

Supplement B.2 to Agenda Item 3

ED-Conforming and Consequential Amendments due to the Quality Management Standards – Conforming and Consequential Amendments Sufficient

Question 2:

Do respondents support the proposed effective date?

Q2 – Agree

1. Regulators and Audit Oversight Bodies

CPAB

CPAB supports the proposed effective date as it is aligned with ISQM 2 implementation; we do not support a later effective date.

2. National Auditing Standard Setters

AUASB

The AUASB supports the proposed effective date.

CAASB

We support the overall approach taken by the IAASB in developing the ED.

KICPA

(KICPA response) We support the proposed effective date.

NZAuASB

Response:

The NZAuASB supports the proposed effective date.

3. Accounting Firms

DTT

We agree that the effective date of the conforming and consequential amendments should be the same as those in ISQM 2 (i.e., for periods or engagements beginning on or after December 15, 2022), as we do not believe that the amendments proposed in the exposure draft require additional implementation time beyond the QM standards.

EYG

Yes. We believe that it is appropriate that effective date of the conforming and consequential amendments to the International Standards aligns to the effective date of ISQM 2.

GTIL

We believe that it is important that these conforming and consequential amendments have the same effective dates as the quality management standards and the conforming and consequential amendments to the ISAs. We therefore agree with the proposed effective dates for the conforming and consequential amendments to the Other Standards of periods beginning on or after December 15, 2022 for reviews of financial statements and for other assurance and related services engagements.

KPMG

In addition, since the changes proposed are limited in nature and are aligned with the effective dates of the new and revised Quality Management standards, including the conforming amendments to the ISAs as a result of those standards, we do not have concerns with the proposed effective date.

PwC

Question 2 - Effective date

Given the nature of these limited changes, aligning their effective date with the effective date of the Quality Management standards is reasonable. The proposed timeline should provide adequate time for implementation of these narrow scope changes into relevant methodologies and tools.

RSM

Yes, we support the proposed effective date as this is consistent with the implementation date for the Quality Management Standards.

4. Member and Other Professional Organizations

BICA

Response:

We agree with the proposed effective date as it aligns to the effective date of the QM standards.

MICPA

Comment:

We believe that the proposed effective date is appropriate as it is the same effective date as that for the new and revised Quality Management Standards, ISQM 1 and ISQM 2.

TFAC

We support the proposed effective date.

5. Public Sector Organizations

AGA

We believe a later effective date (December 15, 2023) is appropriate, given the changes to the standards we suggest above.

AGC

Yes, we support the proposed effective date if the exposure draft is adopted as is. If the Board is considering the changes we are proposing a later effective date would be necessary giving the extent of changes that needs to be implemented.

Q2 – Agree

2. National Auditing Standard Setters

CNCC-CSOEC

The IAASB proposes that the effective date of the conforming and consequential amendments be the same as those in ISQM 2 for the IAASB's review, other assurance and related services standards.

The conforming and consequential amendments are proposed to take effect for:

Reviews of financial statements for periods beginning on or after December 15, 2022; and Other assurance and related services engagements beginning on or after December 15, 2022

We support the proposed effective date.

IDW

Predicated upon the IAASB dealing with the issue of the potential impact of the change in definition of "engagement team" for ISAE 3000 (Revised) and ISAE 3410 as noted in our response to Question 1 above, we support the proposed effective date.

4. Member and Other Professional Organizations

SMPAG

We support the approach of ensuring that the IAASB's Other Standards and Framework can continue to be applied together with the new quality management standards and therefore understand the logic of proposing the effective date being the same.

However, as noted in our [response](#) to the IAASB Exposure Draft for *Quality Management at the Firm and Engagement Level, including Engagement Quality Reviews (EQR)*, we are concerned that the volume of international standards requiring adoption and implementation support by jurisdictions (including PAOs) is already causing significant challenges and affecting application of international standards.

The proposed timeframe will add further difficulties given the need for jurisdictions to translate the revisions and for firms to invest the necessary resources to update methodologies, guidance, and train staff etc. This is already challenging for firms given the work also required for implementation of the new QM standards and recently revised ISAs for audits.

Q2 – Disagree

1. Regulators and Audit Oversight Bodies

IRBA

8. No, based on our reading of the proposal, the proposed effective date has been aligned to the effective date of ISQM 2. Unless this is an incorrect reading, which can be clarified, it is our view

that many of the proposed changes relate to designing, implementing and operating a system of quality management. This is dealt with in ISQM 1, and the effective date should therefore take the effective date of ISQM 1 into account, i.e. systems of quality management are required to be designed and implemented by 15 December 2022.

9. We propose that the effective date of the conforming and consequential amendments to the Other IAASB Standards be as follows:
- For conforming and consequential amendments relating to the design and implementation of systems of quality management, effective from 15 December 2022;
 - For audit and reviews of financial statements, for periods beginning on or after 15 December 2022; and
 - For other assurance and related services engagements beginning on or after 15 December 2022.