If the practitioner determines that the work of the practitioner's expert is not adequate for the practitioner's purposes, the practitioner shall:

- (a) Agree with that expert on the nature and extent of further work to be performed; or
- (b) Perform additional procedures appropriate to the circumstances.

Using the Work of the Internal Audit Function

~~5955~~. If the practitioner plans to use the work of the internal audit function, the practitioner shall: (Ref: Para. ~~A152A126-A154A128~~)

- (a) Evaluate the extent to which the internal audit function's organizational status and relevant policies and procedures support the objectivity of the internal auditors;
- (b) Evaluate the level of competence of the internal audit function, including in sustainability matters and applicable criteria;
- (c) Evaluate whether the internal audit function applies a systematic and disciplined approach, including a system of quality control;
- (d) Determine whether, and to what extent, to use specific work of the internal audit function; and
- (e) Determine whether that work is adequate for the practitioner's purposes.

Communications Among Those Involved in the Engagement

~~6055A~~. The engagement leader shall take responsibility for determining that communications take place at appropriate times throughout the engagement among the engagement team and, as applicable, practitioner's external experts and the internal audit function. (Ref: Para. ~~A155A128A-A159A128E~~)

Consultation

~~6156~~. The engagement leader shall take responsibility for the engagement team undertaking consultation on:

- (a) Difficult or contentious matters and matters on which the firm's policies or procedures require consultation; and
- (b) Other matters that, in the engagement leader's professional judgment, require consultation.

Engagement Quality Review

~~6257~~. For those engagements for which an engagement quality review is required in accordance with ISQM 1³ or the firm's policies or procedures, the engagement leader shall discuss significant matters and significant judgments arising during the engagement, including those identified during the engagement quality review, with the engagement quality reviewer.

Monitoring and Remediation

~~6358~~. The engagement leader shall: (Ref: Para. ~~A129A160-A130A161~~)

- (a) Consider information from the firm's monitoring and remediation process, as communicated by the firm, including, as applicable, information from the monitoring and remediation process of the network and across the network firms; and

³ ~~ISQM 1, Quality Management for Firms that Perform Audits or Reviews of Financial Statements, or Other Assurance or Related Services Engagements~~

- (b) Determine whether the information may affect the engagement and, if so, take appropriate action.

Fraud and Non-Compliance with Laws ~~or~~ and Regulations

~~6459~~. The practitioner shall maintain professional skepticism throughout the engagement, recognizing the possibility that a material misstatement due to fraud could exist, notwithstanding the practitioner's past experience of the honesty and integrity of the entity's management and those charged with governance. (Ref: Para. ~~A134~~A162)

~~6560~~. The practitioner shall remain alert to the possibility that procedures performed during the engagement may bring instances of non-compliance or suspected non-compliance with laws ~~and~~or regulations to the practitioner's attention. ~~(Ref: Para. A132-136)~~

~~6664~~. In the absence of identified or suspected non-compliance, the practitioner is not required to perform procedures regarding the entity's compliance with laws and regulations, other than those set out in paragraphs ~~60-65~~and 101-109-110.

66A. If the practitioner identifies fraud or suspected fraud, or instances of non-compliance or suspected non-compliance with laws and regulations, the practitioner shall determine whether law, regulation or relevant ethical requirements: (Ref: Para. A163-A167, A429)

- (a) Require the practitioner to report to an appropriate authority outside the entity; or
- (b) Establish responsibilities under which reporting to an appropriate authority outside the entity may be appropriate in the circumstances.

Communication with Management and Those Charged with Governance

~~6762~~. The practitioner shall communicate with management or those charged with governance, on a timely basis during the engagement, significant matters that, in the practitioner's professional judgment, merit the attention of management or those charged with governance, as appropriate. (Ref: Para. ~~A168~~A137-A172~~A440~~)

Documentation

Overarching Documentation Requirements

Form, Content and Extent of Engagement Documentation

~~6863~~. The practitioner shall prepare, on a timely basis, engagement documentation that provides a record of the basis for the assurance report that is sufficient and appropriate to enable a practitioner experienced in sustainability assurance, having no previous connection with the assurance engagement, to understand: (Ref: Para. ~~A173~~A141-A175~~A143~~)

- (a) The nature, timing and extent of the procedures performed to comply with this ISSA, other relevant ISSAs and applicable legal and regulatory requirements;
- (b) The results of the procedures performed, and the evidence obtained; and
- (c) Significant matters arising during the assurance engagement, the conclusions reached thereon, and significant professional judgments made in reaching those conclusions. (Ref: Para. ~~A176~~A144-A178~~A146~~)

~~6964~~. In documenting the nature, timing and extent of procedures performed, the practitioner shall record: (Ref: Para. ~~A179~~A147-A180~~A148~~)

- (a) The identifying characteristics of the specific items or matters tested;

- (b) Who performed the assurance engagement work and the date such work was completed; and
- (c) Who reviewed the assurance engagement work performed and the date and extent of such review.

7065. The engagement documentation shall also include discussions of significant matters with management, those charged with governance and others, including the nature of the significant matters discussed, and when and with whom the discussions took place. (Ref: Para. A181A149)

Assembly of the Final Engagement File

7166. The practitioner shall assemble the engagement documentation in an engagement file and complete the administrative process of assembling the final engagement file on a timely basis after the date of the assurance report. After the assembly of the final engagement file has been completed, the practitioner shall not delete or discard engagement documentation of any nature before the end of its retention period. (Ref: Para. A182A150-A184A152)

7267. In circumstances other than those envisaged in paragraph 209492, when the practitioner finds it necessary to modify existing engagement documentation or add new engagement documentation after the assembly of the final engagement file has been completed, the practitioner shall, regardless of the nature of the modifications or additions, document:

- (a) The specific reasons for making them; and
- (b) When and by whom they were made and reviewed.

Documentation Related to Quality Management

7368. The practitioner shall include in the engagement documentation: (Ref: Para. A185A153)

~~(aa) When applicable, the basis for the practitioner's determination, in accordance with paragraph 29(c) or paragraph 33(c), respectively, that:~~

- ~~(i) Professional requirements, or requirements in law or regulation, are at least as demanding as ISQM 1.~~
- ~~(ii) Ethical requirements in relevant law, regulation or professional requirements related to sustainability assurance engagements are at least as demanding as the IESBA Code.~~

- (a) Issues identified with respect to compliance with relevant ethical requirements and how they were resolved;
- (b) Conclusions about compliance with independence requirements that apply to the engagement, and any relevant discussions with the firm that support these conclusions;
- (c) Conclusions reached regarding the acceptance and continuance of client relationships and assurance engagements, including with respect to the preconditions for an assurance engagement; and
- (d) The nature and scope of, and conclusions resulting from, consultations undertaken during the course of the engagement.

Preconditions for an Assurance Engagement

~~Determining~~ Establishing Whether the Preconditions are Present

~~7469.~~ The practitioner shall obtain a preliminary knowledge of the engagement circumstances, including: (Ref: Para. ~~A154~~A186)

- (a) The sustainability information to be reported; and
- (b) Whether the scope of the proposed assurance engagement encompasses all or part of the sustainability information in (a). (Ref: Para. ~~A158~~A187)

~~7570.~~ In order to establish whether the preconditions for an assurance engagement are present, based on the preliminary knowledge of the engagement circumstances and discussion with the appropriate party(ies), the practitioner shall: (Ref: Para. ~~A154–A186, and A159A~~A188–A159BA189)

- (a) Consider whether the entity has a process to identify sustainability information to be reported; (Ref: Para. ~~A159BA~~A189)
- (b) Evaluate whether the roles and responsibilities of management, those charged with governance and the engaging party, if different, are suitable in the circumstances. In doing so, the practitioner shall also evaluate whether management, or those charged with governance, when appropriate, have a reasonable basis for the sustainability information; and (Ref: Para. A188(a), A160A190–A163A193)
- (c) Evaluate whether the engagement exhibits all of the characteristics in paragraphs ~~7476–7479.~~

Appropriate Sustainability Matters

~~7674.~~ The practitioner shall evaluate whether the sustainability matters within the scope of the engagement are appropriate. In doing so, the practitioner shall consider whether those sustainability matters are identifiable and capable of consistent measurement or evaluation against the applicable criteria, such that the resulting sustainability information can be subjected to procedures for obtaining sufficient appropriate evidence. (Ref: Para. ~~A154, A164A~~A194–A165A195)

Suitability and Availability of Criteria

~~7772.~~ The practitioner shall evaluate whether the criteria that the practitioner expects to be applied in the preparation of the sustainability information are suitable for the engagement circumstances and will be available to the intended users. In doing so, the practitioner shall: (~~Ref: Para. A154~~)

- (a) Evaluate whether there are criteria for all of the sustainability information subject to the assurance engagement; (Ref: Para. ~~A166A~~A196)
- (b) Identify the sources of the criteria, including whether they are framework criteria, entity–developed criteria or a combination of both; (Ref: Para. ~~A167A197–A170A201A, A301A~~)
- (c) Evaluate whether the criteria exhibit the following characteristics: (Ref: Para. ~~A172A202–A174A204, A301BA332–A304EA335~~)
 - (i) Relevance; (Ref: Para. ~~A301FA336–A304GA337~~)
 - (ii) Completeness; (Ref: Para. ~~A304HA338~~)
 - (iii) Reliability; (Ref: Para. ~~A304IA339~~)
 - (iv) Neutrality; (Ref: Para. ~~A304JA340–A304KA341~~) and

- (v) Understandability; and (Ref: Para. ~~A301MA342~~)
- (d) Evaluate whether and how the criteria will be made available to the intended users. (Ref: Para. ~~A186A205~~)

Evidence to Support the Practitioner's Conclusion and Form of Conclusion

~~7873.~~ The practitioner shall determine whether: ~~(Ref: Para. A154)~~

- (a) The practitioner expects to be able to obtain the evidence needed to support the practitioner's conclusion; and (Ref: Para. ~~A187A206-A190LA209L~~)
- (b) The practitioner's conclusion, in the form appropriate for the engagement, is to be contained in a written report.

Rational Purpose

~~7974.~~ The practitioner shall determine whether the engagement exhibits a rational purpose. In doing so, the practitioner shall determine whether: (Ref: Para. ~~A154, A191A210-A192A211~~)

- (a) In the case of a limited assurance engagement, the practitioner expects to be able to obtain a meaningful level of assurance; (Ref: Para. ~~A193LA212L-A195LA214L~~)
- (b) The engagement as a whole will be useful and not misleading to intended users; and
- (c) The scope of the assurance engagement is appropriate, including when the scope of the assurance engagement excludes part of the sustainability information to be reported. (Ref: Para. ~~A196A215-A204A220~~)

Deciding Whether to Accept or Continue the Assurance Engagement

~~8075.~~ If the preconditions for an assurance engagement are not present, the practitioner shall discuss the matter with the engaging party. If changes cannot be made to meet the preconditions, the practitioner shall not accept the engagement as an assurance engagement, unless required by law or regulation to do so. However, an engagement accepted under such circumstances does not comply with this ISSA. Accordingly, the practitioner shall not include any reference within the assurance report to the engagement having been conducted in accordance with this ISSA or any other ISSAs.

Preconditions Not Present After Acceptance

~~8176.~~ If it is discovered after the engagement has been accepted that one or more preconditions for an assurance engagement is not present, the practitioner shall discuss the matter with the appropriate party(ies), and shall determine: (Ref: Para. ~~A202A221~~)

- (a) Whether the matter can be resolved to the practitioner's satisfaction;
- (b) Whether it is appropriate to continue with the engagement; and
- (c) Whether and, if so, how to communicate the matter in the assurance report.

~~8276A.~~ If it is discovered after the engagement has been accepted that some or all of the applicable criteria are unsuitable or some or all of the sustainability matters are not appropriate for an assurance engagement, the practitioner shall consider withdrawing from the engagement, if withdrawal is possible under applicable law or regulation. If the practitioner continues with the engagement, the practitioner shall express a qualified or adverse conclusion, or disclaimer of conclusion, as appropriate in the circumstances. (Ref: Para. ~~A202A221~~)

Assurance Report Prescribed by Law or Regulation

8377. If law or regulation prescribes the layout or wording of the assurance report that is different from the requirements of the ISSAs, the practitioner shall evaluate:

- (a) Whether intended users may misunderstand the practitioner's conclusion; and
- (b) If so, whether additional explanation in the assurance report can mitigate the possible misunderstanding.

If the practitioner concludes that additional explanation in the assurance report cannot mitigate the possible misunderstanding, the practitioner shall not accept the assurance engagement, unless required by law or regulation to do so. An assurance engagement conducted in accordance with such law or regulation does not comply with this ISSA. Accordingly, the practitioner shall not include any reference in the assurance report to the assurance engagement having been conducted in accordance with this ISSA.

Terms of the Assurance Engagement

Agreeing the Terms of the Assurance Engagement

8478. The practitioner shall agree the terms of the assurance engagement with the engaging party. The agreed terms shall be specified in sufficient detail in an engagement letter or other suitable form of written agreement, written confirmation, or in law or regulation, and shall include: (Ref: Para. [A203A222-A206A225](#))

- (a) Matters related to the objective and scope of the assurance engagement, including:
 - (i) The objective of the assurance engagement;
 - (ii) The sustainability information within the scope of the assurance engagement, and the sustainability information that is not within the scope of the assurance engagement;
 - (iii) The reporting boundary~~(ies)~~ within the scope of the assurance engagement;
 - (iv) Whether the engagement is a limited assurance engagement, reasonable assurance engagement, or, a combination of both combined limited and reasonable assurance engagement, and the sustainability information that is subject to each level of assurance;
 - (v) The applicable criteria; and
 - (vi) That the assurance engagement will be conducted in accordance with ISSA 5000 *General Requirements for Sustainability Assurance Engagements*.
- (b) The responsibilities of the practitioner;
- (c) The responsibilities of management or those charged with governance, as appropriate for:
 - (i) The preparation of the sustainability information in accordance with the applicable criteria, including, where relevant, its fair presentation;
 - (ii) When applicable, identifying, selecting or developing suitable criteria;
 - (iii) Referring to or describing in its sustainability information, the applicable criteria it has used and, when it is not readily apparent from the engagement circumstances, who developed them;
 - (iv) Designing, implementing and maintaining a system of internal control that the entity determines is necessary to enable the preparation of sustainability information in

accordance with the applicable criteria that is free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error;

- (v) Providing the practitioner with:
 - a. Access to all information of which management is aware that is relevant to the preparation of the sustainability information;
 - b. Additional information that the ~~assurance~~-practitioner may request for the purpose of the assurance engagement; and
 - c. Unrestricted access to persons within the entity, from whom the practitioner determines it necessary to obtain evidence;
- (d) Reference to the expected form and content of the report or reports to be issued by the practitioner and a statement that there may be modifications to ~~that the~~ report in certain circumstances; and
- (e) An acknowledgement that management agrees to provide written representations at the conclusion of the assurance engagement.

~~8579~~. For recurring assurance engagements, the practitioner shall evaluate whether the circumstances require the terms of the assurance engagement to be revised or there is a need to remind the appropriate party(ies) of the existing terms.

Changing the Terms of the Assurance Engagement

~~8689~~. The practitioner shall not agree to a change in the terms of the assurance engagement, including from a reasonable assurance engagement to a limited assurance engagement (i.e., to a lower level of assurance), when there is no reasonable justification for doing so. If the practitioner is unable to agree to a request to change in the terms of the assurance engagement and is not permitted by the appropriate party(ies) to continue the assurance engagement under the original terms, the practitioner shall: (Ref: Para. ~~A207A226–A208A227~~)

- (a) Withdraw from the assurance engagement, when possible, under applicable law or regulation; and
- (b) Determine whether there is any obligation, either contractual or otherwise, to report the circumstances to other parties, such as those charged with governance, owners or regulators.

~~8784~~. If the terms of the assurance engagement are changed:

- (a) The practitioner and the appropriate party(ies) shall agree on and record the new terms of the assurance engagement in an engagement letter or other suitable form of written agreement; and
- (b) The practitioner shall not disregard evidence that was obtained prior to the change.

Evidence

Designing and Performing Procedures to Obtain Sufficient Appropriate Evidence

~~8882~~. For the purpose of obtaining sufficient appropriate evidence, the practitioner shall design and perform procedures: (Ref: Para. ~~A228A209–A229A240~~)

- (a) In a manner that is not biased towards obtaining evidence that may be corroborative, or towards excluding evidence that may be contradictory; and (Ref: Para. ~~A230A241–A231A242~~)

- (b) The nature, timing and extent of which are appropriate in the circumstances to provide evidence to meet the intended purpose of those procedures. (Ref: Para. [A232A213–A247A228](#))

Information Intended to be Used as Evidence

[8983](#). When designing and performing procedures, the practitioner shall evaluate the relevance and reliability of information intended to be used as evidence, including information obtained from sources external to the entity. (Ref: Para. [A248A229–A266A246](#))

[9084](#). When using information produced by the entity, the practitioner shall evaluate whether the information is sufficiently reliable for the practitioner's purposes, including, as necessary in the circumstances: (Ref: [A267A247–A268A248](#))

- (a) Obtaining evidence about the accuracy and completeness of the information; and
- (b) Evaluating whether the information is sufficiently precise and detailed for the practitioner's purposes.

Work Performed by a Management's Expert

[9185](#). If information intended to be used as evidence has been prepared by a management's expert, as part of the practitioner's evaluation in accordance with paragraph [8983](#), the practitioner shall, to the extent necessary, having regard to the significance of that expert's work for the practitioner's purposes: (Ref: Para. [A269A249](#))

- (a) Evaluate the competence, capabilities and objectivity of that expert; (Ref: Para. [A270A250–A271A251](#))
- (b) Obtain an understanding of the work performed by that expert; ~~and~~ (Ref: Para. [A272A252](#))
- (c) Obtain an understanding about how the information prepared by that expert has been used by management in the preparation of the sustainability information—; ~~and~~ (Ref: Para. [A273A253–A274A254](#))

(d) Evaluate the appropriateness of the work of that expert as evidence. (Ref: A274A)

Doubts About the Relevance and Reliability of Information Intended to be Used as Evidence

[9286](#). If conditions identified during the assurance engagement cause the practitioner to believe that a document may not be authentic or that terms in a document have been modified but not disclosed to the practitioner, the practitioner shall investigate further and determine the effect on the rest of the evidence obtained. (Ref: Para. [A275A255–A277A257](#))

[9387](#). If the practitioner has doubts about the relevance or reliability of information intended to be used as evidence, the practitioner shall: (Ref: Para. [A278A258](#))

- (a) Determine whether modifications or additions to procedures are necessary to resolve the doubts; and
- (b) If the doubts cannot be resolved, consider the effect, if any, on other aspects of the engagement, including whether such doubts indicate a risk that disclosures may be materially misstated due to fraud.

Planning

Planning Activities

9489. The practitioner shall develop an overall strategy and engagement plan, including determining the nature, timing and extent of planned procedures. In doing so, the engagement leader shall consider information obtained in the acceptance and continuance process and, if ~~applicable~~applicable, whether knowledge obtained on other engagements performed by the engagement leader for the entity is relevant. (Ref: Para. ~~A279A259-A289A269~~)

9589A. For a group sustainability assurance engagement, in developing the overall strategy and engagement plan in accordance with paragraph 8994, the practitioner shall determine: (Ref: Para. ~~A286A266-A293A269E~~)

- (a) The sustainability information on which ~~procedures~~assurance work will be performed and the source of that information; (Ref: Para. ~~A290A269A~~)
- (b) The resources needed to perform the engagement, including component practitioner(s); and (Ref: Para. ~~A108, A291A269B-A292A269E~~)
- (c) Whether to obtain evidence from the work performed by another practitioner(s). (Ref: Para. ~~A293A269B-A269E~~)

9690. The engagement leader and other key members of the engagement team shall be involved in planning the assurance engagement, including participating in the discussion among the engagement team members required by paragraph 10496.

Materiality

9794. For purposes of planning and performing the assurance engagement, and evaluating whether the sustainability information is free from material misstatement, the practitioner shall: (Ref: Para. ~~A268, A294A270-A301A277, A282~~)

- (a) Consider materiality for qualitative disclosures; and (Ref: Para. ~~A302A278~~)
- (b) Determine materiality for quantitative disclosures. (Ref: Para. ~~A303A278-A307A281~~)

9894A. _____ If the applicable criteria require the entity to apply both financial materiality and impact materiality, in preparing the sustainability information, the practitioner shall take into account~~apply~~ both perspectives when considering or determining materiality in accordance with paragraph 9794. (Ref: Para. ~~A308A281A, A337A301G~~)

9992. For quantitative disclosures, the practitioner shall determine performance materiality. (Ref: Para. ~~A285A, A309A283-A313A285~~)

Revision of Materiality as The Engagement Progresses

10092A. The practitioner shall revise materiality for a disclosure(s) in the event of becoming aware of information during the assurance engagement that would have caused the practitioner to have considered or determined a different materiality initially. (Ref: Para. ~~A314A285A~~)

Documentation

10193. The practitioner shall include in the engagement documentation:

- (a) The factors relevant to the practitioner's consideration of materiality for qualitative disclosures in accordance with paragraph 97(a)~~91(a)~~; (Ref: Para. ~~A278~~)

- (b) The basis for the determination of materiality for quantitative disclosures, in accordance with paragraph ~~97((b)91(b))~~; and ~~(Ref: Para. A278-A284)~~
- (c) The basis for the practitioner's determination of performance materiality in accordance with paragraph ~~9992~~.

Risk Assessment Procedures

Designing and Performing Risk Assessment Procedures

Limited Assurance	Reasonable Assurance
<p>102L94L. The practitioner shall design and perform risk assessment procedures sufficient to: (Ref: Para. A315A286-A320A294, A413AL)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (a) Identify and assess risks of material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error, at the disclosure level; and (b) Design and perform further procedures. 	<p>94R102R. The practitioner shall design and perform risk assessment procedures sufficient to: (Ref: Para. A315A286-A320A294, A413RA353R)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (a) Identify and assess risks of material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error, at the assertion level for the disclosures; and (b) Design and perform further procedures.

~~10395~~. When designing and performing risk assessment procedures in accordance with paragraphs ~~102L94L~~ and ~~102R94R~~, the practitioner shall consider information from the practitioner's procedures regarding acceptance and continuance of the client relationship or the sustainability assurance engagement. (Ref: Para. ~~A321A292~~)

~~10496~~. The engagement leader and other key members of the engagement team, and any key practitioner's external experts, shall discuss the susceptibility of the disclosures to material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error, and the application of the applicable criteria to the entity's facts and circumstances. The engagement leader shall determine which matters are to be communicated to members of the engagement team and to any practitioner's external experts not involved in the discussion. (Ref: Para. ~~A322A293-A323A294~~)

Understanding the Sustainability Matters and the Sustainability Information

~~10597~~. The practitioner shall obtain an understanding of the sustainability matters and the sustainability information, including the characteristics of events or conditions that could give rise to material misstatement of the disclosures. (Ref: Para. ~~A324A295-A327A298~~)

~~Determining the Suitability of the Applicable Criteria and Evaluating the Appropriateness of their Application~~

~~10697A~~. The practitioner shall determine whether the applicable criteria are suitable for the engagement circumstances, including that they exhibit the characteristics in paragraph ~~7772~~. (Ref: Para. ~~A172A202-A174A204~~, ~~A299A328-A301MA342~~)

Understanding the Entity's Reporting Policies

10798. The practitioner shall obtain an understanding of the entity's reporting policies and the reason for any changes thereto. (Ref: Para. A2, A199, A342A)

107A. The practitioner shall evaluate whether the entity's reporting policies ~~to apply the applicable criteria;~~ are appropriate and consistent with: (Ref: Para. ~~A1x~~A2, ~~A170~~A342A-A342B)

- (a) ~~Appropriate for the circumstances of the entity;~~
- ~~(b) Consistent with the applicable framework criteria, if relevant; and~~
- ~~(eb) Consistent with the criteria used in the relevant industry, and in prior periods.~~

~~98A. [Not used]~~

Understanding the Entity and Its Environment

10899. The practitioner shall obtain an understanding of the entity and its environment, including:

- (a) The nature of the entity's operations, ~~the entity's~~ legal and organizational structure, ownership and governance, and business model; (Ref: Para. ~~A343A302-A344A304~~)
- (b) The reporting boundary and activities within the reporting boundary; and (Ref: Para. ~~A345A305~~)
- (c) Goals, targets, or strategic objectives related to sustainability matters and measures used to assess the entity's performance or determine management compensation. (Ref: Para. ~~A346A306~~)

Understanding the Legal and Regulatory Framework

109400. The practitioner shall obtain an understanding of: (Ref: Para. ~~A347A307-A349A309~~)

- (a) The legal and regulatory framework applicable to the entity and the industry or sector in which the entity operates, in the context of the entity's sustainability information; and
- (b) How the entity is complying with that framework.

Inquiries and Discussion with Appropriate Parties

110404. The practitioner shall make inquiries of appropriate parties and, when appropriate, others within the entity regarding whether: (Ref: Para. ~~A350A310-A351A311~~)

- (a) They have knowledge of any actual, fraud or suspected, ~~or alleged~~ fraud or identified or suspected non-compliance with laws and regulations affecting the sustainability information; and
- (b) The entity has an internal audit function and, if so, make further inquiries to obtain an understanding of the activities and main findings, if any, of the internal audit function with respect to the sustainability information.

Understanding the Components of the Entity's System of Internal Control

Limited Assurance	Reasonable Assurance
<u>111402L.</u> The practitioner shall obtain an understanding, through inquiry, of the components of the entity's system of internal control relevant to the sustainability	<u>111402R.</u> The practitioner shall obtain an understanding, through inquiry and other procedures, of the components of the entity's system of internal control relevant to

Limited Assurance	Reasonable Assurance
matters and the preparation of the sustainability information, in accordance with paragraphs 403L112L , 404L113L , 405L114L , 405A-115 and 408L118L . (Ref: A312A352-A317A357)	the sustainability matters and the preparation of the sustainability information, in accordance with paragraphs 403R112R , 404R113R , 405R114R , 405A-115 , and 407R117R . (Ref: A312A352-A314A354 , A316A356-A317A357)

The Control Environment

Limited Assurance	Reasonable Assurance
112 403 L. The practitioner shall obtain an understanding of the entity's control environment relevant to the sustainability matters and the preparation of the sustainability information. (Ref: Para. A318A358 , A320A360-A324A361)	112 403 R. The practitioner shall obtain an understanding of the entity's control environment relevant to the sustainability matters and the preparation of the sustainability information, including evaluating whether: (Ref: Para. A318-A358-A324A361) (a) Management, with the oversight of those charged with governance, has created and maintained a culture of honesty and ethical behavior; (b) The control environment provides an appropriate foundation for the other components of the system of internal control considering the nature and complexity of the entity; and (c) Control deficiencies identified in the control environment undermine the other components of the system of internal control.

The Entity's Risk Assessment Process

Limited Assurance	Reasonable Assurance
113 404 L. The practitioner shall obtain an understanding of the results of the entity's risk assessment process relevant to the sustainability matters and the preparation of the sustainability information. (Ref: Para. A322A362 , A324A364 , A326A366)	113 404 R. The practitioner shall obtain an understanding of the entity's risk assessment process relevant to the sustainability matters and the preparation of the sustainability information, including: (Ref: Para. A322A362-A326A366) (a) Understanding the entity's process for:

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> (i) Identifying risks relevant to sustainability information reporting objectives; (ii) Assessing the significance of those risks, including the likelihood of their occurrence; and (iii) Addressing those risks; (b) Understanding the results of the entity's risk assessment process; and (c) Based on the understanding in (a) and (b), evaluating whether the entity's risk assessment process is appropriate to the entity's circumstances.
--	--

The Entity's Process for Monitoring the System of Internal Control

Limited Assurance	Reasonable Assurance
<p>114105L. The practitioner shall obtain an understanding of the results of the entity's process to monitor the system of internal control relevant to the sustainability matters and the preparation of the sustainability information. (Ref: Para. A326AA367-A326BA368)</p>	<p>114105R. The practitioner shall:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (a) Obtain an understanding of: (Ref: Para. A326AA367-A326BA368) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (i) The entity's process to monitor the system of internal control relevant to the sustainability matters and the preparation of the sustainability information; and (ii) The results thereof; and (b) Based on this understanding, evaluate whether the entity's process to monitor the system of internal control relevant to the sustainability matters and the preparation of the sustainability information is appropriate to the entity's circumstances. (Ref: Para. A327RA369R-A33AA3742R)

The Information System and Communication

- ~~115105~~A. The practitioner shall obtain an understanding of the entity's information system and communication relevant to the sustainability matters and the preparation of the sustainability information, including: (Ref: Para. ~~A375A~~~~A333~~-~~A379A~~~~A334CG~~)
- (a) The entity's process to identify sustainability information to be reported. (Ref: Para. -

A334A-A334C, A380-A382)

- (b) How information from external sources, such as service organizations or other organizations in the entity's value chain, is recorded, processed, corrected as necessary, and incorporated into the sustainability information. (Ref: Para. A383A334CG)
- (c) For estimates and forward-looking information, how the entity identifies the relevant methods, assumptions or sources of data, and the need for changes in them, that are appropriate in the context of the applicable criteria.

116406. Based on the practitioner's understanding of the information system and communication in accordance with paragraph 115405A, the practitioner shall evaluate whether the entity's information system appropriately supports the preparation of the sustainability information in accordance with the applicable criteria. (Ref: Para. A384A334D)

Control Activities

117R407R. The practitioner's shall obtain an understanding of control activities shall include by identifying: (Ref: Para. A385A337-A390A339C)

- (a) Controls for which the practitioner plans to obtain evidence by testing their operating effectiveness, which shall include:
 - (i) Controls that address risks for which substantive procedures alone do not provide sufficient appropriate evidence; or
 - (ii) If applicable, any complementary user entity controls identified in ~~the an~~ assurance report of another practitioner that are determined to be relevant to the user entity in accordance with paragraph 5254B.
- (b) Based on the controls identified in (a), the IT applications and the other aspects of the entity's IT environment that are subject to risks arising from the use of IT;
- (c) The entity's general IT controls that address risks arising from the use of IT identified in (b); and
- (d) Other controls that the practitioner considers are appropriate to identify and assess the risks of material misstatement at the assertion level for disclosures and design further procedures responsive to those assessed risks.

Design and Implementation of Controls

Limited Assurance	Reasonable Assurance
<p><u>118L408L</u>. If the practitioner plans to obtain evidence by testing the operating effectiveness of controls, the practitioner shall obtain an understanding of: (Ref: Para. <u>A385A337-A390A339C, A397L</u>)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (a) The controls the practitioner plans to test, including if applicable, any complementary user entity controls identified in the assurance report of another practitioner that are determined to be relevant to the 	<p><u>118R408R</u>. The practitioner shall obtain an understanding of each control identified in accordance with paragraph <u>407R117R</u>(a), (c), and (d) by: (Ref: Para. <u>A391A340-A396A345</u>)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (a) Evaluating whether the control is designed effectively to address the risk of material misstatement at the assertion level, or effectively designed to support the operation of other controls; and

Limited Assurance	Reasonable Assurance
<p>user entity in accordance with paragraph 54B52; and</p> <p>(b) The entity's general IT controls that address risks arising from the use of IT related to the controls identified in (a).</p> <p>by: (Ref: Para. A340A391-A342-A344-A345A396)</p> <p>(a) Evaluating whether the control is designed effectively to address the risk of material misstatement for the disclosure, or effectively designed to support the operation of other controls; and</p> <p>(b) Determining whether the control has been implemented by performing procedures in addition to inquiry of the entity's personnel.</p>	<p>(b) Determining whether the control has been implemented by performing procedures in addition to inquiry of the entity's personnel.</p>

Identifying Control Deficiencies

~~119409~~. Based on the practitioner's understanding of the components of the entity's system of internal control, the practitioner shall consider whether one or more control deficiencies have been identified. (Ref: Para. ~~A346A398-A348A401~~)

Identifying and Assessing the Risks of Material Misstatement

Limited Assurance	Reasonable Assurance
<p>120440L. The practitioner shall identify and assess the risks of material misstatement at the disclosure level as a basis for designing and performing procedures whose nature, timing and extent: (Ref: Para. A348AA402-A354A412, A413AL, A355A414)</p> <p>(a) Are responsive to the assessed risks of material misstatement; and</p> <p>(b) Allow the practitioner to obtain limited assurance about whether the sustainability information is prepared, in all material respects, in accordance with the applicable criteria.</p>	<p>120440R. The practitioner shall identify and assess the risks of material misstatement at the assertion level for the disclosures as a basis for designing and performing procedures whose nature, timing and extent: (Ref: Para. A348AA402-A348BA403, A349A405-A406, A408-A353RA413R, A355A414-A355ARA415R)</p> <p>(a) Are responsive to the assessed risks of material misstatement; and</p> <p>(b) Allow the practitioner to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the sustainability information is prepared, in all material respects, in accordance with the applicable criteria.</p>

~~121R440AR~~. Due to the unpredictable way in which management is able to override controls, the practitioner shall treat risks of management override of controls as risks of material misstatement due to fraud and thus risks of material misstatement at the upper end of the spectrum of risk. (Ref: Para. ~~A355ARA415R~~)

Evaluating the Evidence Obtained from the Risk Assessment Procedures

~~122444~~. The practitioner shall determine whether the evidence obtained from the risk assessment procedures provides an appropriate basis for the identification and assessment of the risks of material misstatement. If not, the practitioner shall perform additional risk assessment procedures until evidence has been obtained to provide such a basis. (Ref: Para. ~~A356A416~~)

Documentation

~~123443~~. The practitioner shall include in the engagement documentation:

- (a) The engagement team discussion in accordance with paragraph ~~10496~~, and the significant decisions reached;
- (b) Key elements of the practitioner's understanding, inquiries and discussion in accordance with paragraphs ~~10597-117R407~~;
- (c) The evaluation of the design of identified controls, and determination of whether such controls have been implemented, in accordance with paragraph ~~118L440L~~, if applicable, and paragraph ~~118R440R~~; and
- (d) The identified and assessed risks of material misstatement ~~of the disclosures~~, in accordance with paragraphs ~~120L440L~~ and ~~120R440R~~.

Responding to Risks of Material Misstatement

Designing and Performing Further Procedures

Limited Assurance	Reasonable Assurance
124L444L .The practitioner shall design and perform further procedures whose nature, timing and extent are responsive to the assessed risks of material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error, at the disclosure level. (Ref: Para. A266A286-A269A289 , A358A417-A364A421)	124R444R .The practitioner shall design and perform further procedures whose nature, timing and extent are responsive to the assessed risks of material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error, at the assertion level for the disclosures. (Ref: Para. A266A286-A269A289 , A358A417-A364A421)

125. In designing and performing further procedures, the practitioner shall: (Ref: Para. ~~A421A362-A424A366~~)

- (a) Consider the reasons for the assessment given to the risks of material misstatement;
- (b) Consider whether the practitioner intends to obtain evidence about the operating effectiveness of controls in determining the nature, timing and extent of other procedures; and
- (c) Obtain more persuasive evidence the higher the practitioner's assessment of risk.

Overall Responses

Limited Assurance	Reasonable Assurance
<p><u>126L446L</u>. The practitioner shall design and implement overall responses to address the risks of material misstatement if the practitioner identifies: (Ref: Para. <u>A367A425-A368A426</u>)</p> <p>(a) Control deficiencies in the control environment that undermine the other components of the system of internal control;</p> <p>(b) Fraud or suspected fraud or non-compliance identified or suspected non-compliance with laws <u>and/or</u> regulations; or</p> <p>(c) Risks of material <u>misstatement</u> pervasively throughout the sustainability information.</p>	<p><u>126R446R</u>. The practitioner shall design and implement overall responses to address the risks of material misstatement if: (Ref: Para. <u>A367A425-A368A426</u>)</p> <p>(a) The practitioner's evaluation of the control environment indicates that:</p> <p>(i) Management, with the oversight of those charged with governance, has not created and maintained a culture of honesty and ethical behavior;</p> <p>(ii) The control environment does not provide an appropriate foundation for the other components of the system of internal control considering the nature and complexity of the entity; or</p> <p>(iii) Control deficiencies identified in the control environment undermine the other components of the system of internal control</p> <p>(b) The practitioner identifies fraud or suspected fraud or non-compliance identified or suspected non-compliance with laws <u>and/or</u> regulations; or</p> <p>(c) The practitioner identifies risks of material misstatement pervasively throughout the sustainability information.</p>

Responding to Identified or Suspected Fraud or Non-Compliance with Laws and Regulations

127447. The practitioner shall respond appropriately to fraud or suspected fraud, or non-compliance or suspected non-compliance with laws and/or regulations, ~~whether actual or suspected~~, identified during the engagement, by obtaining: (Ref: Para. A369A427-A370)

- (a) An understanding of the nature of the act and the circumstances in which it occurred; and
- (b) Further information to evaluate the possible effect on the sustainability information.

128447A. If the practitioner suspects there may be instances of fraud or non-compliance with laws and/or regulations, the practitioner shall discuss the matter, unless prohibited by law or

regulation, with the appropriate level of management and, where appropriate, those charged with governance. (Ref: Para. [A370A428-A429](#))

[129148](#). The practitioner shall evaluate the implications of identified or suspected fraud or non-compliance with laws and regulations for the assurance engagement, including the practitioner's risk assessment procedures and the reliability of written representations, and take appropriate action. (Ref: Para. [A374A430](#))

Tests of Controls

[130449](#). If the practitioner intends to obtain evidence about the operating effectiveness of controls identified in accordance with paragraphs [117R407R](#) or [118L408L](#), the practitioner shall design and perform tests of controls by: (Ref: Para. [A372A431-A373A432](#))

- (a) Performing inquiry and other procedures to obtain evidence about the operating effectiveness of the controls, including:
 - (i) How the controls were applied at relevant times during the period to which the sustainability information relates;
 - (ii) The consistency with which they were applied; and
 - (iii) By whom or by what means they were applied.
- (b) Determining whether the controls to be tested depend upon other controls and, if so, whether it is necessary to obtain evidence supporting the effective operation of those indirect controls.

[131420](#). The practitioner shall test controls for the appropriate period for which the practitioner intends to obtain evidence about the operating effectiveness of those controls, subject to paragraph [424132](#).

[132424](#). If the practitioner obtains evidence about the operating effectiveness of controls during an interim period and intends to extend the conclusions of those tests of controls for the remaining period, the practitioner shall obtain evidence about the operating effectiveness of those controls for the period subsequent to the interim period.

[133422](#). If the practitioner plans to use evidence from a previous sustainability assurance engagement about the operating effectiveness of controls, the practitioner shall establish the continuing relevance of the evidence by obtaining evidence about whether significant changes in those controls have occurred subsequent to the previous engagement. The practitioner shall obtain this evidence by performing inquiry, combined with observation or inspection, to confirm the understanding of those specific controls, and (Ref: Para. [A374A433-A375A434](#))

- (a) If there have not been changes that affect the continuing relevance of the evidence from the previous engagement, the practitioner shall test the controls at least once in every third engagement, and shall test some controls in each engagement.
- (b) If there have been changes that affect the continuing relevance of the evidence from the previous engagement, the practitioner shall test the controls in the current engagement.

[134423](#). If the practitioner plans to obtain evidence about the operating effectiveness of controls over a risk of material misstatement for which the assessment of risk is close to the upper end of the spectrum of risk, the practitioner shall test those controls in the current period.

[135424](#). When evaluating the operating effectiveness of controls, the practitioner shall evaluate whether misstatements detected through performing other procedures indicate that controls are

not operating effectively. The absence of misstatements detected by other procedures, however, does not provide evidence that controls being tested are effective.

136125. If deviations from controls that the practitioner tests are detected, the practitioner shall make specific inquiries to understand these matters and their potential consequences and shall determine whether:

- (a) The tests of controls that have been performed provide sufficient appropriate evidence about the operating effectiveness of those controls;
- (b) Additional tests of controls are necessary; or
- (c) The potential risks of material misstatement need to be addressed by performing substantive procedures.

Substantive Procedures

Limited Assurance	Reasonable Assurance
	126R. [Moved out of table to para. 137R below]

137R. The further procedures required by paragraph ~~124R144R~~ shall include substantive procedures that are responsive to each risk for which the assessment of that risk is close to the upper end of the spectrum of risk. (Ref: Para. ~~A405A349R-A351R~~) [Moved from para. 126R in table]

138127. Irrespective of the assessed risks of material misstatement, the practitioner shall consider the need to design and perform substantive procedures for disclosures that, in the practitioner's judgment, are ~~important to the information needs of intended users~~ material. (Ref: Para. ~~A435A375A-A436A376~~)

~~139R128R.~~ The practitioner shall consider whether external confirmation procedures are to be performed. (Ref: Para. ~~A437A378-A438A379~~)

140129. If substantive procedures are performed at an interim date and the practitioner intends to extend the conclusions of those substantive procedures for the remaining period, the practitioner shall perform: (Ref: Para. ~~A439A380-A440A381~~)

- (a) Substantive procedures, combined with tests of controls for the period subsequent to the interim period; or
- (b) If the practitioner determines that it is sufficient, substantive procedures only that provide a reasonable basis for extending the conclusions to the period subsequent to the interim period.

Analytical Procedures

Limited Assurance	Reasonable Assurance
<p>141130L. If designing and performing analytical procedures, the practitioner shall: (Ref: Para. A382A441-A383A442)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (a) Determine the suitability of particular analytical procedures, considering the reasons for the assessment of the risks of material 	<p>141130R. If designing and performing analytical procedures, the practitioner shall (Ref: Para. A382A441-A383A442):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (a) Determine the suitability of particular analytical procedures for given assertions, considering the reasons for the assessment of risks of

Limited Assurance	Reasonable Assurance
<p>misstatement at the disclosure level; and</p> <p>(b) Develop an expectation about recorded quantities or ratios. (Ref: Para. A384L<u>A443L</u>)</p>	<p>material misstatement and evidence from other procedures, if any, for these assertions; and</p> <p>(b) Develop an expectation about recorded quantities or ratios that is sufficiently precise to identify possible material misstatements.</p>
<p>142L<u>143L</u>. If analytical procedures identify fluctuations or relationships that are inconsistent with other relevant information or that differ significantly from the expected result, the practitioner shall make inquiries of management about such differences. The practitioner shall consider the responses to these inquiries to determine whether additional procedures are necessary in the circumstances.</p>	<p>142L<u>143R</u>. If analytical procedures identify fluctuations or relationships that are inconsistent with other relevant information or that differ significantly from expected quantities or ratios, the practitioner shall investigate such differences by</p> <p>(a) Inquiring of management and obtaining additional evidence relevant to management's responses; and</p> <p>(b) Performing other procedures as necessary in the circumstances.</p>

Sampling

- ~~143L~~132. If the practitioner uses sampling as a means for selecting items for testing, the practitioner shall: (Ref: Para. ~~A385L~~A444)
- (a) Consider the purpose of the procedure and the characteristics of the population from which the sample will be drawn;
 - (b) Determine a sample size sufficient to reduce sampling risk to an ~~acceptably~~appropriately low level; and
 - (c) Select the sample, perform procedures on the items selected, and evaluate the results.

Estimates and Forward-Looking Information

~~144~~. [Not used]

Limited Assurance	Reasonable Assurance
	<p>133AR. The practitioner shall evaluate whether management has appropriately applied the requirements of the applicable criteria relevant to estimates or forward-looking information. (Ref: Para. A390-A392) [Moved to para. 145R(a)]</p>
<p>145L<u>134L</u>. In responding to assessed risks of material misstatement relating to</p>	<p>145R<u>134R</u>. In responding to assessed risks of material misstatement relating to disclosures</p>

Limited Assurance	Reasonable Assurance
<p>disclosures involving estimates or forward-looking information the practitioner shall: (Ref: Para. A390-A392A<u>A450L</u>)</p> <p>(a) Evaluate whether:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (i) Management has appropriately applied the requirements of the applicable criteria relevant to estimates or forward-looking information; and (ii) The methods for developing estimates or forward-looking information are appropriate and have been applied consistently, and (iii) Changes, if any, in reported estimates or forward-looking information, or changes from the prior period in the method used for developing estimates or forward-looking information, are appropriate in the circumstances; and <p>(b) Consider whether other procedures are necessary in the circumstances.</p>	<p>involving estimates or forward-looking information, the practitioner shall undertake one or more of the following: (Ref: Para. A390A445-A392A449)</p> <p><u>(a)</u> Evaluate whether management has appropriately applied the requirements of the applicable criteria relevant to estimates or forward-looking information; and [Moved from para. 133AR]</p> <p><u>(b)</u> <u>Undertake one or more of the following</u>:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (a)<u>(i)</u> Test how management developed the estimate or forward-looking information and the related disclosure(s), and the information on which the estimate or forward-looking information is based. In doing so, the practitioner shall evaluate whether: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (i)-a. The method has been appropriately selected and applied, and any changes from prior periods are appropriate; (Ref: Para. A451RA393R, A454A396) (ii)-b. The assumptions used, including any changes from prior periods, are appropriate; and (Ref: Para. A388R, A452RA394R, A454A396) (iii)-c. The data, including any changes from prior periods, are appropriate; (Ref: Para. A453RA395R, A454A396) (b) <u>(ii)</u> Develop a point estimate or a range to evaluate management's estimate. For this purpose, the practitioner shall:

Limited Assurance	Reasonable Assurance
	<p>(Ref: Para. A455RA396AR- A456ARA396BR)</p> <p>(i)-a. Evaluate whether the methods, assumptions or data used are appropriate in the context of the criteria.</p> <p>(ii)-b. When the practitioner develops a range:</p> <p>a-i. Determine that the range includes only amounts that are supported by sufficient evidence and have been evaluated by the practitioner to be reasonable; and</p> <p>b-ii. Design and perform further procedures to obtain sufficient appropriate evidence regarding the assessed risk of material misstatement relating to the disclosures in the sustainability information that describe the uncertainty.</p> <p>(e)-(iii) Obtain evidence from events occurring up to the date of the practitioner's report.</p>

Revising the Risk Assessment in a Reasonable Assurance Engagement and Performing Additional Procedures If Necessary

146R. If the practitioner becomes aware of a matter or obtains new information that is inconsistent with the evidence on which the practitioner originally based the identification and assessment of the risks of material misstatement at the assertion level for the disclosures, the practitioner shall:

- Revise, if necessary, the assessment of the risks of material misstatement, and
- Perform additional procedures to obtain further evidence to enable the practitioner to

express a reasonable assurance conclusion. (Ref: Para. ~~A396CA457R, A396ER~~) **[Moved from para. 134BR]**

Determining Whether Additional Procedures Are Necessary in a Limited Assurance Engagement

147L. If the practitioner becomes aware of a matter that causes the practitioner to believe the sustainability information may be materially misstated, the practitioner shall design and perform additional procedures to obtain further evidence until the practitioner is able to: (Ref: Para ~~A396CA458L-A396HA462L~~)

- (a) Conclude that the matter(s) is not likely to cause the sustainability information to be materially misstated; or ~~(Ref: Para. A396E)~~
- (b) Determine that the matter(s) causes the sustainability information to be materially misstated. **[Moved from para. 134CL]**

Limited Assurance	Reasonable Assurance
134BL. [Deleted] 134CL. [Moved to para. 147L]	134BR. [Moved to para. 146R]

The Entity's Process for Assembling the Sustainability Information

Limited Assurance	Reasonable Assurance
<p>148L-135L. The practitioner's procedures shall include the following procedures related to the entity's process for assembling the sustainability information: (Ref: Para. A397A463)</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> (a) Agreeing or reconciling the sustainability information with the underlying records; and (b) Obtaining, through inquiry of management, an understanding of material adjustments made during the course of preparing the sustainability information and considering whether additional procedures are necessary in the circumstances. 	<p>148R-135R. The practitioner's procedures shall include the following procedures related to the entity's process for assembling the sustainability information: (Ref: Para. A397A463)</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> (a) Agreeing or reconciling the sustainability information with the underlying records; and (b) Obtaining evidence about material adjustments made during the course of preparing the sustainability information.
	<p>149R-135AAR. In responding to the risk of management override of controls in accordance with paragraph 121R-140AR, the practitioner shall design and perform the following procedures:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> (a) Test the appropriateness of adjustments made by management in

Limited Assurance	Reasonable Assurance
	<p>the process for assembling the sustainability information;</p> <p>(b) Make inquiries of individuals involved in the sustainability reporting process about their knowledge of inappropriate or unusual activity relating to adjustments to sustainability information; and</p> <p>(c) Determine whether other procedures are needed in addition to those in paragraphs (a)-(b) above, in order to respond to the risks of management override of controls. (Ref: Para. A397ARA464R)</p>
<p>150135AL. For group sustainability information, the practitioner shall design and perform further procedures to respond to the assessed risks of material misstatement arising from the aggregation process. Such procedures shall include:</p> <p>(a) Obtaining, through inquiry of management, an understanding of how management has aggregated the information;</p> <p>(b) Determining that all entities have been included in the sustainability information as required by the applicable criteria; and</p> <p>(c) Considering whether management's judgments made in the aggregation process give rise to indicators of possible management bias.</p>	<p>150135AR. For group sustainability information, the practitioner shall design and perform further procedures to respond to the assessed risks of material misstatement arising from the aggregation process. Such procedures shall include:</p> <p>(a) Obtaining an understanding of how management has aggregated the information;</p> <p>(b) Determining that all entities have been included in the sustainability information as required by the applicable criteria; and</p> <p>(c) Evaluating whether management's judgments made in the aggregation process give rise to indicators of possible management bias.</p>

Documentation

- [151136](#). The practitioner shall include in the engagement documentation:
- (a) The overall responses in accordance with paragraphs [416L126L](#) and [416R126R](#) and the reasons for such responses.
 - (b) The results of the further procedures, including the conclusions where these are not otherwise clear.
 - (c) Identified or suspected fraud or non-compliance with laws and regulations and the procedures performed, the significant professional judgments made, and the conclusions reached thereon; and

- (d) When applicable, conclusions reached about whether it is appropriate to use evidence about the operating effectiveness of controls obtained in previous engagements.

Accumulation and Consideration of Identified Misstatements

Accumulation of Identified Misstatements

152137. The practitioner shall accumulate misstatements identified during the engagement, other than those that are clearly trivial. (Ref: Para. A398A465-A403A471)

153138. The practitioner shall: (Ref: Para. A404A472-A407A475)

- (a) Consider whether identified misstatements, either individually or in aggregate, may be due to fraud; and
- (b) Respond appropriately if there are indicators that there may be material misstatements due to fraud.

Consideration of Identified Misstatements as the Engagement Progresses

154139. The practitioner shall determine whether the approach to the engagement needs to be revised if: (Ref: Para. A408A476)

- (a) The nature of identified misstatements and the circumstances of their occurrence indicate that other misstatements may exist that, when aggregated with misstatements accumulated during the engagement, could be material; or
- (b) The misstatements accumulated during the engagement may, in the aggregate, result in the sustainability information being materially misstated.

Communicating and Correcting Misstatements

155140. The practitioner shall communicate to management, on a timely basis, all misstatements accumulated during the assurance engagement, and shall request management to correct those misstatements. (Ref: Para. A409A477)

156144. If, at the practitioner's request, management has examined the sustainability information and corrected misstatements that were detected, the practitioner shall perform additional procedures with respect to the work performed by management to determine whether material misstatements remain.

157142. If management refuses to correct some or all of the misstatements communicated by the practitioner, the practitioner shall obtain an understanding of management's reasons for not doing so and shall consider that understanding when forming the practitioner's conclusion. (Ref: Para. A410A478)

Evaluating the Effect of Uncorrected Misstatements

158143. Prior to evaluating the effect of uncorrected misstatements, the practitioner shall consider whether the results of procedures performed and evidence obtained indicate that materiality needs to be revised.

159144. The practitioner shall determine whether uncorrected misstatements are material, individually or in the aggregate. In making this determination, the practitioner shall consider the size and nature of the misstatements, and the particular circumstances of their occurrence. (Ref: Para. A410A479-A423A492)

Documentation

160144A. The practitioner shall include in the engagement documentation:

- (a) All misstatements accumulated during the engagement, other than those that are clearly trivial, and whether they have been corrected (paragraphs 137152 and 140155); and
- (b) The practitioner's conclusion as to whether uncorrected misstatements are material, individually or in aggregate, and the basis for that conclusion (paragraph 144159).

Evaluating the Description of Applicable Criteria

161145. The practitioner shall evaluate whether the sustainability information adequately references or describes the applicable criteria and the sources of those criteria. (Ref: Para. A424A493-A426A495)

Subsequent Events

162146. The practitioner shall: (Ref: Para. A426AA496-A429LA498L)

- (a) Perform procedures to identify events occurring up to the date of the assurance report that may have an effect on the sustainability information and the assurance report, and
- (b) Evaluate the sufficiency and appropriateness of evidence obtained about whether such events are appropriately reflected in that sustainability information in accordance with the applicable criteria.

163147. The practitioner shall respond appropriately to facts that become known to the practitioner after the date of the assurance report, that, had they been known to the practitioner at that date, may have caused the practitioner to amend the assurance report. (Ref: Para. A430A499)

Written Representations from Management and Those Charged with Governance

164148. The practitioner shall request from management and, where appropriate, those charged with governance a written representation: (Ref: Para. A431A500-A432A501)

- (a) That they have fulfilled their responsibility for the preparation of the sustainability information, including comparative information where appropriate, in accordance with the applicable criteria, as set out in the terms of the engagement;
- (b) That they have provided the practitioner with all relevant information and access as agreed in the terms of the engagement and reflected all relevant matters in the sustainability information;
- (c) Whether they believe the effects of uncorrected misstatements are immaterial, individually and in the aggregate, to the sustainability information. A summary of such items shall be included in, or attached to, the written representation;
- (d) Whether they believe that significant assumptions used in making estimates and preparing forward-looking information are appropriate;
- (e) That they have communicated to the practitioner all deficiencies in internal control relevant to the engagement that are not clearly trivial of which they are aware;
- (f) Whether they have disclosed to the practitioner their knowledge of actual, any fraud or suspected or alleged fraud or identified or suspected non-compliance with laws or and regulations where the fraud or non-compliance could have a material effect on the sustainability information; and

- (g) That they adjusted the sustainability information for or disclosed all events occurring subsequent to the date of the sustainability information and for which the applicable criteria require adjustment or disclosure.

165149. If, in addition to the required representations, the practitioner determines that it is necessary to obtain one or more written representations to support other evidence relevant to the sustainability information, the practitioner shall request them.

166150. When written representations relate to matters that are material to the sustainability information, the practitioner shall:

- (a) Evaluate their reasonableness and consistency with other evidence obtained, including other representations (oral or written); and
- (b) Consider whether those making the representations can be expected to be well-informed on those matters.

167151. The date of the written representations shall be as near as practicable to, but not after, the date of the assurance report.

168152. If one or more of the requested written representations are not provided or the practitioner concludes that there is sufficient doubt about the competence, integrity, ethical values, or diligence of those providing the written representations, or that the written representations are otherwise not reliable, the practitioner shall:

- (a) Discuss the matter with management and, where appropriate, those charged with governance;
- (b) Reevaluate the integrity of those from whom the representations were requested or received and evaluate the effect that this may have on the reliability of representations (oral or written) and evidence in general; and
- (c) Take appropriate actions, including determining the possible effect on the conclusion in the assurance report.

169153. The practitioner shall disclaim a conclusion on the sustainability information or withdraw from the engagement when ~~(if withdrawal is possible under applicable law or regulation)~~ if:

- (a) The practitioner concludes that there is sufficient doubt about the integrity of the person(s) providing the written representations required by paragraphs ~~448164~~164(a) and (b) that written representations in these regards are not reliable; or
- (b) The entity does not provide the written representations required by paragraphs ~~448164~~164(a) and (b).

Other Information

Obtaining the Other Information

170154. The practitioner shall: (Ref: Para. ~~A433A502-A436A505~~)

- (a) Identify the other information by determining, through discussion with management, the document or documents expected to be issued that will contain the sustainability information and the assurance report thereon, and the entity's planned manner and timing of the issuance of such document(s); and
- (b) Make arrangements with management to obtain in a timely manner prior to the date of the assurance report, the final version of such document(s).

Reading and Considering the Other Information

171455. The practitioner shall read the other information obtained prior to the date of the assurance report and, in doing so shall: (Ref: Para. A437A506-A438A507)

- (a) Consider whether there is a material inconsistency between the other information and the sustainability information;
- (b) Consider whether there is a material inconsistency between the other information and the practitioner's knowledge obtained during the assurance engagement, in the context of evidence obtained and conclusions reached in the engagement; and
- (c) Remain alert for indications that the other information not related to the sustainability information or the practitioner's knowledge obtained during the engagement appears to be materially misstated.

Responding When a Material Inconsistency Appears to Exist or Other Information Appears to Be Materially Misstated

172456. If the practitioner identifies that a material inconsistency appears to exist or becomes aware that the other information appears to be materially misstated, the practitioner shall discuss the matter with management and, if necessary, perform other procedures to conclude whether:

- (a) A material misstatement of the other information exists;
- (b) A material misstatement of the sustainability information exists; or
- (c) The practitioner's understanding of the entity and its environment needs to be updated.

173456A. If the other information includes the entity's financial statements subject to audit and the practitioner identifies that a material inconsistency appears to exist between those financial statements and the sustainability information, or becomes aware that the financial statements appear to be materially misstated, the practitioner shall also ~~If the matter relates to the financial statements,~~ communicate the matter to the auditor of the entity's financial statements, unless prohibited by law or regulation, or ~~other~~ professional requirements. (Ref: Para. A507A) [Moved from para. 175]

Responding When the Practitioner Concludes That a Material Misstatement of the Other Information Exists

174457. If the practitioner concludes that a material misstatement of the other information exists, the practitioner shall request management to correct the other information. If management: (Ref: Para. A439A508-A440A509)

- (a) Agrees to make the correction, the practitioner shall determine that the correction is made; or
- (b) Refuses to make the correction, the practitioner shall communicate the matter to those charged with governance and request that the correction be made.

175458. If the practitioner concludes that a material misstatement exists in the other information and it is not corrected after communicating with those charged with governance, the practitioner shall:

~~(a)~~ [Moved to para. 173]

~~(b)~~ Take appropriate action, including: (Ref: Para. A439A508-A440A509)

- (ia) Considering the implications for the assurance report and communicating with those charged with governance about how the practitioner plans to address the material misstatement in the assurance report; or (Ref: Para. [A441A510](#))
- (ib) If withdrawal is possible under applicable law or regulation, withdrawing from the engagement. (Ref: Para. [A442A511](#))

Responding When a Material Misstatement of the Sustainability Information Exists or the Practitioner's Understanding of the Entity and Its Environment Needs to Be Updated

[176159](#). If, as a result of performing the procedures in paragraph [455171](#), the practitioner concludes that a material misstatement of the sustainability information exists, or the practitioner's understanding of the entity and its environment needs to be updated, the practitioner shall respond appropriately. (Ref: Para. [A443A512](#))

Forming the Assurance Conclusion

Evaluating the Evidence Obtained

[177160](#). The practitioner shall evaluate the sufficiency and appropriateness of the evidence obtained, including evidence from the work performed by a practitioner's external expert, another practitioner or internal audit function, and, if necessary in the circumstances, attempt to obtain further evidence. In making this evaluation, the practitioner shall: (Ref: Para. [A444A513](#)-[A447A516](#))

- (a) Evaluate whether the evidence obtained meets the intended purpose of the procedures; and
- (b) Consider all evidence obtained, including evidence that is consistent or inconsistent with other evidence, and regardless of whether it appears to corroborate or to contradict the disclosures.

[178164](#). The practitioner shall evaluate whether judgments and decisions made by management in the estimates made and assumptions used in preparing the sustainability information, including with respect to forward-looking information, even if they are individually reasonable, are indicators of possible management bias. When indicators of possible management bias are identified, the practitioner shall evaluate the implications for the assurance engagement. Where there is intention to mislead, management bias is fraudulent in nature.

[179162](#). If the practitioner obtains evidence that is inconsistent with other evidence, the practitioner shall: (Ref: Para. [A448A517](#)-[A452A520](#))

- (a) Determine what modifications or additions to procedures are necessary to understand and address the inconsistency; and
- (b) Consider the effect, if any, on other aspects of the assurance engagement.

Concluding

[180163](#). The practitioner shall form a conclusion about whether the sustainability information is free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error. In forming that conclusion, the practitioner shall consider the practitioner's evaluation in paragraphs [177160](#) and [178164](#) regarding the sufficiency and appropriateness of evidence obtained and the determination in

paragraph ~~144-159~~ of whether uncorrected misstatements are material, individually or in the aggregate. (Ref: Para. ~~A453A521~~)

~~181164.~~ When the principles of fair presentation are embodied in the applicable criteria, the evaluation required by paragraph ~~163-180~~ shall also include consideration of: (Ref: Para. ~~A454A522-A455A523~~)

- (a) The overall presentation, structure, and content of the sustainability information; and
- (b) When appropriate in the context of the criteria, the wording of the practitioner's conclusion, or other engagement circumstances, whether the sustainability information represents the sustainability matters in a manner that achieves fair presentation.

181A. If the sustainability information is prepared in accordance with compliance criteria, the practitioner is not required to evaluate whether the sustainability information achieves fair presentation. However, if in extremely rare circumstances the practitioner concludes that such sustainability information is misleading, the practitioner shall discuss the matter with management and, depending on how it is resolved, shall determine whether, and how, to communicate it in the practitioner's report.

Scope Limitation

~~182165.~~ If the practitioner is unable to obtain sufficient appropriate evidence, a scope limitation exists, and the practitioner shall either: (Ref: Para. ~~A456A524-A457A525~~)

- (a) Express a qualified conclusion;
- (b) Disclaim a conclusion; or
- (c) Withdraw from the engagement, if withdrawal is possible under applicable law or regulation, as appropriate.

Taking Overall Responsibility for Managing and Achieving Quality

~~183166.~~ Prior to dating the assurance report, the engagement leader shall: (~~Ref: Para. A458-A460~~)

- (a) Take responsibility for determining whether relevant ethical requirements, including independence, have been fulfilled.
- (b) Determine, through review of engagement documentation and discussion with the engagement team, that sufficient appropriate evidence has been obtained to support the conclusions reached and for the assurance report to be issued.
- (c) Review the sustainability information and the assurance report, to determine that the report to be issued will be appropriate in the circumstances.
- (d) Determine that:
 - (i) The engagement leader's involvement has been sufficient and appropriate throughout the engagement such that the engagement leader has the basis for determining that the significant judgments made and the conclusions reached are appropriate given the nature and circumstances of the engagement; and (Ref: Para. A526-A528)
 - (ii) The nature and circumstances of the engagement, any changes thereto, and the firm's related policies or procedures have been taken into account in complying with the requirements of this ISSA.

- (e) If the engagement is subject to an engagement quality review, determine that the engagement quality review has been completed.

Documentation

184167. The practitioner shall include in the engagement documentation:

- (a) The basis for the engagement leader's determination in accordance with paragraph 466183(b) that sufficient appropriate evidence has been obtained, including:
 - (i) The determination in accordance with paragraphs ~~49(e)~~, 5150(d) ~~and 55~~ that the work of ~~a practitioner's external expert~~, another practitioner ~~or the internal audit function~~ is adequate for the practitioner's purposes;
 - (ii) The evaluation in accordance with paragraph 57 that the work of a practitioner's external expert is adequate for the practitioner's purposes;
 - (iii) The determination in accordance with paragraph 59(e) that the work of the internal audit function is adequate for the practitioner's purposes; and
 - (iv) If the practitioner identified information that was inconsistent with their final conclusion regarding a significant matter and how the practitioner addressed the inconsistency (see paragraph 462179); and (Ref: Para. A461A529)
- (b) The basis for the engagement leader's determination in accordance with paragraph 466183(d) that the engagement leader's involvement has been sufficient and appropriate throughout the engagement. (Ref: Para. A462A530)

Preparing the Assurance Report

185168. The assurance report shall be in writing and shall contain a clear expression of the practitioner's reasonable assurance opinion or limited assurance conclusion about the sustainability information. (Ref: Para. A463A531-A464A532)

186169. The practitioner's conclusion shall be clearly separated from information or explanations that are not intended to affect the practitioner's conclusion, including any:

- (a) Emphasis of Matter paragraphs;
- (b) Other Matter paragraphs;
- (c) Findings related to particular aspects of the engagement;
- (d) Recommendations; or
- (e) Additional information included in the assurance report.

The wording used shall make it clear that an Emphasis of Matter, Other Matter, findings, recommendations or additional information is not intended to detract from the practitioner's conclusion. (Ref: Para. A463A531-A464A532)

Assurance Report Content

187170. The assurance report shall include at a minimum the following basic elements: (Ref: Para. A465A533, A488A560-A490A562)

- (a) A title that clearly indicates the report is an independent practitioner's limited, reasonable or both limited and reasonable assurance report. (Ref: Para. A466A534)
- (b) An addressee. (Ref: Para. A467A535)

- (c) The practitioner's conclusion in the first section of the assurance report, which: (Ref: Para. ~~A468A536~~-~~A477A546~~)
- (i) Includes a heading reflecting the type of conclusion provided, either:
 - a. For unmodified conclusions, "Reasonable Assurance Opinion," "Limited Assurance Conclusion," or ~~an~~ appropriate headings for an assurance report for ~~both~~—a combined reasonable assurance and limited assurance engagement; or
 - b. For modified conclusions, the heading in a. above shall be prefixed with "Qualified," "Adverse," or "Disclaimer of" as appropriate, and, for an assurance report for ~~both~~—a combined reasonable and limited assurance engagement, clear identification of which opinion(s) or conclusion(s) ~~is~~are modified;
 - (ii) Identifies the entity whose sustainability information has been subject to the assurance engagement;
 - (iii) Identifies or describes the level of assurance, either reasonable or limited or different levels of assurance for different parts of the sustainability information, obtained by the practitioner; (Ref: Para. ~~A468A536~~)
 - (iv) Identifies or describes the sustainability information subject to the assurance engagement, including, if appropriate, the sustainability matters and how that information is reported; (Ref: Para. ~~A469A537~~-~~A469AA538~~)
 - (v) Specifies the date of, or period or periods covered by the sustainability information;~~:-~~
 - (vi) Expresses a conclusion, which: (Ref: Para. ~~A470LA539L~~-~~A472A541~~)
 - a. ~~In a~~For reasonable assurance ~~engagement~~, shall be expressed in a positive form, that the sustainability information is prepared or fairly presented, in all material respects, in accordance with the applicable criteria; or
 - b. ~~In a~~For limited assurance ~~engagement~~, shall be expressed in a form that conveys whether, based on the procedures performed and evidence obtained, a matter(s) has come to the practitioner's attention to cause the practitioner to believe that the sustainability information is not prepared or not fairly presented, in all material respects, in accordance with the applicable criteria;~~:-~~
 - (vii) Identifies the applicable criteria, whether framework criteria, entity-developed criteria or both, and, for entity-developed criteria, where it is located; (Ref: ~~A473A542~~-~~A476A545~~)
 - (viii) The conclusion in paragraph ~~470187~~(c)(vi) shall be phrased in terms of: (Ref: Para. ~~A470LA539L~~-~~A472A541~~)
 - a. The sustainability information and the applicable criteria; or
 - b. A statement made by the appropriate party(ies); and
 - (ix) When appropriate, the conclusion shall inform the intended users of the context in which the practitioner's conclusion is to be read. (Ref: Para. ~~A477A546~~)
- (d) The basis for conclusion directly following the Conclusion section, with the heading "Basis for Opinion" for a reasonable assurance report, "Basis for Conclusion" for a limited assurance report, or ~~an~~ appropriate heading(s) for an assurance report for ~~both~~—a combined reasonable and limited assurance ~~and reasonable assurance~~ engagement that:

- (i) States that the engagement was conducted in accordance with ISSA 5000 *General Requirements for Sustainability Assurance Engagements*; (Ref: Para. [A478A547](#))
- (ii) For a limited assurance engagement, states that:
 - a. The procedures in a limited assurance engagement vary in nature and timing from, and are less in extent than for, a reasonable assurance engagement; and
 - b. Consequently, the level of assurance obtained in a limited assurance engagement is substantially lower than the assurance that would have been obtained had a reasonable assurance engagement been performed¹;
- (iii) Refers to the section of the assurance report that describes the practitioner's responsibilities in accordance with this ISSA (see paragraph [470187\(h\)](#));
- (iv) ~~Includes a statement~~States that the practitioner complies with the independence and other ethical requirements of:
 - a. ~~The IESBA Code~~¹; or
 - a. ~~Other professional requirements, or requirements imposed by~~in law or regulation, ~~that are at least as demanding as the provisions of the IESBA Code related to sustainability assurance engagements.~~
 - b. ~~If other professional requirements, or requirements imposed by law or regulation, are applied, the statement and~~ shall:
 - i. Identify ~~the professional~~those requirements, ~~or requirements in law or regulation, applied that are at least as demanding as the provisions of the IESBA Code related to sustainability assurance engagements; and~~
 - ii. Disclose, ~~as applicable, that the practitioner or an~~the appropriate authority, including the name of any such authority, ~~that~~that has determined ~~these professional~~such requirements, ~~or requirements in law or regulation~~ to be at least as demanding as the provisions of the IESBA Code related to sustainability assurance engagements¹;
- (iv)A. If the relevant ethical requirements require the practitioner to publicly disclose when the practitioner applied independence requirements specific to sustainability assurance engagements of certain entities, the statement in accordance with part (iv) above shall indicate that the practitioner is independent of the entity in accordance with the independence requirements applicable to the sustainability assurance engagements of those entities; (Ref: Para. [A478AA548](#))
- (v) States that the firm of which the practitioner is a member applies:
 - a. ISQM 1¹; or
 - b. Other professional requirements, or requirements in law or regulation. ~~If other professional requirements are applied, the statement and~~ shall:
 - a.i. Identify those ~~professional~~ requirements, ~~or requirements in law or regulation, applied that are at least as demanding as ISQM 1; and~~
 - b.ii. Disclose, ~~as applicable, that the practitioner or an~~the appropriate authority, including the name of any such authority, ~~that~~that has determined ~~these professional~~such requirements, ~~or requirements in law or regulation~~ to be at least as demanding as ISQM 1¹;

- (vi) States whether the practitioner believes that the evidence the practitioner has obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for the practitioner's conclusion; and
 - (vii) If the practitioner expresses a modified conclusion, provides a description of the matter(s) giving rise to the modification.
- (e) Where applicable, a section with a heading "Other Information," containing the matters in accordance with paragraph ~~182~~199.
- (f) A section with the heading "Responsibilities for the Sustainability Information" that:
- (i) States that management or those charged with governance, as appropriate, is responsible for: (Ref: Para. ~~A479A549-A480A550~~)
 - a. The preparation and, if applicable, fair presentation of the sustainability information in accordance with the applicable criteria; and; (Ref: Para. ~~A481A551~~)
 - b. Designing, implementing and maintaining such internal controls that management determines is necessary to enable the preparation of sustainability information in accordance with the applicable criteria that is free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error; and
 - (ii) If those responsible for oversight of the process to prepare the sustainability information- are different from those who fulfill the responsibilities described in (f)(i), identifies those responsible for oversight. (Ref: Para. ~~A480A550~~)
- (g) If applicable, a section with the heading "Inherent Limitations in Preparing the Sustainability Information" that describes any significant inherent limitations associated with the measurement or evaluation of the sustainability matters against the applicable criteria; ~~;~~ (Ref: Para. ~~A420A489, A481AA552-A553 and A499A572~~)
- (h) A section with the heading "Practitioner's Responsibilities" that states that: (Ref: Para. ~~A479A549~~)
- (i) The objective of the practitioner is to plan and perform the assurance engagement to obtain limited or reasonable assurance, as applicable, about whether the sustainability information is free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error, and to issue an assurance report that includes a conclusion (for limited assurance) or opinion (for reasonable assurance); ~~;~~
 - (ii) Misstatements can arise from fraud or error, and:
 - a. Are considered material if, individually or in the aggregate, they could reasonably be expected to influence the decisions of users taken on the basis of the sustainability information; or
 - b. If materiality is defined or described differently in the applicable criteria, include such definition or description; ~~;~~
 - (iii) The practitioner exercises professional judgment and maintains professional skepticism throughout the engagement; ~~;~~
 - (iv) The practitioner performs risk assessment procedures, including obtaining:
 - a. For ~~a limited assurance engagement~~: an understanding of internal controls relevant to the engagement to identify and assess the risks of material misstatement-, whether due to fraud or error, at the disclosure level, ~~;~~ but not for

the purpose of providing a conclusion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control;⁴ or

- b. For ~~a~~-reasonable assurance-~~engagement~~: an understanding of internal controls relevant to the engagement, to identify and assess the risks of material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error, at the assertion level for the disclosures, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal controls;⁵

(v) The practitioner designs and performs procedures:

- a. For ~~a~~-limited assurance-~~engagement~~: responsive to the assessed risks of material misstatement at the disclosure level ~~in the sustainability information~~; or
- b. For ~~a~~-reasonable assurance-~~engagement~~: responsive to the assessed risks of material misstatement at the assertion level for the disclosures ~~in the sustainability information~~;

(v)A If forward-looking information is included in the sustainability information subject to the engagement, an explanation, as applicable, that the actual results that may occur in the future may differ materially from the forward-looking information reported; and (Ref: Para. A553A)

(vi) The risk of not detecting a material misstatement due to fraud is higher than for one due to error, as fraud may involve collusion, forgery, intentional omissions, misrepresentations, or the override of internal controls.

- (i) For ~~a~~-limited assurance-~~engagement~~, a section, with the heading "Summary of Work Performed," that contains an informative summary of the work performed as a basis for the practitioner's conclusion. This section shall describe the nature, timing and extent of procedures performed sufficiently to enable users to understand the limited assurance the practitioner has obtained. (Ref: Para. ~~A482A554-A486LA558L~~)
- (j) The practitioner's signature.
- (k) The location in the jurisdiction where the engagement leader practices.
- (l) The date of the assurance report. The assurance report shall be dated no earlier than the date on which: (Ref: Para. ~~A487A559~~)
 - (i) The practitioner has obtained the evidence on which the practitioner's conclusion is based, including evidence that those with the recognized authority have asserted that they have taken responsibility for the sustainability information; and
 - (ii) When an engagement quality review is required in accordance with ISQM 1 or the firm's policies or procedures, the engagement quality review is complete.

Name of the Engagement Leader in the Assurance Report

~~188174.~~ When the assurance report on sustainability information is for a listed entity, the name of the engagement leader shall be included, unless, in rare circumstances, such disclosure is reasonably expected to lead to a significant personal security threat. In the rare circumstances

⁴ If the limited assurance ~~engagement-report~~ includes a conclusion on the effectiveness of internal control, remove the words "but not for the purpose of providing a conclusion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control."

⁵ If the reasonable assurance ~~engagement-report~~ includes an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control, remove the words "but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control."

that the practitioner intends not to include the name of the engagement leader in the assurance report, the practitioner shall discuss this intention with those charged with governance to inform them of the practitioner's assessment of the likelihood and severity of a significant personal security threat. (Ref: Para. ~~A494A563-A493A565~~)

Reference to a Practitioner's Expert in the Assurance Report

~~189172.~~ If the practitioner refers to the work of a practitioner's expert in the assurance report, the wording of that report shall not ~~identify the expert, unless required by law or regulation, or otherwise~~ imply that the practitioner's responsibility for the conclusion expressed in that report is reduced because of the involvement of that expert. (Ref: Para. ~~A84A100, A87A103, A494A566-A495A568~~)

Other Reporting Responsibilities

~~190173.~~ If the practitioner addresses other reporting responsibilities in the assurance report on the sustainability information that are in addition to the practitioner's responsibilities under this ISSA, these other reporting responsibilities shall be addressed in a separate section in the assurance report with a heading "Report on Other Legal and Regulatory Requirements" or otherwise as appropriate to the content of the section. If these other reporting responsibilities address the same report elements as those presented under the reporting responsibilities required by this ISSA, the other reporting responsibilities may be presented in the same section as the related report elements required by this ISSA (Ref: Para. ~~A496A569-A497A570~~)

~~191174.~~ If other reporting responsibilities are presented in the same section as the related report elements required by this ISSA, the practitioner's report shall clearly differentiate the other reporting responsibilities from the reporting that is required by this ISSA. (Ref: Para. ~~A498A571~~)

~~192175.~~ If the assurance report contains a separate section that addresses other reporting responsibilities, the requirements of paragraph ~~470-181~~ shall be included under a section with a heading "[Limited, Reasonable, or Limited and Reasonable] Assurance Report on the Sustainability Information." The "Report on Other Legal and Regulatory Requirements" shall follow the "[Limited, Reasonable, or Limited and Reasonable] Assurance Report on the Sustainability Information." (Ref: Para. ~~A498A571~~)

~~193176.~~ If the practitioner is required by law or regulation to use a specific layout or wording of the assurance report, the assurance report shall refer to this ISSA only if the assurance report includes, at a minimum, each of the elements identified in paragraphs ~~470-187~~ and ~~474-188~~.

Engagements Conducted in Accordance with Both ISSA 5000 and Other Assurance Standards

~~194177.~~ A practitioner may be required to conduct an assurance engagement in accordance with the assurance standards of a specific jurisdiction (the "other assurance standards"), and has additionally complied with this ISSA in the conduct of the engagement. If this is the case, the assurance report may refer to this ISSA in addition to the other assurance standards, but the practitioner shall do so only if:

- (a) There is no conflict between the requirements in the other assurance standards and those in this ISSA that would lead the practitioner:
 - (i) to reach a different conclusion, or
 - (ii) not to include an Emphasis of Matter paragraph or Other Matter paragraph that, in the particular circumstances, is required by this ISSA; and

- (b) The assurance report includes, at a minimum, each of the elements set out in paragraphs ~~470-187~~ and ~~474-188~~ when the practitioner uses the layout or wording specified by the other assurance standards. The assurance report shall identify such other assurance standards, including the jurisdiction of origin of the other assurance standards.

Unmodified Conclusion

Limited Assurance	Reasonable Assurance
<p>195-178L. The practitioner shall express an unmodified limited assurance conclusion when the practitioner concludes, that, based on the procedures performed and evidence obtained, no matter(s) has come to the attention of the practitioner that causes the practitioner to believe that:</p> <p>(a) In the case of compliance criteria, the sustainability information is not prepared, in all material respects, in accordance with the applicable criteria; or</p> <p>(b) In the case of fair presentation criteria, the sustainability information is not fairly presented, in all material respects, in accordance with the applicable criteria.</p>	<p>195-178R. The practitioner shall express an unmodified reasonable assurance conclusion when the practitioner concludes, that:</p> <p>(a) In the case of compliance criteria, the sustainability information is prepared, in all material respects, in accordance with the applicable criteria; or</p> <p>(b) In the case of fair presentation criteria, the sustainability information is fairly presented, in all material respects, in accordance with the applicable criteria.</p>

Emphasis of Matter Paragraph and Other Matter Paragraph

- ~~196-179~~. If the practitioner considers it necessary to: (Ref: Para. ~~A499A572-A502A575~~)
- (a) Draw intended users' attention to a matter presented or disclosed in the sustainability information that, in the practitioner's judgment, is of such importance that it is fundamental to intended users' understanding of that information (an Emphasis of Matter paragraph); or
- (b) Communicate a matter other than those that are presented or disclosed in the sustainability information that, in the practitioner's judgment, is relevant to intended users' understanding of the engagement, the practitioner's responsibilities or the assurance report (an Other Matter paragraph), and
- this is not prohibited by law or regulation, the practitioner shall do so in a paragraph in the assurance report, with an appropriate heading, that clearly indicates the practitioner's conclusion is not modified in respect of the matter.
- ~~197-180~~. If the applicable criteria are designed for a specific purpose, the practitioner shall include an Emphasis of Matter paragraph that alerts readers to this fact, and that, as a result, the sustainability information may not be suitable for another purpose. (Ref: Para. ~~A503A576-A504A577~~)

Other Information

198184. If the practitioner has obtained the other information by the date of the assurance report, the assurance report shall include a separate section in accordance with paragraph ~~470~~187(e), except when the practitioner disclaims a conclusion, in which case an “Other Information” section is not included. (Ref: Para. ~~A505~~A578)

199182. When the assurance report is required to include an Other Information section in accordance with paragraph ~~481~~198, this section shall include:

- (a) A statement that management or those charged with governance, as appropriate, is responsible for the other information;
- (b) An identification of other information obtained by the practitioner prior to the date of the assurance report;
- (c) A statement that the practitioner’s conclusion does not cover the other information and, accordingly, that the practitioner does not provide a conclusion thereon; (Ref: Para. A578A)
- (d) A description of the practitioner’s responsibilities relating to reading, considering, and reporting on other information as required by this ISSA; and
- (e) Either:
 - (i) A statement that the practitioner has nothing to report with respect to the other information; or
 - (ii) If the practitioner has concluded that there is an uncorrected material misstatement of the other information, a statement that describes the uncorrected material misstatement of the other information.

Modified Conclusion

200183. The practitioner shall express a modified conclusion in the following circumstances:

- (a) When, in the practitioner’s professional judgment, a scope limitation exists, and the effect of the matter could be material. In such cases, the practitioner shall express a qualified conclusion or a disclaimer of conclusion. (Ref: Para. ~~A506~~A579, ~~A512L~~A585L-A514A587)
- (b) When, in the practitioner’s professional judgment, the sustainability information is materially misstated. In such cases, the practitioner shall express a qualified conclusion or adverse conclusion. (Ref: Para. ~~A507~~A580-A509A582, ~~A512L~~A585L-A514A587)

201184. The practitioner shall express a qualified conclusion when, in the practitioner’s professional judgment, the effects, or possible effects, of a matter are not so material and pervasive as to require an adverse conclusion or a disclaimer of conclusion. A qualified conclusion shall be expressed as being “except for” the effects, or possible effects, of the matter to which the qualification relates. (Ref: Para. ~~A506~~A579-A580, ~~A510~~A583-A514A586R)

202185. If the practitioner expresses a modified conclusion because of a scope limitation, but is also aware of a matter(s) that causes the sustainability information to be materially misstated, the practitioner shall include in the assurance report a clear description of both the scope limitation and the matter(s) that causes that the sustainability information to be materially misstated. (Ref: Para. ~~A506~~A579)

203186. If a statement made by management, or those charged with governance, as appropriate, in the sustainability information has identified and properly described that the sustainability information is materially misstated, the practitioner shall either:

- (a) Express a qualified conclusion or adverse conclusion phrased in terms of the sustainability information and the applicable criteria; or
- (b) If specifically required by the terms of the engagement to phrase the conclusion in terms of a statement made by the appropriate party(ies), express an unqualified conclusion, but include an Emphasis of Matter paragraph in the assurance report referring to the statement made by the appropriate party(ies), that identifies and properly describes that the sustainability information is materially misstated.

Comparative Information

204187. The practitioner shall determine whether the applicable criteria (or law or regulation) require comparative information to be included in the sustainability information and, if so, whether that comparative information is appropriately presented.

205188. In determining whether the comparative information is appropriately presented, the practitioner shall evaluate whether: (Ref: Para. A515A588-A520A593)

- (a) The comparative information is consistent with the disclosures presented in the prior period and, if not, any inconsistencies are addressed in accordance with the applicable criteria; and
- (b) The criteria for measurement or evaluation of the sustainability information reflected in the comparative information are consistent with those applied in the current period or, if there have been changes, whether they have been properly applied and adequately disclosed.

206189. If the comparative information is not referred to in the practitioner's assurance conclusion and was not subject to an assurance engagement in the prior period, the practitioner shall state that fact in an Other Matter paragraph. Such a statement does not, however, relieve the practitioner of the requirements in paragraphs 187204-188205.

207190. If the comparative information is not referred to in the practitioner's conclusion and was subject to an assurance engagement in the prior period, the practitioner shall state in an Other Matter paragraph:

- (a) If the assurance engagement for the prior period had a different level of assurance or a different engagement scope than the current period, that fact and what those differences were; or
- (b) If the assurance engagement for the prior period was conducted by a predecessor practitioner:
 - (i) That fact;
 - (ii) The type of conclusion provided by the predecessor practitioner;
 - (iii) If the conclusion was modified, the reasons for any modification; and
 - (iv) The date of that report.

208191. Irrespective of whether the practitioner's conclusion refers to the comparative information, if the practitioner becomes aware that there may be a material misstatement of the comparative information presented the practitioner shall: (Ref: Para. A520A593)

- (a) Discuss the matter with management and perform procedures appropriate in the circumstances;
- (b) Consider the effect on the assurance report; and

- (c) If the comparative information presented contains a material misstatement, and the comparative information has not been restated:
 - (i) When the practitioner's conclusion refers to the comparative information, the practitioner shall express a qualified conclusion or an adverse conclusion in the assurance report; or
 - (ii) When the practitioner's conclusion does not refer to the comparative information, the practitioner shall include an Other Matter paragraph in the assurance report describing the circumstances affecting the comparative information.

Documentation

Matters Arising After the Date of the Assurance Report

209192. If, in exceptional circumstances, the practitioner performs new or additional procedures or draws new conclusions after the date of the assurance report, the practitioner shall document: (Ref: Para. ~~A521~~A594)

- (a) The circumstances encountered;
- (b) The new or additional procedures performed, evidence obtained, and conclusions reached, and their effect on the assurance report; and
- (c) When and by whom the resulting changes to engagement documentation were made and reviewed.

* * *