

Proposed ISA 600 (Revised), Special Considerations—Audits of Group Financial Statements (Including the Work of Component Auditors) (Marked from June 2021 IAASB Meeting)

ISA 600 Requirement	Application Material
Introduction	
Scope of this ISA	
<p>1. The International Standards on Auditing (ISAs) apply to an audit of group financial statements (a group audit). This ISA deals with special considerations that apply to a group audit, including in those circumstances when component auditors are involved. The requirements and guidance in this ISA refer to, or expand on, the application of other relevant ISAs to a group audit, in particular ISA 220 (Revised),¹ ISA 230,² ISA 300,³ ISA 315 (Revised 2019),⁴ and ISA 330.⁵ (Ref: Para. A1–A2)</p>	<p>Scope of this ISA (Ref: Para. 1–1B)</p> <p>A1. This ISA also deals with the special considerations for the group engagement partner or group auditor, as applicable, in applying the requirements and guidance in ISA 220 (Revised), including with respect tofor the direction and supervision of component auditors and the review of their work.</p> <p>A2. ISQM 1⁶ addresses the engagements for which an engagement quality review is required to be performed. ISQM 2⁷ deals with the appointment and eligibility of the engagement quality reviewer and the engagement quality reviewer’s responsibilities relating to performing and documenting an engagement quality review, including for a group audit.</p>
<p>1A. Group financial statements include the financial information of more than one entity or business unit through a consolidation process, as described in paragraph 9(k). The term consolidation process as used</p>	<p>A2A. An entity or business unit of a group may also prepare its own group financial statements that incorporate the financial information of those entities or business units it encompasses (that is, a subgroup). This ISA therefore applies to an audit of the group financial statements of such subgroups <u>performed for statutory, regulatory or</u></p>

¹ ISA 220 (Revised), *Quality Management for an Audit of Financial Statements*

² ISA 230, *Audit Documentation*

³ ISA 300, *Planning an Audit of Financial Statements*

⁴ ISA 315 (Revised 2019), *Identifying and Assessing the Risks of Material Misstatement*

⁵ ISA 330, *The Auditor’s Responses to Assessed Risks*

⁶ International Standard on Quality Management (ISQM) 1, *Quality Management for Firms that Perform Audits or Reviews of Financial Statements, or Other Assurance or Related Services Engagements*

⁷ ISQM 2, *Engagement Quality Reviews*

ISA 600 Requirement	Application Material
<p>in this ISA refers not only to the preparation of consolidated financial statements in accordance with the applicable financial reporting framework, but also to the presentation of combined financial statements, and to the aggregation of the financial information of entities or business units such as branches or divisions. (Ref: Para. A2A–A2B, A16A)</p>	<p><u>other reasons.</u></p> <p>A2AA. A single legal entity may be organized with more than one entity or business unit, for example, a company with operations in multiple locations, such as a bank with multiple branches. When those entities or business units have characteristics such as separate locations, separate management, or separate information systems (including a separate general ledger) and the financial information is required to be aggregated in preparing the single legal entity's financial statements, such financial statements meet the definition of group financial statements because they include the financial information of more than one entity or business unit through a consolidation process.</p> <p>A2B. In some cases, a single legal entity may configure its information system to capture financial information for more than one product or service line for <u>legal or</u> regulatory reporting or other management purposes. In these circumstances, the entity's financial statements are not group financial statements because there is no aggregation of the financial information of more than one entity or business unit through a consolidation process. Further, capturing separate information (e.g., in a sub-ledger) for <u>legal or</u> regulatory <u>reporting</u> or <u>other</u> management purposes does not create separate entities or business units (e.g., divisions) for purposes of this ISA.</p>
<p>1B. As explained in ISA 220 (Revised),⁸ this ISA, adapted as necessary in the circumstances, may also be useful in an audit of financial statements other than a group audit when the engagement team includes individuals from another firm. For example, this ISA may be useful when involving such an individual to attend a physical inventory count, inspect property, plant and equipment, or perform audit procedures at a shared service center at a remote location.</p>	
<p><i>Groups and Components</i></p>	<p><i>Groups and Components</i> (Ref: Para. 3–3A)</p>

⁸ ISA 220 (Revised), paragraph A1

ISA 600 Requirement	Application Material
<p>3. A group may be organized in various ways. For example, a group may be organized by legal or other entities (e.g., a parent and one or more subsidiaries, joint ventures, or investments accounted for by the equity method). Alternatively, the group may be organized by geography, by other economic units (including branches or divisions), or by functions or business activities. In this ISA, these different forms of organization are collectively referred to as “entities or business units.” (Ref: Para. A3A)</p>	<p>A3A. The group’s information system, including its financial reporting process, may or may not be aligned with the group’s organizational structure. For example, a group may be organized according to its legal structure, but its information system may be organized by function, process, product or service (or by groups of products or services), or geographic locations for management or reporting purposes.</p>
<p>3A. The group auditor determines an appropriate approach to planning and performing audit procedures to respond to the assessed risks of material misstatement of the group financial statements. For this purpose, the group auditor uses professional judgment in determining the components at which audit work will be performed (see paragraph 17A(a)). This determination is based on the group auditor’s understanding of the group and its environment, and other factors such as the ability to perform audit procedures centrally, the presence of shared service centers, or common information systems and internal control. (Ref: Para. A5–A6A)</p>	<p>A5. Based on the understanding of the group’s organizational structure and information system, the group auditor may determine that the financial information of certain entities or business units may be considered together for purposes of planning and performing audit procedures. For example, a group may have three legal entities with similar business characteristics, operating in the same geographical jurisdictionlocation, under the same management, and using a common system of internal control, including the information system. In these circumstances, the group auditor may decide to treat these three legal entities as one component.</p> <p>A6. A group may also centralize activities or processes that are applicable to more than one entity or business unit within the group, for example through the use of a shared service center. When such centralized activities are relevant to the group’s financial reporting process, the group auditor may determine that the shared service center is a component for purposes of the group audit.</p> <p>A6A. Another consideration that may be relevant to the group auditor’s determination of components is how management has determined operating segments in accordance with the disclosure requirements of the applicable financial reporting framework.⁹</p>
<p><i>Involvement of Component Auditors</i></p>	<p><i>Involvement of Component Auditors</i> (Ref: Para. 3B–4)</p>

⁹ See, for example, International Financial Reporting Standard (IFRS) 8, *Operating Segments*

ISA 600 Requirement	Application Material
<p>3B. ISA 220 (Revised) requires the engagement partner to determine that sufficient and appropriate resources to perform the engagement are assigned or made available to the engagement team in a timely manner.¹⁰ In a group audit, such resources may include component auditors. Therefore, this ISA requires the group auditor to determine the nature, timing and extent of involvement of component auditors (see paragraph 17A(b)).</p>	
<p>3C. The group auditor may involve component auditors to provide information, or to perform audit work, to fulfill the requirements of this ISA. Component auditors may have greater experience and a more in-depth knowledge of the components and their environments (including local laws and regulations, business practices, language, and culture) than the group auditor. Accordingly, component auditors can be, and often are, involved in all phases of the group audit. (Ref: Para. A7–A8AA, Appendix 1)</p>	<p>A7. The involvement of component auditors may be necessary for various reasons. For example, when there are many components across multiple jurisdictions, the group auditor may need the assistance of component auditors to identify, assess and respond to the risks of material misstatement of the group financial statements.</p> <p>A8. The group auditor may involve component auditors to provide information, or to perform audit procedures, to fulfill the requirements of this ISA. Appendix 1 provides additional guidance about the matters that the group auditor may consider regarding the involvement of component auditors.</p> <p><u>A8AA.</u> Component auditors may also perform an audit of the financial statements of a component, whether for statutory or other reasons, particularly when a component is a legal entity. When a component auditor performs audit work for the purposes of the group audit and for an audit of the component financial statements concurrently, some or all of the audit work on the component financial information requested by the group auditor work for the audit of the component financial statements may be used for the separate audit of the component financial statements fulfill the requirements for audit work on the component financial information as set forth by the group auditor. Component auditors may also adapt the work they perform on the audit of the financial statements of the component to concurrently fulfill the needs of both that audit and the requirements set forth by the group auditor. In any event, the requirements of this ISA</p>

¹⁰ ISA 220 (Revised), paragraph 25

ISA 600 Requirement	Application Material
	apply, including with respect those relating to the direction and supervision of component auditors and the review of their work. [Marked from the wording presented in paragraph 64 of the June issues paper]
<p>4. Audit risk is a function of the risks of material misstatement and detection risk.¹¹ Detection risk in a group audit, this includes the risk that the component auditor may not detect a misstatement in the financial information of the component that could cause a material misstatement of the group financial statements, and the risk that the group auditor may not detect this misstatement. Accordingly, this ISA requires sufficient and appropriate involvement by the group engagement partner or group auditor, as applicable, in the work of component auditors and emphasizes the importance of two-way communication between the group auditor and component auditors. In addition, this ISA explains the matters that the group auditor takes into account when determining the nature, timing and extent of the direction and supervision of component auditors and the review of their work. (Ref: Para. A8A–A8B)</p>	<p>A8A. As explained in ISA 200,¹² detection risk relates to the nature, timing and extent of the auditor’s procedures that are determined by the auditor to reduce audit risk to an acceptably low level. Detection risk is a function not only of the effectiveness of an audit procedure but also the application of that procedure by the auditor. Therefore, detection risk is influenced by matters such as adequate planning, the assignment of appropriate resources to the engagement, the exercise of professional skepticism, and the supervision and review of the audit work performed.</p> <p>A8B. Detection risk is a broader concept than aggregation risk as described in paragraphs 9(a) and A11. In a group audit, there may be a higher probability that the aggregate of uncorrected and undetected misstatements may exceed materiality for the group financial statements as a whole because audit procedures may be performed separately on the financial information of components across the group. Accordingly, component performance materiality is set by the group auditor to reduce aggregation risk to an appropriately low level.</p>
<p><i>Professional Skepticism</i></p> <p>5. In accordance with ISA 200,¹³ the engagement team is required to plan and perform the group audit with professional skepticism and to exercise professional</p>	<p><i>Professional Skepticism</i> (Ref: Para. 5)</p> <p>A9. ISA 220 (Revised)¹⁴ provides examples of the impediments to the exercise of professional skepticism at the engagement level, including unconscious auditor biases that may impede the exercise of professional skepticism when designing and</p>

¹¹ ISA 200, *Overall Objectives of the Independent Auditor and the Conduct of an Audit in Accordance with International Standards on Auditing*, paragraph A34

¹² ISA 200, paragraph A45

¹³ ISA 200, paragraphs 15–16 and A20–A24

¹⁴ ISA 220 (Revised), paragraphs A35–A36~~7~~

ISA 600 Requirement	Application Material
<p>judgment. The appropriate exercise of professional skepticism may be demonstrated through the actions and communications of the engagement team, including emphasizing the importance of each engagement team member exercising professional skepticism throughout the group audit—engagement. Such actions and communications may include specific steps to mitigate impediments that may impair the appropriate exercise of professional skepticism. (Ref: Para. A9–A10)</p>	<p>performing audit procedures and evaluating audit evidence. ISA 220 (Revised) also provides possible actions that the engagement team may take to mitigate impediments to the exercise of professional skepticism at the engagement level.</p> <p>A9AA. It is important for all members of the engagement team, including component auditors, to exercise professional skepticism throughout the group audit. When there are a large number of components across multiple jurisdictions, it also may be important for the group auditor to remain alert for inconsistent information from component auditors, component management and group management about matters that may be significant to the group financial statements.</p> <p>A9A. A group audit engagement may present additional challenges to the<u>The</u> exercise of professional skepticism in a group audit also may be affected by matters such as the following<u>by the engagement team. Such challenges may include, for example:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • When there are a large number of components across multiple jurisdictions, it may be important for the group auditor to remain alert for contradictory information from component auditors, component management and group management with respect to a matter of significance to the group financial statements. • Component auditors in different locations may be subject to varying cultural influences, which may affect the nature of the biases to which they are subject. • The complex structure of some groups may <u>introduce factors that give rise to increased susceptibility to risks of material misstatement. In addition, an overly complex structure may itself be a fraud risk factor in accordance with ISA 240¹⁵ and therefore may</u> require an additional <u>time or expertise to understand</u>ing of the business purpose and activities of certain entities or business units. • The nature and extent of intragroup transactions (for example e.g., transactions that involve multiple entities and business units within the group or multiple related parties), cash flows or transfer pricing agreements may give rise to additional complexities. In some cases, such matters may also give rise to fraud

¹⁵ ISA 240, The Auditor's Responsibilities Relating to Fraud in an Audit of Financial Statements

ISA 600 Requirement	Application Material
	<p>risk factors in accordance with ISA 240.¹⁶</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> When the group audit is subject to tight reporting deadlines imposed by group management, this may put pressure on engagement team members in completing the work assigned. For example, such demands may restrict the ability of the engagement team to make appropriate judgments, including in the review of the work performed, and appropriately question management's assertions may be affected. In these circumstances, the group auditor may need to communicate with those charged with governance of the group. <p>A9B. [Moved to paragraph A52A]</p> <p>A9C. The exercise of professional skepticism also may be evident from the group auditor's direction and supervision of engagement team members, including component auditors, and the review of their work may help the group auditor in evaluating whether the engagement team has appropriately exercised professional skepticism.—In addition, challenges to the exercise of professional skepticism in a group audit, such as those described in paragraph A9A, may be considered by the group auditor in the evaluation of the sufficiency and appropriateness of audit evidence obtained in accordance with paragraph 49.</p> <p>A10. Requirements and relevant application material in ISA 315 (Revised 2019),¹⁷ ISA 540 (Revised)¹⁸ and other ISAs also provide examples of areas in an audit where the auditor exercises professional skepticism, or examples of where appropriate documentation may help provide evidence about how the auditor exercised professional skepticism.</p>
<p><i>Scalability</i></p> <p>5A. This ISA is intended for all group audits, regardless of size or complexity. However, the requirements of this</p>	

¹⁶ ~~ISA 240, The Auditor's Responsibilities Relating to Fraud in an Audit of Financial Statements~~

¹⁷ ISA 315 (Revised 2019), paragraph A238

¹⁸ ISA 540 (Revised), *Auditing Accounting Estimates and Related Disclosures*, paragraph A11

ISA 600 Requirement	Application Material
<p>ISA are intended to be applied in the context of the nature and circumstances of each group audit. For example, when a group audit is carried out entirely by the group auditor, some requirements in this ISA are not relevant because they are conditional on the involvement of component auditors. This may be the case when the group auditor is able to perform audit procedures centrally or is able to perform procedures at the components without involving component auditors. The guidance in paragraphs A75A and A76 also may be helpful in applying this ISA in these circumstances.</p>	
<p><i>Responsibilities of the Group Engagement Partner and Group Auditor</i></p> <p>6. The group engagement partner remains ultimately responsible, and therefore accountable, for compliance with the requirements of this ISA. The term “the group engagement partner shall take responsibility for...” or “the group auditor shall take responsibility for...” is used for those requirements when the group engagement partner or group auditor, respectively, is permitted to assign the design or performance of procedures, tasks or actions to other appropriately skilled or suitably experienced members of the engagement team, including component auditors. For other requirements, this ISA expressly intends that the requirement or responsibility be fulfilled by the group engagement partner or group auditor, as applicable, and the group engagement partner or group auditor may obtain information from the firm or other members of the</p>	

ISA 600 Requirement	Application Material
engagement team. (Ref: Para. A19)	
Effective Date	
7. This ISA is effective for audits of group financial statements for periods beginning on or after December 15, 20XX.	
Objectives	
<p>8. The objectives of the auditor are to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (a) With respect to the acceptance and continuance of the group audit engagement, determine whether sufficient appropriate audit evidence can reasonably be expected to be obtained to provide a basis for forming an opinion on the group financial statements; (b) Identify and assess the risks of material misstatement of the group financial statements, <u>whether due to fraud or error</u>, and to plan and perform further audit procedures to appropriately respond to those assessed risks; (c) Be sufficiently and appropriately involved in the work of component auditors throughout the group audit engagement, including communicating clearly about the scope and timing of their work, and in evaluating the results of that work; and (d) Evaluate whether sufficient appropriate audit evidence has been obtained from the audit procedures performed, including <u>from with respect</u> to the work performed by component auditors, as a basis for forming an opinion on the group 	

ISA 600 Requirement	Application Material
financial statements.	
Definitions	
<p>9. For purposes of the ISAs, the following terms have the meanings attributed below:</p> <p>(a) Aggregation risk – The probability that the aggregate of uncorrected and undetected misstatements exceeds materiality for the financial statements as a whole. (Ref: Para. A11)</p> <p>(b) Component – An entity or business unit or a function or <u>business</u> activity, (or some combination thereof), determined by the group auditor for purposes of planning and performing audit procedures in a group audit. (Ref: Para. A12)</p> <p>(c) Component auditor – An auditor who, at the request of the group auditor, performs audit work related to a component for purposes of the group audit. A component auditor is a part of the engagement team for a group audit.¹⁹ (Ref: Para. A13–A14)</p> <p>(d) Component management – Management responsible for a component. (Ref: Para. A15)</p> <p>(e) Component performance materiality – An amount set by the group auditor to reduce aggregation risk to an appropriately low level for purposes of planning and performing audit procedures in relation to a component.</p>	<p>Definitions</p> <p><i>Aggregation Risk</i> (Ref: Para. 9(a))</p> <p>A11. Aggregation risk exists in all audits of financial statements, but is particularly important to understand and address in a group audit because there is a greater likelihood that audit procedures will be performed on classes of transactions, account balances or disclosures that are disaggregated across components. Generally, aggregation risk increases as the number of components increases at which audit procedures are performed separately, whether by component auditors or other members of the engagement team.</p> <p><i>Component</i> (Ref: Para. 9(b))</p> <p>A12. As noted in paragraph 3A, the group auditor uses professional judgment in determining components at which audit procedures will be performed, including whether to combine certain entities or business units, or functions or <u>business</u> activities; <u>will be considered together</u> for purposes of planning and performing audit procedures. However, the group auditor’s consideration-identification and assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the group financial statements <u>in accordance with ISA 315 (Revised 2019)</u> encompasses all of the entities and business units whose financial information is included in the group financial statements.</p> <p><i>Component Auditor</i> (Ref: Para. 9(c))</p> <p>A13. References in this ISA to the engagement team include the group auditor and component auditors. <u>Component auditors may be</u> The engagement team includes individuals from the group auditor’s firm and may include individuals from a network firm, a firm that is not a network firm, or <u>the group auditor’s firm (e.g., another office</u></p>

¹⁹ ISA 220 (Revised), paragraph ~~40~~12(d)

ISA 600 Requirement	Application Material
<p>(f) Group – A reporting entity for which group financial statements are prepared.</p> <p>(g) Group audit – The audit of group financial statements.</p> <p>(h) Group auditor – The group engagement partner and members of the engagement team other than component auditors who are responsible for:</p> <p>(i) Establishing the overall group audit strategy and group audit plan;</p> <p>(ii) Directing and supervising component auditors and reviewing their work;</p> <p>(iii) Evaluating the conclusions drawn from the audit evidence obtained as the basis for forming an opinion on the group financial statements.</p> <p>(i) Group audit opinion – The audit opinion on the group financial statements.</p> <p>(j) Group engagement partner – The engagement partner²⁰ who is responsible for the group audit. (Ref: Para. A15A)</p> <p>(k) Group financial statements – Financial statements that include the financial information of more than one entity or business unit through a consolidation process. For purposes of this ISA, a consolidation process includes:</p> <p>(i) Consolidation, proportionate consolidation, or an equity method of accounting;</p>	<p>within the group auditor's firm)an external service provider.</p> <p>A14. In some circumstances, the group auditor may perform centralized testing on classes of transactions, account balances or disclosures, or may perform audit procedures related to a component. In these circumstances, the group auditor is not considered a component auditor for purposes of this ISA.</p> <p><i>Component Management</i> (Ref: Para. 9(d))</p> <p>A15. Component management refers to management responsible for the financial information or other activity (e.g. for example, processing of transactions at a shared service center) at an entity or business unit that is part of the group. When the group auditor considers the financial information of certain entities or business units together combines entities or business units into <u>as a component</u> or determines that a shared service center is a component (see paragraphs A5–A6), component management refers to the management that is responsible for the financial information or transaction processing that is subject to the audit procedures being performed in relation to that component.</p> <p><i>Group Engagement Partner</i> (Ref: Para. 9(j))</p> <p>A15A. When joint auditors conduct a group audit, the joint engagement partners and their engagement teams collectively constitute the “group engagement partner” and “engagement team” for the purposes of the ISAs. This ISA does not, however, deal with the relationship between joint auditors or the work that one joint auditor performs in relation to the work of the other joint auditor for purposes of the group audit.</p> <p><i>Group Financial Statements</i> (Ref: Para. 1A, 9(k))</p> <p>A16. The requirements for the preparation and presentation of the group financial statements may be specified in the applicable financial reporting framework, which may therefore affect the determination of the financial information of entities or business units to be included in the group financial statements. For example, some frameworks require the</p>

²⁰ ISA 220 (Revised), paragraph ~~40~~12(a)

ISA 600 Requirement	Application Material
<p>(ii) The presentation in combined financial statements of the financial information of entities or business units that have no parent but are under common control or common management; or</p> <p>(iii) The aggregation of the financial information of entities or business units such as branches or divisions. (Ref: Para. A16–A16B)</p> <p>(l) Group management – Management responsible for the preparation of the group financial statements.</p> <p>(m) Group performance materiality – Performance materiality²¹ in relation to the group financial statements as a whole, as determined by the group auditor.</p>	<p>preparation of consolidated financial statements when an entity (a parent entity) controls one or more other entities (e.g., subsidiaries) through majority ownership interest or other means. In some cases, the applicable financial reporting framework includes separate requirements for, or may otherwise permit, the presentation of combined financial statements. Examples of circumstances in which the presentation of combined financial statements may be permitted include entities that have no parent but are under common control or entities under common management.</p> <p>A16A. The term 'consolidation process' as used in this ISA is not intended to have the same meaning as 'consolidation' or 'consolidated financial statements' as defined or described in financial reporting frameworks. Rather, the term 'consolidation process' refers more broadly to the process used to prepare group financial statements. Also see paragraph 1A.</p> <p>A16B. The detailed aspects of the consolidation process vary from one group to another, depending on the group's structure and information system, including the financial reporting process. However, a consolidation process involves considerations such as the elimination of intragroup transactions and balances and, when applicable, implications of different reporting periods for entities or business units included in the group financial statements.</p>
<p>10. Reference in this ISA to "the applicable financial reporting framework" means the financial reporting framework that applies to the group financial statements.</p>	
<p>Leadership Responsibilities for Managing and Achieving Quality on a Group Audit</p>	

²¹ ISA 320, *Materiality in Planning and Performing an Audit*, paragraphs 9 and 11

ISA 600 Requirement	Application Material
<p>12. In applying ISA 220 (Revised),²² the group engagement partner is required to shall take overall responsibility for managing and achieving quality on the group audit engagement. In doing so, the group engagement partner shall: (Ref: Para. A19–A20)</p> <p>(a) Take, including taking responsibility for creating an environment for the engagement that emphasizes the expected behavior of engagement team members. (Ref: Para. A20A)</p> <p>(b) In doing so, the group engagement partner shall be sufficiently and appropriately involved throughout the group audit engagement, including in the work of component auditors, such that the group engagement partner has the basis for determining whether the significant judgments made, and the conclusions reached, are appropriate given the nature and circumstances of the group audit engagement. (Ref: Para. A19–A20)</p>	<p>Leadership Responsibilities for Managing and Achieving Quality on a Group Audit (Ref: Para. 6, 12)</p> <p>A19. It may not be possible or practical for the group engagement partner to solely deal with all requirements in ISA 220 (Revised), particularly when the engagement team includes a large number of component auditors located in multiple locations. In managing quality at the engagement level, ISA 220 (Revised)²³ permits the engagement partner to assign responsibilities for the design or performance of procedures, tasks or actions to appropriately skilled or suitably experienced members of the engagement team to assist the engagement partner. Accordingly, the group engagement partner may assign responsibilities to other members of the engagement team and these members may assign responsibilities further. In such circumstances, ISA 220 (Revised) requires that the engagement partner shall continue to take overall responsibility for managing and achieving quality on the engagement.²⁴</p> <p>A19A. [Moved to paragraph A20A]</p> <p>A20. Policies or procedures established by the firm, or that are common network requirements or network services,²⁵ may support the group engagement partner by facilitating communication between the group auditor and component auditors and supporting the group auditor’s direction and supervision of those component auditors and the review of their work.</p> <p>A20A. The group engagement partner’s responsibility for managing and achieving quality is supported by a firm culture that demonstrates a commitment to quality. In addressing the requirements in paragraph 12(a) of this ISA, direct communication with the group engagement partner may communicate directly to other members of the engagement team, including component auditors and reinforce this communication through leading</p>

²² ISA 220 (Revised), paragraph 13

²³ ISA 220 (Revised), paragraph 15

²⁴ ISA 220 (Revised), paragraph 15

²⁵ ISQM 1, paragraphs 48–52

ISA 600 Requirement	Application Material
	<p>by example in the group engagement partner's personal conduct and actions <u>helps to reinforce a commitment to quality (e.g., leading by example)</u>. As explained in ISA 220 (Revised),²⁶ a culture that demonstrates a commitment to quality is further shaped and reinforced by the engagement team members as they demonstrate expected behaviors when performing the engagement. The firm's policies or procedures may require the firm or the group engagement partner to take different actions from those applicable to personnel when communicating the expected behaviors to component auditors. [Previously paragraph A19A]</p>
Acceptance and Continuance	
<p>13. <u>Before accepting or continuing the group audit engagement,</u> the group engagement partner shall determine whether sufficient appropriate audit evidence can reasonably be expected to be obtained to provide a basis for forming an opinion on the group financial statements. (Ref: Para. A21–A23)</p>	<p>Acceptance and Continuance <i>Determining Whether Sufficient and Appropriate Audit Evidence Can Reasonably Be Expected to Be Obtained</i> (Ref: Para. 13–14) A21. In determining whether sufficient appropriate audit evidence can reasonably be expected to be obtained, the group engagement partner may obtain an understanding of matters such as:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The group structure, including both the legal and organizational structure. • Business aActivities that are significant to the group, including the industry and regulatory, economic and political environments in which those activities take place. • The use of service organizations. • The use of shared service centers. • The consolidation process. • Whether the group auditor: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Will have unrestricted access to those charged with governance of the group, group management, those charged with governance of the

²⁶ ISA 220 (Revised), paragraphs ~~A24 and~~ A28

ISA 600 Requirement	Application Material
	<p>component, component management, component information; and</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Will be able to perform necessary work on the financial information of the components when applicable. • Whether sufficient and appropriate resources are assigned or will be made available in accordance with paragraph 21. <p>A21A. There may be more complexities with obtaining sufficient appropriate audit evidence in a group audit with components in jurisdictions other than the group auditor's jurisdiction because of cultural and language differences and different laws or regulations (e.g., regulations restricting access to data <u>audit documentation (see paragraphs A129–A130)</u>).</p> <p>A22. In the case of an initial <u>group audit</u> engagement, the group auditor's understanding of the matters in paragraph A21 may be obtained from:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Information provided by group management; • Communication with group management; • Communication with those charged with governance of the group; and • Where applicable, communication with component management or the predecessor auditor. <p>A23. For a continuing engagement, obtaining audit evidence may be affected by significant changes, for example:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Changes in the group structure (e.g., acquisitions, disposals, reorganizations, or changes in how the group financial reporting system is organized). • Changes in components' business activities that are significant to the group. • Changes in the composition of those charged with governance of the group, group management, or key management of components for which audit procedures are expected to be performed. • New concerns the group auditor has with regard to the integrity and competence of group or component management. • Changes in the applicable financial reporting framework.

ISA 600 Requirement	Application Material
<p>14. If, after the acceptance or continuance of the group audit engagement, the group engagement partner concludes that sufficient appropriate audit evidence cannot be obtained, the group engagement partner shall consider the possible effects on the group audit. (Ref: Para. A25)</p>	<p>A25. Restrictions may be imposed after the group engagement partner’s acceptance of the group audit engagement that may affect the engagement team’s ability to obtain sufficient appropriate audit evidence. Such restrictions may include those affecting:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The group auditor’s access to component information, management or those charged with governance of components, or the component auditors (including relevant audit documentation sought by the group auditor) (see paragraph 16 and 17); or • The work to be performed on the financial information of components. <p>An inability to obtain sufficient appropriate audit evidence would need to be evaluated, in accordance with ISA 705 (Revised),²⁷ in forming an opinion on the group financial statements.²⁸ ISA 705 (Revised)²⁹ also addresses in exceptional circumstances in which; such restrictions may lead to withdrawal from the engagement, where withdrawal is possible under applicable laws or regulations.</p>
<p><i>Terms of the Engagement</i></p> <p>15. In applying ISA 210,³⁰ the group auditor shall obtain the agreement of group management that it acknowledges and understands its responsibility to provide the engagement team with: (Ref: Para. A26)</p> <p>(a) Access to all information of which group management is aware that is relevant to the preparation of the group financial statements such</p>	<p><i>Agreeing the Terms of Audit Engagements</i> (Ref: Para. 15)</p> <p>A26. ISA 210 requires the auditor to agree the terms of the audit engagement with management or those charged with governance, as appropriate.³¹ The terms of engagement identify the applicable financial reporting framework.³² Additional matters may be included in the terms of a group audit engagement, such as:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Communications between the group auditor and component auditors should be unrestricted to the extent possible under laws or regulations; • Important communications between component auditors and those charged

²⁷ [ISA 705 \(Revised\), Modifications to the Opinion in the Independent Auditor’s Report](#)

²⁸ [ISA 705 \(Revised\), Modifications to the Opinion in the Independent Auditor’s Report](#)

²⁹ [ISA 705 \(Revised\), paragraph 13\(b\)\(i\)](#)

³⁰ ISA 210, *Agreeing the Terms of Audit Engagements*, paragraphs 6(b) and 8(b)

³¹ ISA 210, paragraph 9

³² ISA 210, paragraph 10

ISA 600 Requirement	Application Material
<p>as records, documentation and other matters;</p> <p>(b) Additional information that the engagement team may request from group management and component management for the purpose of the group audit; and</p> <p>(c) Unrestricted access to persons within the group from whom the engagement team determines it necessary to obtain audit evidence.</p>	<p>with governance of the component or component management, including communications on significant deficiencies in internal control, should be communicated to the group auditor;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Communications between regulatory authorities and entities or business units related to financial reporting matters that may be relevant to the group audit should be communicated to the group auditor; and • The group auditor should be permitted to perform work, or request a component auditor to perform work, at the component.
<p><i>Restrictions on Access Outside the Control of Group Management</i></p> <p>16. If the group engagement partner concludes that group management cannot provide the engagement team with access to information or unrestricted access to persons within the group due to restrictions that are outside the control of group management, the group engagement partner shall consider the possible effects on the group audit. (Ref: Para. A27–A32)</p>	<p><i>Restrictions on Access to Information or People</i> (Ref: Para. 16–17)</p> <p>A27. Restrictions on access to information or people do not alleviate the requirement for the group auditor to obtain sufficient appropriate audit evidence.</p> <p>A28. Access to information or people can be restricted for many reasons, such as restrictions imposed by component management, laws or regulations or other conditions, for example, war, civil unrest or outbreaks of disease.</p> <p>A29. In many cases, the group auditor may be able to overcome restrictions on access to information or people, for example:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • When laws or regulations restrict sending relevant audit documentation across borders, the group auditor may be able to access the relevant audit documentation by one or more of the following: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Visiting the location of the component; ○ Reviewing the relevant audit documentation remotely through the use of technology (e.g., videoconferencing, remote access to the audit file), where not prohibited by law or regulations; ○ Requesting the component auditor to prepare and provide a memorandum that addresses the relevant information and holding discussions with the component auditor, if necessary, to discuss the contents of the memorandum; or ○ Discussing the procedures performed, the results obtained and the

ISA 600 Requirement	Application Material
	<p style="text-align: center;">conclusions reached with the component auditor.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • When the group has a non-controlling interest in an entity that is accounted for by the equity method, the group auditor may be able to overcome restrictions by determining whether provisions exist (e.g., in the terms of joint venture agreements, or the terms of other investment agreements) regarding access by the group to the financial information of the entity, and requesting management to exercise such rights. Paragraph A29A describes <u>how the group auditor may</u> possible procedures to obtain sufficient appropriate audit evidence when the group has a non-controlling interest in an entity that is accounted for by the equity method <u>and the group auditor's access is restricted</u>; • When war, civil unrest or outbreaks of disease restrict access to relevant audit documentation of a component auditor, the group auditor may be able to access the relevant audit documentation by one or more of the following: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Meeting with the component auditor in a location different from where the component auditor is located; ○ Reviewing the relevant audit documentation remotely through the use of technology (e.g., video conferencing, remote access to the audit file), where not prohibited by laws or regulations; ○ Requesting the component auditor to prepare and provide a memorandum that addresses the relevant information and holding discussions with the component auditor, if necessary, to discuss the contents of the memorandum; or ○ Discussing the procedures performed, the results obtained and the conclusions reached with the component auditor. • When access to component management or those charged with governance of the component is restricted, the group auditor may be able to perform the work themselves by working with group management or those charged with governance of the group. <p>Whether one, or a combination, of the above options can overcome restrictions on</p>

ISA 600 Requirement	Application Material
	<p>access to people or information to enable the group auditor to obtain sufficient appropriate audit evidence is a matter of professional judgment.</p> <p>A29A. When the group has a non-controlling interest in an entity that is accounted for by the equity method and the group auditor’s access is restricted, <u>the group auditor may determine that the group auditor’s procedures to obtain sufficient appropriate audit evidence can be obtained are a matter of professional judgment in view of the assessed risks of material misstatement.</u>³³ Such procedures may include by considering:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> F <u>Considering</u> financial information that is available from group management, as group management also needs to obtain the entity’s financial information in order to prepare the group financial statements. C <u>Considering</u> publicly available information, such as audited financial statements, public disclosure documents, or quoted prices of equity instruments in the non-controlled entity. O <u>Considering</u> other sources of information that may corroborate or otherwise contribute to audit evidence obtained. For example, if the group has representatives who are on the executive board or are members of those charged with governance of the non-controlled entity, <u>the group auditor may discuss</u> with them regarding the non-controlled entity’s and its operations and financial status <u>and obtain financial and other information available to them in their roles may be a useful source of information.</u> <p><u>A29B. It is a matter of professional judgment whether one, or a combination, of the options included in paragraphs A29 or A29A can overcome the restrictions to enable the group auditor to obtain sufficient appropriate audit evidence.</u></p> <p>A30. When the group auditor cannot overcome restrictions, the group auditor may communicate about the restrictions to the group auditor’s firm <u>can assist the group auditor in determining an appropriate course of action.</u> The group auditor’s firm may discuss with the component auditor’s firm about how to overcome the restrictions. For</p>

³³—ISA 330, paragraph 7(b)

ISA 600 Requirement	Application Material
	<p><u>example, t</u>The group auditor’s firm may:</p> <p>— also <u>Be</u> required by law or regulation to communicate with regulators, listing authorities, or others, about the restrictions or how to overcome the restrictions.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>The group auditor may also <u>b</u>Be required by law and regulation to</u> <u>C</u>ommunicate about the restrictions with group management and encourage group management to communicate with regulators. This may be useful when restrictions affect multiple audits in the jurisdiction or by the same firm, for example, because of war, civil unrest or outbreaks of disease in a major economy. <u>• <u>Be required by law or regulation to communicate with regulators, listing authorities, or others, about the restrictions or how to overcome the restrictions.</u></u>
<p><i>Restrictions on Access Imposed by Group Management</i></p> <p>17. If the group engagement partner concludes that:</p> <p>(a) It will not be possible for the group auditor to obtain sufficient appropriate audit evidence due to restrictions imposed by group management; and</p> <p>(b) The possible effect of this limitation will result in a disclaimer of opinion on the group financial statements,</p> <p>the group engagement partner shall either:</p> <p>(i) In the case of an initial engagement, not accept the engagement, or, in the case of a continuing engagement, withdraw from the engagement, where withdrawal is possible under applicable law or regulation; or</p> <p>(ii) Where law or regulation prohibit an auditor from declining an engagement or where withdrawal from an engagement is not otherwise possible,</p>	<p>A31. Restrictions on access may have other implications for the group audit. For example, if restrictions are imposed by group management, the group auditor may need to reconsider the reliability of group management’s responses to the group auditor’s inquiries and may call into question group management’s integrity.</p> <p>Effect of Restrictions on the Auditor’s Report on Group Financial Statements</p> <p>A32. ISA 705 (Revised) contains requirements and guidance about how to address situations where the group auditor is unable to obtain sufficient appropriate audit evidence. Appendix 2 to this ISA contains an example of an auditor’s report containing a qualified group audit opinion based on the group auditor’s inability to obtain sufficient appropriate audit evidence in relation to a component that is accounted for by the equity method.</p> <p>Law or Regulation Prohibit the Group Engagement Partner from Declining or Withdrawing from an Engagement</p> <p>A33. Law or regulation may prohibit the group engagement partner from declining or withdrawing from an engagement. For example, in some jurisdictions the auditor is appointed for a specified period of time and is prohibited from withdrawing before the end of that period. Also, in the public sector, the option of declining or withdrawing</p>

ISA 600 Requirement	Application Material
<p>having performed the audit of the group financial statements to the extent possible, disclaim an opinion on the group financial statements. (Ref: Para. A31–A33)</p>	<p>from an engagement may not be available to the auditor due to the nature of the mandate or public interest considerations. In these circumstances, the requirements in this ISA still apply to the group audit, and the effect of the group auditor’s inability to obtain sufficient appropriate audit evidence is addressed in ISA 705 (Revised).</p>
<p>Overall Group Audit Strategy and Group Audit Plan</p>	
<p>17A. In applying ISA 300, the group auditor shall establish, <u>and update as necessary</u>, an overall group audit strategy and group audit plan that includes a determination of: (Ref: Para. A33A–A33D)</p> <p>(a) The components at which audit work will be performed; and (Ref: Para. A33F)</p> <p>(b) The resources needed to perform the group audit engagement, including the nature, timing and extent to which component auditors are to be involved. (Ref: Para. A33G–A33J)</p>	<p>Overall Group Audit Strategy and Group Audit Plan</p> <p><i>The Continual and Iterative Nature of Planning and Performing a Group Audit</i> (Ref: Para. 17A)</p> <p>A33A. As explained in ISA 300,³⁴ planning is not a discrete phase of an audit, but rather a continual and iterative process that often begins shortly after (or in connection with) the completion of the previous audit and continues until the completion of the current audit engagement. For example, due to unexpected events, changes in conditions, or audit evidence obtained from risk assessment or further audit procedures, the group auditor may need to modify the overall group audit strategy and group audit plan, and thereby the resulting planned nature, timing and extent of further audit procedures, based on the revised consideration of assessed risk. The group auditor may also modify the determination of the components at which to perform audit work as well as the nature, timing and extent to which of the component auditors’ are to be involvement. ISA 300³⁵ requires the group auditor to update and change the overall audit strategy and audit plan as necessary during the course of the audit.</p> <p><u><i>Establishing the Overall Group Audit Strategy and Group Audit Plan</i></u> (Ref: Para. 17A)</p> <p>A33B. In a continuing group audit engagement the group auditor may have an initial understanding of the group and its environment, the applicable financial reporting framework and the entity’s system of internal control obtained through the prior year’s audit. This initial understanding may assist the group auditor in developing initial</p>

³⁴ ISA 300, paragraph A2

³⁵ ISA 300, paragraph 10

ISA 600 Requirement	Application Material
	<p>expectations about the classes of transactions, account balances and disclosures that may be significant.</p> <p>A33C. In an initial group audit engagement, the group auditor may establish the overall group audit strategy and group audit plan the group auditor may have a preliminary understanding of the group and its environment, the applicable financial reporting framework and the entity's system of internal control based on information obtained from group management, those charged with governance of the group and, where applicable, communication with component management or the predecessor auditor. In a recurring group audit engagement, the group auditor's preliminary understanding may be obtained through the prior period's audit. This preliminary understanding may assist the group auditor in developing initial expectations about the classes of transactions, account balances and disclosures that may be significant.</p> <p>A33C. The group auditor may also use information obtained during the engagement acceptance and continuance process in establishing the overall group audit strategy and group audit plan, for example, in relation to the resources needed to perform the group audit. [Previously paragraph A33E]</p> <p>Establishing the Overall Group Audit Strategy and Group Audit Plan (Ref: Para. 17A)</p> <p>A33D. The process of establishing the overall group audit strategy and group audit plan <u>and initial expectations about the classes of transactions, account balances and disclosures that may be significant at the group financial statement level</u> may assist the group auditor in developing <u>a preliminary determination expectations forof</u> matters such as:³⁶</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Whether to perform audit work centrally, at a components or a combination thereof; and • The nature, timing and extent of audit work to be performed with respect to the financial information of at the components (e.g., design and perform risk assessment procedures, further audit procedures, or a combination thereof).

³⁶ ISA 315 (Revised 2019), paragraph 7

ISA 600 Requirement	Application Material
	<p>A33E. [Moved to paragraph A33C]</p> <p><i>Components at Which to Perform Audit Work</i> (Ref: Para. 17A(a))</p> <p>A33F. The determination of components at which to perform audit work is a matter of professional judgment. Matters that may influence the group auditor’s determination include, for example:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The disaggregation of significant classes of transactions, account balances and disclosures in the group financial statements across components, considering the size and nature of assets, liabilities and transactions, at the location or business unit relative to the group financial statements. • The nature of events or conditions that may give rise to risks of material misstatement at the assertion level of the group financial statements that are associated with at the component, for example: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Newly formed or acquired entities or business units. ○ Entities or business units in which significant changes have taken place. ○ Significant transactions with related parties. ○ <u>Significant transactions outside the normal course of business.</u> ○ Abnormal fluctuations identified by analytical procedures performed at group level, as required by ISA 315 (Revised 2019).³⁷ • The disaggregation of significant classes of transactions, account balances and disclosures in the group financial statements across components, considering the size and nature of assets, liabilities and transactions, at the location or business unit relative to the group financial statements. • Whether sufficient appropriate audit evidence is expected to be obtained for all significant classes of transactions, account balances and disclosures in the group financial statements from audit work planned. • The nature and extent of misstatements or control deficiencies identified at the

³⁷ ISA 315 (Revised 2019), paragraph 14(b)

ISA 600 Requirement	Application Material
	<p><u>component in prior period audits.</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The nature and extent of commonality of controls and whether, and if so, how, the group centralizes activities relevant to financial reporting. <p><i>Resources (Ref: Para. 17A(b), 25)</i></p> <p>A33G. Factors that influence the group auditor’s determination <u>of about</u> the resources needed to perform the group audit and the nature, timing and extent to which component auditors are to be involved <u>are is</u> a matter of professional judgment and include, for example:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The understanding of the group, the components within the group at which audit work is to be performed and whether to perform work centrally, at components or a combination thereof. The knowledge and experience of the engagement team members. For example, the involvement of experts may be needed on complex matters. In addition, component auditors may have greater experience and a more in-depth knowledge than the group auditor of the local industries in which components operate, local laws or regulations, business practices, language and culture. <u>In addition, the involvement of experts may be needed on complex matters.</u> The initial expectations about the potential risks of material misstatement. The amount or location of resources to allocate to specific audit areas. For example, the extent to which components are dispersed across multiple locations may impact the need to involve component auditors in specific locations. Access arrangements. For example, when the group auditor’s access to a component in a particular jurisdiction is restricted, component auditors may need to be involved. The nature of the components’ business activities, including their complexity or specialization of operations. The group’s system of internal control, including the information system in place,

ISA 600 Requirement	Application Material
	<p>and its degree of centralization. For example, the involvement of component auditors may be more likely when the system of internal control is decentralized.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Previous experience with the component auditor. <p>A33H. When the group auditor determines thatC-component auditors will be involved in the group audit, the group auditor may be involved the component auditors throughout the different phases of an audit, for example, component auditors may:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Perform risk assessment procedures (see paragraph 25); and • Respond to the assessed risks of material misstatement (see paragraph 37). <p>The nature, timing and extent to which component auditors are to be involved depends on the facts and circumstances of the group audit engagement. Often component auditors will be involved in all phases of the audit, but the group auditor may decide to involve component auditors only in a certain phase. <u>When the group auditor does not intend to involve component auditors in risk assessment procedures, the group auditor may still discuss with component auditors whether there are any significant changes in the business or the system of internal control of the component that could have an effect on the risks of material misstatement of the group financial statements.</u></p> <p>A33I. ISA 300³⁸ requires the group engagement partner and other key members of the engagement team to be involved in planning the audit. The involvement of component auditors in planning the audit draws on their experience and insight, thereby enhancing the effectiveness and efficiency of the planning process. When component auditors are involved, one or more individuals from a component auditor may be key members of the engagement team and therefore involved in planning the audit. The group engagement partner's uses professional judgment in determining which component auditors to involve in planning the audit. This may be affected by the nature, timing and extent to which the component auditors <u>are expected to be</u> involved in designing and performing risk assessment or further audit procedures.</p> <p>A33J. As described in ISQM 1,³⁹ there may be circumstances when the fee quoted for an</p>

³⁸ ISA 300, paragraph 5

³⁹ ISQM 1, paragraph A74

ISA 600 Requirement	Application Material
	<p>engagement is not sufficient given the nature and circumstances of the engagement, and it where such insufficiency may diminish the firm's ability to perform the engagement in accordance with professional standards and applicable legal or regulatory requirements. The level of fees, including their allocation to component auditors, and the extent to which they relate to the resources required may be a specialmore important consideration by the firm in respect tofor group audit engagements where, for example, there are multiple components at which audit procedures are performed. For example, in a group audit the firm's financial and operational priorities may place constraints on the determination of the components at which audit work will be performed, as well as the resources needed, including the involvement of component auditors. In such circumstances, these constraints do not override the group engagement partner's responsibility for achieving quality at the engagement level or the requirements for the group auditor to obtain sufficient appropriate audit evidence on which to base the group audit opinion. [Previously paragraph A39]</p>
<p><i>Considerations When Component Auditors Are Involved</i></p> <p>18. As part of the determination in paragraph 12<u>In establishing the overall group audit strategy and group audit plan</u>, the group engagement partner shall evaluate whether the group auditor will be able to be sufficiently and appropriately involved in the work of the component auditor. (Ref: Para. A34)</p>	<p><i>Consideration When Component Auditors Are Involved</i> (Ref: Para. 18–19)</p> <p>A34. In evaluating whether the group auditor will be ablehas the ability to be sufficiently and appropriately involved in the work of the component auditor, the group auditor may obtain an understanding of whether the component auditor is subject to any restrictions that limit communication with the group auditor, including with regard to sharing audit documentation with the group auditor. The group auditor may also obtain an understanding about whether audit evidence related to components located in a different jurisdiction may be in a different language and may need to be translated for use by the group auditor.</p>
<p>19. As part of the evaluation in paragraph 18, the group auditor shall request the component auditor to confirm that the component auditor will cooperate with the group auditor, including whether the component auditor will perform the work requested by the group auditor. (Ref:</p>	<p>A35A. Whenre the component auditor is unable to cooperate with the group auditor, the <u>group auditor may:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> _____ engagement team, the group auditor may rRequest the component auditor to provide its rationalethe reasons why. When the component auditor is unable as a result of restrictions imposed by a law or regulation

ISA 600 Requirement	Application Material
<p>Para. A35A)</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> , the group auditor may be able to take appropriate action to address the matter, including adjusting the nature of the work requested to be performed <u>and not involving the component auditor in</u> obtaining sufficient appropriate audit evidence relating to the work to be performed at the component without involving that component auditor (in accordance with paragraph 22).
<p>Relevant Ethical Requirements, Including Those Related to Independence</p> <p>20. In applying ISA 220 (Revised),⁴⁰ the group engagement partner shall take responsibility for: (Ref: Para. A36–A37, A52J)</p> <p>(a) Component auditors having been made aware of relevant ethical requirements that are applicable given the nature and circumstances of the group audit engagement; <u>and</u></p> <p>(b) Confirming whether the component auditors understand and will comply with the ethical requirements that are relevant to the group audit engagement, including <u>with respect to those related to independence</u>; and</p>	<p>Relevant Ethical Requirements, Including Those Related to Independence (Ref: Para. 20)</p> <p>A36. When performing work at a component for a group audit engagement, the component auditor is subject to ethical requirements, including those relating to independence, that are relevant to the group audit <u>engagement</u>. Such requirements may be different or in addition to those applying to the component auditor when performing an audit on the financial statements of an entity or business unit that is part of the group for statutory, regulatory or other reasons in the component auditor’s jurisdiction.</p> <p>A37. In <u>making the component auditors aware of</u> communicating relevant ethical requirements, the group auditor may consider whether additional information or training for component auditors is necessary <u>with respect to</u> regarding the provisions of the ethical requirements that are relevant to the group audit engagement.</p> <p>A38. ISA 220 (Revised) requires the engagement partner to remain alert throughout the audit engagement, through observation and making inquiries as necessary, for actual or suspected breaches of relevant ethical requirements by the engagement team.⁴⁴ Becoming aware of actual or suspected breaches of relevant ethical requirements may be more challenging in a group audit, particularly where component auditors do not use common network requirements. In such circumstances, the group auditor may also instruct component auditors to communicate relevant information timely to the group engagement partner, such that the group engagement partner has sufficient time to respond and address the actual or suspected breach.</p> <p>A39. [Moved to A33J]</p>

⁴⁰ ISA 220 (Revised), paragraphs 16–17 and 21

~~⁴⁴ ISA 220 (Revised), paragraph 19~~

ISA 600 Requirement	Application Material
	<p>A39A. Relevant ethical requirements may also contain provisions regarding the identification and evaluation of threats and how they are to be dealt with. For example, the International Ethics Standards Board of Accountants' (IESBA) International Code of Ethics for Professional Accountants (including International Independence Standards) (IESBA Code) explains that a self-interest threat to compliance with the fundamental principle of professional competence and due care may arise if the fee quoted for an audit engagement is so low that it might be difficult to perform the engagement in accordance with professional standards.⁴²</p>
<p>Engagement Resources</p> <p>21. In applying ISA 220 (Revised),⁴³ the group engagement partner shall: (Ref: Para. A40A–A40B)</p> <p>(a) Determine that component auditors have the appropriate competence and capabilities, including sufficient time, to perform the assigned audit procedures at the component; and (Ref: Para. A41–A45)</p> <p>(b) When-If information about the results of the monitoring and remediation process or external inspections related with respect to the component auditor's firm has been provided by the firm or has otherwise been made available to the group engagement partner, determine the relevance of such information to the group auditor's determination in paragraph 21(a).</p>	<p>Engagement Resources (Ref: Para. 21)</p> <p>A40A. When sufficient or appropriate resources are not made available in relation to work to be performed by a component auditor, the group engagement partner may discuss the matter with the component auditor, group management or the firm and may subsequently request the component auditor or the firm to make sufficient and appropriate resources available.</p> <p>A40B. ISA 220 (Revised)⁴⁴ provides guidance regarding matters the engagement partner may take into account when determining the competence and capabilities of the engagement team. This determination is particularly important in a group audit because the engagement team may include component auditors (i.e., individuals from another firm). Paragraph A24 of ISA 220 (Revised)⁴⁵ indicates that the firm's policies or procedures may require the firm or the engagement partner to take different actions from those applicable to personnel when obtaining an understanding of whether an individual from another firm has the appropriate competence and capabilities to perform the audit engagement. For example, as part of the confirmation as required by paragraph 19, the group auditor may ask the component auditor to confirm that the</p>

⁴² ~~IESBA Code, paragraph 330.3 A2~~

⁴³ ISA 220 (Revised), paragraphs 25–~~26~~

⁴⁴ ISA 220 (Revised), paragraph A71

⁴⁵ ~~ISA 220 (Revised), paragraph A24~~

ISA 600 Requirement	Application Material
	<p>component auditor has the appropriate competence and capabilities, including sufficient time to perform the assigned audit procedures at the component.</p> <p>Competence and capabilities of the component auditors</p> <p>A41. Determining whether the component auditor has the appropriate competence and capabilities influences the nature, timing and extent of the group engagement partner's direction and supervision of the component auditor and the review of their work. Determining whether the component auditors <u>has</u> the appropriate competence and capabilities is a matter of professional judgment and is influenced by the nature and circumstances of the group audit engagement. <u>This determination influences the nature, timing and extent of the group engagement partner's direction and supervision of the component auditor and the review of their work.</u></p> <p>A42. In determining whether component auditors have the appropriate competence and capabilities to perform the necessary procedures at the component for purposes of the group audit, the group engagement partner may consider matters such as:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Previous experience with or knowledge of the component auditor. • The component auditor's specialized skills (e.g., industry specific knowledge). • The component auditor's understanding of the applicable financial reporting framework relevant to the group financial statements, and any instructions provided by group management. • The degree to which the group auditor and component auditor are subject to <u>a</u> common systems of quality management, for example, whether the group auditor and a component auditor: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Use common resources to perform the work (e.g., audit methodologies or information technology (IT) applications); ○ Share common policies or procedures affecting engagement performance (e.g., direction and supervision and review of work or consultation); ○ Are subject to common monitoring activities; or

ISA 600 Requirement	Application Material
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Have other commonalities, including common leadership or a common cultural environment. • The consistency or similarity of: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Laws or regulations or legal system; ○ Language and culture; ○ Education and training; ○ Professional oversight, discipline, and external quality assurance; or ○ Professional organizations and standards. • Information obtained <u>about the component auditor</u> through interactions with component management, those charged with governance, and other key personnel, such as internal auditors. <p>A43. The procedures to determine the component auditor’s competency and capability may include, for example:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • An evaluation of the information communicated by the group auditor’s firm to the group auditor, including: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ The firm’s ongoing communication related to monitoring and remediation, in circumstances when the group auditor and component auditor are from the same firm.⁴⁶ ○ Information from the network about the results of the monitoring activities undertaken by the network across the network firms.⁴⁷ ○ <u>Information obtained</u>Obtaining confirmations from the professional body(ies) or bodies to which the component auditor belongs, the authorities by which the component auditor is licensed, or other third parties. • Discussing the matters in paragraph A51 with the component auditor.

⁴⁶ ISQM 1, paragraph ~~4753~~

⁴⁷ ISQM 1, paragraph 51

ISA 600 Requirement	Application Material
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Requesting the component auditor to confirm the matters referred to in paragraph 20 in writing. • Discussing the component auditor’s competence and capabilities with colleagues in the group engagement partner’s firm that have worked directly with the component auditor. • In subsequent years, requesting that the component auditor confirm whether anything in relation to the matters listed in paragraph 21(a)–(b) has changed since the previous year. • Obtaining published external inspection reports. <p>A44. The group engagement partner’s firm and the component auditor’s firm may be members of the same network and may be subject to common network requirements or use common networks services.⁴⁸ When determining whether component auditors have the appropriate competence and capabilities to perform work in support of the group audit engagement, the group engagement partner may be able to depend on such network requirements, for example, those addressing professional training, or recruitment or that require the use of audit methodologies and related implementation tools. In accordance with ISQM 1,⁴⁹ the firm is responsible for designing, implementing and operating its system of quality management, and the firm may need to adapt or supplement network requirements or network services to be appropriate for use in its system of quality management, taking into account the nature and circumstances of the firm and the engagements it performs.</p> <p><u>Using the Work of an Auditor’s Expert</u></p> <p><u>A44A. When using the work of an auditor’s expert, ISA 620⁵⁰ requires the auditor to evaluate whether the auditor’s expert has the necessary competence, capabilities and objectivity for the auditor’s purposes. In a group audit these evaluations include</u></p>

⁴⁸ ISQM 1, paragraphs ~~A19, A17558–59~~

⁴⁹ ISQM 1, paragraph 48–49

⁵⁰ ISA 620, Using the Work of an Auditor’s Expert, paragraph 9

ISA 600 Requirement	Application Material
	<p><u>auditor’s experts engaged by component auditors.</u></p> <p>Automated tools or techniques</p> <p>A45. As described in ISA 220 (Revised),⁵¹ when determining whether the engagement team has the appropriate competence and capabilities, the group engagement partner may take into consideration such matters as the expertise of the component auditor in the use of automated tools or techniques. When the group auditor requires component auditors to use specific automated tools and techniques when performing audit procedures, the group auditor may include in communications with component auditors that the use of such automated tools and techniques need to comply with the group auditor’s instructions.</p>
<p>22. The group auditor shall obtain sufficient appropriate audit evidence relating to the work to be performed at the component without involving that component auditor if:</p> <p>(a) <u>The component auditor does not comply with the ethical requirements that are relevant to the group audit engagement, including those related to independence</u>A component auditor is not independent in accordance with ethical requirements that are relevant to the group audit; or (Ref: Para. A46A–A47)</p> <p>(b) The group engagement partner has serious concerns about the matters in paragraphs 18–21. (Ref: Para. A48)</p>	<p>Application of the Group Auditor’s Understanding of a Component Auditor (Ref: Para. 22)</p> <p>A46A. ISA 220 (Revised)⁵² requires the engagement partner to take responsibility for other members of the engagement team having been made aware of relevant ethical requirements that are applicable given the nature and circumstances of the audit engagement, and the firm’s related policies or procedures. This includes policies or procedures that address circumstances that may cause a breach of relevant ethical requirements, including those related to independence, and the responsibilities of members of the engagement team when they become aware of breaches. The firm’s policies or procedures also may address breaches of independence requirements by component auditors, and actions the group auditor may take in those circumstances <u>in accordance with the relevant ethical requirements.</u> Such actions may include the component auditor evaluating the significance of the breach, determining whether actions may be taken to satisfactorily address the consequences of the breach, and communicating with the group auditor. No further actions or involvement of the group auditor may be needed with respect to the work of the component auditor if the group</p>

⁵¹ ISA 220 (Revised), paragraph A~~65~~20

⁵² ISA 220 (Revised), paragraphs ~~17~~

ISA 600 Requirement	Application Material
	<p>auditor agrees that the component auditor has satisfactorily addressed the breach.</p> <p>A47. If a component auditor does not comply with the ethical requirements that are relevant to the group audit engagement, including those related to independence is not independent in accordance with ethical requirements that are relevant to the group audit, the group auditor cannot overcome the breach and continue to use the work of a component auditor this by being involved in their work of the component auditor or by supplementing their work of component auditor by performing additional risk assessment or further audit procedures on the financial information of the component</p> <p>A48. Serious concerns are those concerns that cannot be overcome. The group engagement partner may be able to overcome less than serious concerns about the component auditor's professional competency (e.g., lack of industry specific knowledge), or the fact that the component auditor does not operate in an environment that actively oversees auditors, by the group auditor being more involved in the work of the component auditor or by directly performing further audit procedures on the financial information of the component.</p>
<p>Engagement Performance</p> <p>23. In applying ISA 220 (Revised),⁵³ the group engagement partner shall take responsibility for the nature, timing and extent of direction and supervision of component auditors and the review of their work _. In doing so, the group engagement partner takes into account: (Ref: Para. A48A–A52)</p> <p>(a) Areas of higher assessed risks of material misstatement of the group financial statements, or significant risks identified in accordance with ISA 315 (Revised 2019); and</p>	<p>Engagement Performance (Ref: Para. 23)</p> <p>A48A. ISA 220 (Revised)⁵⁴ includes requirements and guidance regarding the engagement partner's responsibility for the nature, timing and extent of the direction and supervision of the members of the engagement team and the review of their work. For a group audit, the approach to direction, supervision and review will be tailored by the group auditor based on the facts and circumstances of the engagement, and will generally include a combination of addressing the group auditor's firm policies or procedures and group audit-engagement specific responses.</p> <p>A49. It may be not possible or practical for the group engagement partner to solely determine the nature, timing and extent of direction, supervision and review, particularly when the engagement team includes a large number of component</p>

⁵³ ISA 220 (Revised), paragraph 29

~~⁵⁴ ISA 220 (Revised), paragraphs 30, A81–A89 and A94–A97~~

ISA 600 Requirement	Application Material
<p>(b) Areas in the audit of the group financial statements that involve significant judgment.</p>	<p>auditors that may be located in multiple locations. In managing quality at the engagement level, the group engagement partner may assign such responsibilities to other members of the engagement team.</p> <p>A50. If component auditors are from a firm other than the group auditor’s firm, the firm’s policies or procedures may be different, or different actions may need to be taken, respectively, in relation to the nature, timing and extent of direction and supervision of those members of the engagement team, and the review of their work. In particular, firm policies or procedures may require the firm or the group engagement partner to take different actions from those applicable to members of the engagement team within the firm or the network. F, for example, in relation to the form, content and timing of communications with component auditors, including the use of group auditor instructions to component auditors. ISA 220 (Revised) provides examples of actions that may need to be taken in such circumstances.⁵⁵</p> <p>A51. In addition, I the nature, timing and extent of direction and supervision <u>of component auditors</u> and review of their component auditor’s work may be tailored by taking into account <u>based on</u> the nature and circumstances of the engagement and, for example:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The assessed risks of material misstatement. For example, if the group auditor has identified a component that includes a significant risk, a n-corresponding increase in the extent of direction and supervision of the component auditor and a more detailed review of the component auditor’s audit documentation may be appropriate. • The competence and capabilities of the component auditors performing the audit work. For example, if the group auditor has no previous experience working with a component auditor, the group auditor may communicate more detailed instructions, introduce greater in-person supervision, increase the frequency of discussions with component auditors, or assign more experienced group auditors to oversee the component auditor as the work is performed. • The location of engagement team members, including the extent to which

⁵⁵ ISA 220 (Revised), paragraph A24

ISA 600 Requirement	Application Material
	<p>engagement team members are dispersed across multiple locations, including where service delivery centers are used.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Access to component auditors' audit documentation. For example, where component auditor working papers cannot be transferred out of the jurisdiction, <u>more extensive direction and greater in-person</u> supervision of the component auditor and <u>in-person or electronic</u> review of the component auditor's audit documentation may be appropriate (see also paragraphs A27–A32). <p>A52. There are different ways in which the group engagement partner may <u>take responsibility for directing</u> and <u>supervising</u> component auditors and <u>reviewing</u> their work, for example:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Meetings or calls with component auditors to communicate about identified and assessed risks, issues, findings and conclusions. • Reviews of the component auditor's documentation in person or remotely when permitted by law and regulation. • Participating in the closing <u>and/or</u> other key meetings between the component auditors and component management.
<p>Communications with Component Auditors</p> <p>23A. The group auditor shall communicate with component auditors about their respective responsibilities and the group auditor's expectations, <u>including about the nature, timing and extent of communications.</u> (Ref: Para. A52A-A52J)</p>	<p><i>Communications with Component Auditors</i> (Ref: Para. 23A-23B)</p> <p>A52A. Clear and timely communication between the group auditor and the component auditors about their respective responsibilities, along with clear direction to the component auditors about the nature, timing and extent of the work to be performed and the matters expected to be communicated to the group auditor, helps establish the basis for effective two-way communication. Effective two-way communication between the group auditor and the component auditors also helps to set expectations for component auditors, and facilitates the group auditor's direction and supervision of them and the review of their work. <u>Such communication also provides an opportunity for the group engagement partner to reinforce the need for component auditors to exercise professional skepticism in the work performed for purposes of the group audit.</u> [Previously paragraph A9B]</p>

ISA 600 Requirement	Application Material
	<p>A52B. Other factors that may also contribute to effective two-way communication include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>Clarity</u> of the instructions to the component auditor, particularly when the component auditor is from another firm and may not be familiar with the policies and or procedures of the group auditor. • <u>A mutual understanding that the component auditor may raise questions about the audit work requested to be performed, based on the component auditor's knowledge and understanding of the component.</u> • A mutual understanding of relevant issues and the expected actions arising from the communication process. • The form of communications. For example, it may be better to discuss matters that need timely attention in a face-to-face meeting (in person or by videoconference) or by audioconference rather than by exchanging emails. • A mutual understanding of the person(s) from the group auditor and component auditors who have responsibility for managing communications regarding particular matters. • The process for <u>the component auditor to taking take</u> action and reporting back on matters communicated by the group auditor. <p>A52C. The nature, timing and extent of communications between the group auditor and component auditors depends on the facts and circumstances of the group audit engagement, including the nature and extent of involvement of the component auditors and the degree to which the group auditor and component auditors are subject to common systems of quality management <u>or common network requirements or services.</u></p> <p>Form of communications</p> <p>A52D. The form of the communications between the group auditor and component auditors may vary based on factors such as the nature of the audit work the component auditors have been requested to perform, and the extent to which communication capabilities are integrated into the audit tools used for the group audit.</p> <p>A52E. The form of communications also may be affected by such factors as:</p>

ISA 600 Requirement	Application Material
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The significance, complexity or urgency of the matter. • Whether the matter will <u>has been or is expected to</u> be communicated to group management and those charged with governance of the group. <p>A52F. Communication between the group auditor and the component auditor may not necessarily be in writing. However, the group auditor’s verbal communications with the component auditors may be supplemented by written communication, such as a set of instructions regarding the work to be performed, when the group auditor wants to give particular attention to, or promote a mutual understanding about, certain matters. In addition, the group auditor may meet <u>onsite-in person</u> with the component auditor to discuss significant matters or to review relevant parts of the component auditor’s audit documentation.</p> <p>A52G. Paragraph 44 requires the group auditor to request the component auditor to communicate matters relevant to the group auditor’s conclusion with regard to the group audit. As explained in paragraph A112A, the form and content of the component auditor’s deliverables are influenced by the nature and extent of the audit work the component auditor has been requested to perform.</p> <p>A52H. Regardless of the form of communication, the documentation requirements of this and other ISAs apply.</p> <p>Timing of communications <u>(Ref: Para. 23B)</u></p> <p>A52I. The appropriate timing for <u>of</u> communications will vary with the circumstances of the engagement. Relevant circumstances may include the nature, timing and extent of work to be performed by the component auditor and the action expected to be taken by the component auditor. For example, communications regarding planning matters may often be made early in the audit engagement and, for an initial engagement <u>group audit</u>, may be made as part of agreeing the terms of the engagement.</p> <p>Non-compliance with laws or regulations (Ref: Para. 20, 23A)</p> <p>A52J. <u>In applying ISA 250 (Revised),⁵⁶</u> the the group engagement partner may become aware</p>

⁵⁶ ISA 250 (Revised), Consideration of Laws and Regulations in an Audit of Financial Statements, paragraphs 8-9

ISA 600 Requirement	Application Material
	<p>of information about non-compliance or suspected non-compliance with laws or regulations. In such circumstances, the group engagement partner may have an obligation under relevant ethical requirements, laws or regulations, to communicate the matter to the component auditor.⁵⁷ The obligation of the group engagement partner to communicate non-compliance or suspected non-compliance may extend to components that are not included in the scope of the group audit (e.g., components for which an audit is required by statute, regulation or for another reason, but for which no additional procedures are performed for purposes of the group audit).</p>
<p>23B. These eCommunications <u>between the group auditor and component auditors</u> shall take place at appropriate points in time throughout the group audit and reflect the component auditor's involvement in various phases of the group audit. (Ref: Para. A52AA52I–A52J)</p>	
Understanding the Group and Its Environment, the Applicable Financial Reporting Framework and the Group's System of Internal Control	
<p>24. In applying ISA 315 (Revised 2019),⁵⁸ the group auditor shall take responsibility for obtaining an understanding of the following: (Ref: Para. A53–A55A, A69–A70)</p> <p>(a) The group and its environment, including: (Ref: Para. A56–A58)</p> <p>(i) The group's organizational structure and its business model, including:</p> <p>a. The locations in which the group has its operations or activities;</p> <p>b. The nature of the group's <u>operations or</u> activities and the extent to which</p>	<p>Understanding the Group and Its Environment, the Applicable Financial Reporting Framework and the Group's System of Internal Control (Ref: Para. 24)</p> <p>A53. ISA 315 (Revised 2019) contains requirements and guidance regarding the auditor's responsibility to obtain an understanding of the entity and its environment, the applicable financial reporting framework, and the entity's system of internal control.⁵⁹ Appendix 3 of this ISA provides further explanation of the components of the group's system of internal control <u>in the context of a group</u>, including controls over the group's financial reporting process and the consolidation process.</p> <p>A55. The group auditor's understanding of the group and its environment, the applicable financial reporting framework, and the group's system of internal control may be</p>

⁵⁷ See, for example, Section 360.17 and Section 360.18 of the IESBA Code

⁵⁸ ISA 315 (Revised 2019), paragraphs ~~19–26~~

⁵⁹ ISA 315 (Revised 2019), paragraphs ~~19–27, A50–A18389~~

ISA 600 Requirement	Application Material
<p>they are similar; and</p> <p>c. The extent to which the group's business model integrates the use of IT;</p> <p>(ii) Regulatory factors impacting the entities and business units in the group; and</p> <p>(iii) The measures used internally and externally to assess the entities or business units' financial performance;</p> <p>(b) The applicable financial reporting framework and the consistency of accounting policies and practices across the group; and</p> <p>(c) The group's system of internal control, including:</p> <p>(i) The nature and extent of commonality of controls; (Ref: Para. A59–A63)</p> <p>(ii) Whether, and if so, how, the group centralizes activities relevant to financial reporting; (Ref: Para. A64–A65A)</p> <p>(iii) The consolidation process used by the group, including sub-consolidations, if any, and consolidation adjustments; and</p> <p>(iv) How the group management communicates significant matters that support the preparation of the group financial statements and related financial reporting responsibilities in the information system and other components of the group's system of internal control <u>to management of entities or business units.</u></p>	<p>obtained through communications with:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Group management, component management and other appropriate individuals within the entity, including individuals within the internal audit function (if the function exists) and individuals who have knowledge of the group's system of internal control, accounting policies and practices, and the consolidation process; Component auditors; or Auditors that perform an audit for statutory, regulatory or another reason on the financial statements of an entity or business unit that is part of the group. <p>A55A. Obtaining an understanding of the group, identifying risks of material misstatement and assessing inherent risk and control risk may be performed in different ways depending on preferred audit techniques or methodologies and may be expressed in different ways. Accordingly, when component auditors are involved in the design and performance of risk-assessment procedures, the group auditor may need to communicate its preferred approach with component auditors or provide instructions.</p> <p><i>The Group and Its Environment</i> (Ref: Para. 24(a))</p> <p>A56. An understanding of the group's organizational structure and its business model may enable the group auditor to understand such matters as:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The complexity of the group's structure. A group may be more complex than a single entity because a group may have several subsidiaries, divisions or other business units, including in multiple locations. Also, a group's legal structure may be different from the operating structure, for example, for tax purposes. Complex structures often introduce factors that may give rise to increased susceptibility to material misstatements, such as whether goodwill, joint ventures or special purpose entities are accounted for appropriately and whether adequate disclosures have been made. The geographic locations of the group's operations. Having a group that is located in multiple geographical locations may give rise to increased susceptibility to material misstatements. For example, different geographical

ISA 600 Requirement	Application Material
<p>(Ref: Para. A66–A68)</p>	<p>locations may involve different languages, cultures and business practices.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The structure and complexity of the group’s IT environment. A complex IT environment often introduces factors that may give rise to increased susceptibility to material misstatements. For example, a group may have a complex IT environment because of multiple IT systems that are not integrated due to recent acquisitions or mergers. Therefore, it may be particularly important to obtain an understanding of the complexity of the security over the IT environment, including vulnerability of the IT applications, databases, and other aspects of the IT environment. Further, an understanding of change management controls, automated application controls and interface controls may be obtained to understand the integrity of a complex IT environment. A group may also use one or more external service providers for aspects of its IT environment. • Relevant regulatory factors, including the regulatory environment. Different laws or regulations may introduce factors that may give rise to increased susceptibility to material misstatements. A group may have operations that are subject to a high degree of complex laws or regulations in multiple jurisdictions, or entities or business units in the group that operate in multiple industries that are subject to different types of laws or regulations. • The ownership, and relationships between owners and other people or entities, including related parties. Understanding the ownership and relationships can be more complex in a group that operates over multiple jurisdictions and when there are changes in ownership through formation, acquisition or joint ventures. These factors may give rise to increased susceptibility to material misstatements. <p>A57. Obtaining an understanding of the degree to which the group’s <u>operations or</u> activities are similar may enable the group auditor to identify similar risks of material misstatement across components and design an appropriate response.</p> <p>A58. The financial results of entities or business units are ordinarily measured and reviewed by group management. Inquiries of group management may reveal that group</p>

ISA 600 Requirement	Application Material
	<p>management relies on certain key indicators to evaluate the financial performance of the group's entities and business units and take action. The group auditor's understanding of such performance measures may help to identify:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Areas where there is increased susceptibility to the risk of material misstatement (e.g., due to pressures on component management to meet certain performance measures). • Controls over the group's financial reporting process. <p><i>The Group's System of Internal Control</i></p> <p>The Nature and Extent of Commonality of Controls (Ref: Para. 24(c)(i))</p> <p>A59. Group management may design controls that are intended to operate in a common manner across multiple entities or business units (i.e., common controls). For example, group management may design common controls for inventory management, that operate using the same IT system and that are implemented across all entities or business units in the group. Common controls may exist in each component of the group's system of internal control, and they may be implemented at different levels within the group (e.g., at the level of the consolidated group as a whole, or for other levels of aggregation within the group). Common controls may be direct controls or indirect controls. Direct controls are controls that are precise enough to address risks of material misstatement at the assertion level. Indirect controls are controls that support direct controls.⁶⁰</p> <p>A60. The understanding of the components of the group's system of internal control therefore includes understanding the commonality of the controls within those components across the group. That understanding may help the group auditor to <u>identify, assess and</u> appropriately respond to the assessed risks of material misstatement. When the group auditor plans to test the operating effectiveness of identified controls⁶¹ that are common across the group, the group auditor evaluates</p>

⁶⁰ ISA 315 (Revised 2019), paragraph A5

⁶¹ ISA 315 (Revised 2019), paragraph 26(a)

ISA 600 Requirement	Application Material
	<p>the design and determines the implementation of those controls in accordance with ISA 315 (Revised 2019).</p> <p>A61. To determine the commonality of an identified control across the group, the group auditor may consider whether:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The control is designed centrally and required to be implemented as designed (i.e., without modification) at some or all components; • The control is implemented and, if applicable, monitored by individuals with similar responsibilities and capabilities at all the components where the control is implemented; • If a control uses information from IT applications, the IT applications and other aspects of the IT environment that generate the information are the same across the components or locations; or • If the control is automated, it is configured in the same way in each IT application across the components. <p>A62. Judgment may often be needed to determine whether an identified control is a common control. For example, group management may require that all entities and business units perform a monthly evaluation of the aging of customers' accounts that are generated from a specific IT application. When the aging reports are generated from different IT applications or the implementation of the IT application differs across entities or business units, the group auditor may need to consider whether the control can still be determined to be common. This is because of differences in the design of the control that may exist due to the existence of different IT applications (e.g., whether the IT application is configured in the same manner across components, and whether there are effective general IT controls across different IT applications).</p> <p>A63. Consideration of the level at which controls are performed within the group (e.g., at the level of the consolidated group as a whole or for other levels of aggregation within the group) and the degree of centralization and commonality may be important to the understanding of how information is processed and controlled. In some circumstances, controls may be performed centrally (e.g., performed only at a single entity or business unit), but may have a pervasive effect on other entities or business</p>

ISA 600 Requirement	Application Material
	<p>units (e.g., a shared services center that processes transactions on behalf of other entities or business units within the group). Typically, the processing of transactions and related controls at a shared service center may operate in the same way for those transactions being processed by the shared service center regardless of the entity or business unit (e.g., the processes, risks, and controls may be the same for those transactions being processed by the shared service center, regardless of the source of the transaction, are the same). In such cases, it may be appropriate to identify the controls and evaluate the design and determine the implementation of the controls, and if applicable test operating effectiveness, as a single population.</p> <p>Centralized Activities (Ref: Para. 24(c)(ii))</p> <p>A64. Group management may centralize some of its activities, for example financial reporting or accounting functions may be performed for a particular group of common transactions or other financial information in a consistent and centralized manner for multiple entities or business units (e.g., where the initiation, authorization, recording, processing, or reporting of revenue transactions is performed at a shared service center).</p> <p>A65. Obtaining an understanding of how centralized activities fit into the overall group structure, and the nature of the activities undertaken, may help the group auditor to identify and assess risks of material misstatement and appropriately respond to such risks. For example, controls at a shared service center may operate independently from other controls, or they may be dependent upon controls at an entity or business unit from which financial information is derived (e.g., sales transactions may be initiated and authorized at a component, but the processing may occur at the shared service center).</p> <p>A65A. The group auditor may involve component auditors in testing the operating effectiveness of common controls or controls at centralized activities. In such circumstances, effective collaboration between the group auditor and component auditors is important as the audit evidence obtained through testing the operating effectiveness of common controls or controls at centralized activities supports the group auditor's determination of the nature, timing and extent of substantive</p>

ISA 600 Requirement	Application Material
	<p>procedures to be performed <u>across the group</u>.</p> <p>Communications About Significant Matters that Support the Preparation of the Group Financial Statements (Ref: Para. 24(c)(iv))</p> <p>A66. Group entities or business units may use a financial reporting framework for statutory, regulatory or another reason that is different from the financial reporting framework used for the group’s financial statements. In such circumstances, an understanding of group management’s financial reporting processes to align accounting policies and, where relevant, financial reporting period-ends that differ from that of the group, enables the group auditor to understand how adjustments, reconciliations and reclassifications are made, and whether they are made centrally by group management or by the entity or business unit.</p> <p>Instructions by group management to entities or business units</p> <p>A67. In applying ISA 315 (Revised 2019),⁶² the group auditor is required to understand how group management communicates significant matters that support the preparation of the group financial statements. To achieve uniformity and comparability of financial information, group management may issue instructions (e.g., communicate financial reporting policies) to the entities or business units that include details about financial reporting processes or may have policies that are common across the group. Obtaining an understanding of group management’s instructions may affect the group auditor’s identification and assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the group financial statements. For example, in certain circumstances, inadequate instructions may increase the likelihood of misstatements due to the risk that transactions are incorrectly recorded or processed, or that accounting policies are incorrectly applied.</p> <p>A68. The group auditor’s understanding of the instructions or policies may include the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The clarity and practicality of the instructions for completing the reporting

⁶² ISA 315 (Revised 2019), paragraph 25(b)

ISA 600 Requirement	Application Material
	<p>package.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Whether the instructions: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Adequately describe the characteristics of the applicable financial reporting framework and the accounting policies to be applied; ○ Address information necessary to prepare disclosures that are sufficient to comply with the requirements of the applicable financial reporting framework, for example, disclosure of related party relationships and transactions, and segment information; ○ Address information necessary for making consolidation adjustments, for example, intra-group transactions and unrealized profits, and intra-group account balances; and ○ Include a reporting timetable. <p><i>Engagement Team Discussions</i> (Ref: Para. 24)</p> <p>A69. <u>In applying ISA 315 (Revised 2019),⁶³ the group engagement partner and other key engagement team members are required to discuss the application of the applicable financial reporting framework and the susceptibility of the entity's financial statements to material misstatement.</u> The group engagement partner's determination of which members of the engagement team to include in the discussions and the topics to be discussed, is affected by factors such as initial expectations about the risks of material misstatement and the <u>preliminary expectation on whether toed involvement of</u> component auditors.</p> <p>A70. The discussions provide an opportunity to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Share knowledge of the components and their environments, including which components' activities are centralized. • Exchange information about the business risks of the components or the group, and how inherent risk factors may affect susceptibility to misstatement of

⁶³ ISA 315 (Revised 2019), paragraph 17

ISA 600 Requirement	Application Material
	<p>classes of transactions, account balances and disclosures.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Exchange ideas about how and where the group financial statements may be susceptible to material misstatement due to fraud or error. <u>For example, ISA 240 requires the engagement team discussion to place particular emphasis on how and where the entity’s financial statements may be susceptible to material misstatement due to fraud, including how fraud may occur.</u>⁶⁴ • Identify policies followed by group or component management that may be biased or designed to manage earnings that could lead to fraudulent financial reporting. • Consider known external and internal factors affecting the group that may create an incentive or pressure for group management, component management, or others to commit fraud, provide the opportunity for fraud to be perpetrated, or indicate a culture or environment that enables group management, component management, or others to rationalize committing fraud. • Consider the risk that group or component management may override controls. • Consider whether uniform accounting policies are used to prepare the financial information of the components for the group financial statements and, where not, how differences in accounting policies are identified and adjusted (where required by the applicable financial reporting framework). • Discuss fraud that has been identified, or information that indicates existence of a fraud. • <u>Identify risks of material misstatement relevant to components where the exercise of professional skepticism may be particularly important.</u> • Share information about risks of material misstatement of the financial information of a component that may apply more broadly to some, or all, of the other components.

⁶⁴ ~~ISA 240, paragraph 16 requires the engagement team discussion to place particular emphasis on how and where the entity’s financial statements may be susceptible to material misstatement due to fraud, including how fraud may occur.~~

ISA 600 Requirement	Application Material
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Share information that may indicate non-compliance with national laws or regulations, for example, payments of bribes and improper transfer pricing practices. • Identify risks of material misstatement relevant to components where the exercise of professional skepticism may be particularly important. • Discuss any events or conditions identified by group management, component management or the engagement team, that may cast significant doubt on the group's ability to continue as a going concern. • Discuss related party relationships or transactions identified by group management or component management, and any other related parties of which the engagement team is aware.
<p>Considerations when communications with Component Auditors Are Involved</p> <p>26. The group auditor shall communicate to component auditors on a timely basis:</p> <p>(a) Matters that are relevant to the component auditor's design or performance of risk assessment procedures for purposes of the group audit;</p> <p>(ba) In applying ISA 550,⁶⁵ related party relationships or transactions identified by group management, and any other related parties of which the group auditor is aware, that are relevant to the work of the component auditor; and (Ref: Para. A72)</p>	<p>Considerations when Component Auditors Are InvolvedCommunications with Component Auditors (Ref: Para. 26–26A)</p> <p>A72. The nature of related party relationships and transactions may, in some circumstances, give rise to higher risks of material misstatement of the financial statements than transactions with unrelated parties.⁶⁷ In a group audit there may be a higher risk of material misstatement of the group financial statements, including due to fraud, associated with related party relationships when:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The group structure is complex; • The group's information systems are not integrated and therefore less effective in identifying and recording related party relationships and transactions; and • There are numerous or frequent related party transactions between entities and business units. <p>Planning and performing the audit with professional skepticism as required by ISA</p>

⁶⁵ ISA 550, *Related Parties*, paragraph 17

⁶⁷ ISA 550, paragraph 2

ISA 600 Requirement	Application Material
<p>(cb) In applying ISA 570 (Revised),⁶⁶ any events or conditions identified by group management or the group auditor, that may cast significant doubt on the group's ability to continue as a going concern that are relevant to the work of the component auditor.</p>	<p>200,⁶⁸ is therefore particularly important when these circumstances exist.</p>
<p>26A. The group auditor shall request component auditors to communicate on a timely basis: (Ref: Para. A72A)</p> <p>(a) Matters related to the financial information of the component that may be relevant to the identification and assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the group financial statements, whether due to fraud or error;;</p> <p>(b) Related party relationships not previously identified by group management or the group auditor; and-</p> <p>(c) Any events or conditions identified by the component auditor that may cast significant doubt on the group's ability to continue as a going concern.</p>	<p>A72A. The group auditor may communicate the matters in paragraph 26A to other component auditors, if these matters are relevant to the work of those component auditors. <u>Matters communicated, including those in paragraph 26A may be communicated in the course of the component auditor's work. For example, the matters included in paragraph A111A.</u></p>
Identifying and Assessing the Risks of Material Misstatement	
<p>26B. In applying ISA 315 (Revised 2019),⁶⁹ based on the understanding obtained in paragraph 24, the group auditor shall take responsibility for the identification and the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of</p>	<p>Identifying and Assessing the Risks of Material Misstatement (Ref: Para. 26B)</p> <p>A72B. The group auditor's process to identify and assess the risks of material misstatement of the group financial statements is cumulative and iterative and may be challenging,</p>

⁶⁶ ISA 570 (Revised), *Going Concern*

⁶⁸ ISA 200, paragraphs 1~~57~~ and A53~~-A54~~

⁶⁹ ISA 315 (Revised 2019), paragraphs 28–34

ISA 600 Requirement	Application Material
<p>the group financial statements, <u>including with respect to the consolidation process</u>. (Ref: Para. A72B–A72G)</p>	<p>particularly when the the component's business activities are complex or specialized, or when there are many components across multiple locations. In applying ISA 315 (Revised 2019),⁷⁰ the group auditor develops initial expectations about the potential risks of material misstatement and an initial identification of the significant classes of transactions, account balances and disclosures of the group financial statements based on their understanding of the group and its environment, the applicable financial reporting framework and the group's system of internal control.</p> <p>A72C. The initial expectations about the potential risks of material misstatement take into account the group auditor's understanding of the group, including its entities or business units, and the environments and industries in which they operate. Based on the initial expectations, the group auditor may, and often will, involve component auditors in risk assessment procedures as they have direct knowledge and experience with the entities or business units that<u>which</u> may be helpful in understanding the business activities and related risks, and where risks of material misstatement of the group financial statements may arise in relation to those entities or business units.</p> <p>A72D. For the identified risks of material misstatement at the assertion level, a separate assessment of inherent risk and control risk is required by ISA 315 (Revised 2019).⁷⁴ The group auditor assesses the inherent risk by assessing the likelihood and magnitude of identified risks of material misstatement, taking into account the inherent risk factors. Identifying risks of material misstatement, including those communicated by component auditors, provides the basis for the group auditor's determination of relevant assertions, which assists the group auditor's determination of the significant classes of transactions, account balances and disclosures of the group financial statements. After identifying the risks of material misstatement, including those communicated by component auditors, the group auditor determines the relevant assertions and related significant classes of transactions, account balances and disclosures of the group financial statements. The group auditor takes responsibility for assessing the inherent risk of the identified risks of material misstatements by</p>

⁷⁰ ISA 315 (Revised 2019), paragraphs ~~252–26~~

⁶⁶ ~~ISA 315 (Revised), paragraph 31–34~~

ISA 600 Requirement	Application Material
	<p><u>assessing the likelihood and magnitude of misstatement, taking into account the inherent risk factors.</u>⁷² The group auditor’s process (or the process of component auditors to whom risk assessment procedures are assigned) of assessing the identified risks of material misstatement at the assertion level also includes the determination of significant risks.</p> <p>A72E. Based on the risk assessment procedures performed, the group auditor may determine that an assessed risk of material misstatement of the group financial statements only arises in relation to financial information of certain components. For example, the risk of material misstatement relating to a legal claim may only exist in entities or business units that operate in a certain jurisdiction <u>or in entities or business unites that have similar operations or activities.</u></p> <p>A72F. Appendix 4 sets out examples of events and conditions that, individually or together, may indicate risks of material misstatement of the group financial statements, whether due to fraud or error, <u>including with respect to the consolidation process.</u></p> <p><i>Fraud</i></p> <p>A72G. <u>In applying ISA 240,</u>⁷³ the auditor is required to identify and assess the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements due to fraud, and to design and implement appropriate responses to the assessed risks.⁷⁴ Information used to identify the risks of material misstatement of the group financial statements due to fraud may include the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Group management’s assessment of the risks that the group financial statements are materially misstated as a result of fraud. • Group management’s process for identifying and responding to the risks of fraud in the group, including any specific fraud risks identified by group management, or classes of transactions, account balances, or for which a risk of fraud is

⁷² ISA 315 (Revised 2019), paragraph 31

⁷³ ISA 240, paragraphs 26, 29, 31

⁷⁴ ISA 240, The Auditor’s Responsibilities Relating to Fraud in an Audit of Financial Statements

ISA 600 Requirement	Application Material
	<p>higher.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Whether there are particular components for which the risks of material misstatement due to fraud is higher. • Whether any fraud risk factors or indicators of management bias exist in the consolidation process. • How those charged with governance of the group monitor group management’s processes for identifying and responding to the risks of fraud in the group, and the controls group management has established to mitigate these risks. • Responses of those charged with governance of the group, group management, appropriate individuals within the internal audit function (and if considered appropriate, component management, the component auditors, and others) to the group auditor’s inquiry whether they have knowledge of any actual, suspected, or alleged fraud affecting a component or the group.
<p><i>Considerations When Component Auditors Are Involved</i></p> <p>26C. In applying ISA 315 (Revised 2019),⁷⁵ the group auditor shall include the work of component auditors in the evaluation whether the audit evidence obtained from the risk assessment procedures <u>performed by the group auditor and component auditors</u> provides an appropriate basis for the identification and assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the group financial statements. (Ref: Para. A72H–A72I)</p>	<p><i>Considerations When Component Auditors Are Involved</i> (Ref: Para. 26C)</p> <p>A72H. When the group auditor involves component auditors in the design and performance of risk assessment procedures, the group auditor remains responsible for having an understanding of the group and its environment, the applicable financial reporting framework and the group’s system of internal control to have a sufficient basis for the <u>identification and</u> assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the group financial statements in accordance with paragraph 26B.</p> <p>A72I. When the audit evidence obtained from the risk assessment procedures does not provide an appropriate basis for the identification and assessment of the risks of material misstatement, ISA 315 (Revised 2019)⁷⁶ requires the group auditor to perform additional risk assessment procedures until audit evidence has been obtained to provide such a basis.</p>

⁷⁵ ISA 315 (Revised 2019), paragraph 35

⁷⁶ ISA 315 (Revised 2019), paragraph 35

ISA 600 Requirement	Application Material
Materiality	
<p>29. In applying ISA 320⁷⁷ and ISA 450,⁷⁸ when classes of transactions, account balances or disclosures in the group financial statements are disaggregated across components, for purposes of planning and performing audit procedures, the group auditor shall determine:</p> <p>(a) Component performance materiality. To address aggregation risk, such amount shall be lower than group performance materiality. (Ref: Para. A73–A76)</p> <p>(b) The threshold above which misstatements identified in <u>the</u> component financial information are to be communicated to the group auditor. Such threshold shall not exceed the amount regarded as clearly trivial to the group financial statements. (Ref: Para. A77)</p>	<p>Materiality</p> <p><i>Component Performance Materiality</i> (Ref: Para. 29(a))</p> <p>A73. Paragraph 29(a) requires the group auditor to determine component performance materiality for each of the components where audit procedures are performed on financial information that is disaggregated. The component performance materiality amount may be different for each component. Also, the component performance materiality amount for an individual component need not be an arithmetical portion of the group performance materiality and, consequently, the aggregate of component performance materiality amounts may exceed group performance materiality.</p> <p>A74. This ISA does not require component performance materiality to be determined for each class of transactions, account balance or disclosure for components at which audit procedures are performed. However, if, in the specific circumstances of the group, there is one or more particular classes of transactions, account balances or disclosures for which misstatements of lesser amounts than materiality for the group financial statements as a whole could reasonably be expected to influence the economic decisions of users taken on the basis of the financial statements, ISA 320 requires the auditor to determine the materiality level or levels to be applied to those particular classes of transactions, account balances or disclosures.⁷⁹ In these circumstances, the group auditor may need to consider whether a component performance materiality lower than the amount communicated to the component auditor may be appropriate for those particular classes of transactions, account balances or disclosures.⁸⁰</p> <p>A75. The determination of component performance materiality is not a simple mechanical</p>

⁷⁷ ISA 320, paragraph 11

⁷⁸ ISA 450, *Evaluation of Misstatements Identified during the Audit*, paragraph 5

⁷⁹ ISA 320, paragraphs 10 and A11–A12

⁸⁰ ISA 320, paragraph A13

ISA 600 Requirement	Application Material
	<p>calculation and involves the exercise of professional judgment. Factors the group auditor may take into account in setting component performance materiality include the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The extent of disaggregation of the financial information across components (e.g., as the extent of disaggregation across components increases, a lower component performance materiality generally ordinarily would be appropriate to address aggregation risk). The relative significance of the component to the group may affect the extent of disaggregation (e.g., if a single component represents a large portion of the group, there likely may be less disaggregation across components). • Expectations about the nature, frequency, and magnitude of misstatements in the component financial information, for example: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Whether there are risks that are unique to the financial information of the component (e.g., industry-specific accounting matters, unusual or complex transactions). ○ The nature and extent of misstatements identified at the component in prior audits. <p>A75A. To address aggregation risk, paragraph 29(a) requires component performance materiality to be lower than group performance materiality. As explained in paragraph A75, as the extent of disaggregation across components increases, a lower component performance materiality amount generally ordinarily would be appropriate to address aggregation risk. In some circumstances, however, component performance materiality may be set at an amount closer to group performance materiality because there is less aggregation risk, such as when the financial information account balance or class of transactions for one component represents a substantial portion of the respective significant class of transactions or significant account balance in the group financial statements.</p> <p>A76. In some cases, further audit procedures may be performed by the group auditor or a component auditor on a significant class of transactions or significant account balance as a single population (i.e., not disaggregated across components). In such cases,</p>

ISA 600 Requirement	Application Material
	<p>group performance materiality often will be used for purposes of performing these procedures.</p> <p><i>'Clearly Trivial' Threshold (Ref: Para: 29(b))</i></p> <p>A77. The threshold for communicating misstatements to the group auditor is set at an amount equal to, or lower than, the amount regarded as clearly trivial for the group financial statements. In accordance with ISA 450,⁸¹ this threshold is the amount below which misstatements would not need to be accumulated because the group auditor expects that the accumulation of such amounts clearly would not have a material effect on the group financial statements.</p>
<p><i>Considerations When Component Auditors Are Involved</i></p> <p>30. The group auditor shall communicate to the component auditor the amounts determined in accordance with paragraph 29. (Ref: Para: A77A–A77B)</p>	<p><i>Considerations When Component Auditors Are Involved</i></p> <p>Communicating Component Performance Materiality to Component Auditors (Ref. Para. 30)</p> <p>A77A. In some cases, it may be appropriate for the group auditor to involve the component auditor in determining an appropriate component performance materiality amount, in view of the component auditor's in-depth knowledge of the component and potential sources of misstatement of the component financial information. In this regard, the group auditor also may consider communicating group performance materiality to the component auditor to support collaboration in determining whether component performance materiality, in relation to group performance materiality, is appropriate in the circumstances.</p> <p>A77B. Because cComponent performance materiality is based, at least in part, on expectations about the nature, frequency, and magnitude of misstatements in the component financial information. Therefore, ongoing communication between the component auditor and the group auditor is important, particularly if the number and magnitude of misstatements identified by the component auditor are higher than expected.</p>
<p>Responding to the Assessed Risks of Material Misstatement</p>	

⁸¹ ISA 450, paragraph A3

ISA 600 Requirement	Application Material
<p>33. In applying ISA 330,⁸² the group auditor shall take responsibility for the nature, timing and extent of further audit procedures to be performed, including determining the components at which to perform further audit procedures and the nature, timing and extent of the work to be performed at those components. (Ref: Para. A86–A93A)</p>	<p>Responding to the Assessed Risks of Material Misstatement (Ref: Para. 33)</p> <p><i>Performing Further Audit Procedures</i></p> <p>Performing Further Audit Procedures Centrally</p> <p>A86. The group auditor may design and perform further audit procedures centrally if the audit evidence to be obtained from performing further audit procedures on one or more classes of transactions, account balances or disclosures in the aggregate will respond to the assessed risks of material misstatement. For example, if the accounting records for the revenue transactions of the entire group are maintained centrally (e.g., at a shared service center), the group auditor may perform further audit procedures to address the assessed risks of material misstatement of the related classes of transactions, account balances, and disclosures. Factors that may be relevant to the auditor’s determination of whether to perform further audit procedures centrally include, for example:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The level of centralization of activities relevant to financial reporting. • The nature and extent of commonality of controls. • The similarity of the group’s activities and business lines. <p>A88. The group auditor may determine that the financial information of several components can be considered as one population for the purpose of performing further audit procedures, for example, when transactions are considered to be homogenous because they share the same characteristics, the related risks of material misstatement are the same, and controls are designed and operating in a consistent way.</p> <p>A88A. When further audit procedures are performed centrally, component auditors may still be involved. For example, when the group has multiple shared-service centers, the group auditor may involve component auditors in the performance of further audit procedures for these shared service centers.</p>

⁸² ISA 330, paragraphs 6–7

ISA 600 Requirement	Application Material
	<p>Performing Further Audit Procedures at the Component Level</p> <p>A88B. In other circumstances, procedures to respond to the risks of material misstatement at the group financial statement level that are related to the financial information of a component may be more effectively performed at the component level. This may be the case when the group has:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Different revenue streams; • Multiple lines of business; • Operations across multiple locations; or • De-centralized systems of internal control. <p>Numerous Large Number of Individual Components Whose Financial Information Is Material in the Aggregate to the Group Financial Statements that Are not Individually Financially Significant</p> <p>A89. A group may be comprised of a large number of components whose financial information is individually immaterial but material in the aggregate to the group financial statements. These circumstances may present additional challenges for the The group auditor in planning and performing further audit procedures for may have identified a significant classes of transactions, account balances or disclosures in the group financial statements that comprises classes of transactions, account balances or disclosures at many entities and business units, none of which individually result in a risk of material misstatement at the group financial statement level. In some cases, it may be possible for the group auditor to obtain sufficient appropriate audit evidence by performing audit procedures centrally on these classes of transactions, account balances or disclosures, e.g., if they are homogeneous, subject to common controls and access to appropriate information can be obtained. The use of automated tools and techniques may be helpful in these circumstances.</p> <p>A89AA. In some cases, it may be possible to obtain sufficient appropriate audit evidence by performing audit procedures centrally on these classes of transactions, account balances or disclosures (e.g., if they are homogeneous, subject to common controls</p>

ISA 600 Requirement	Application Material
	<p>and access to appropriate information can be obtained). The group auditor further audit procedures may perform include substantive analytical procedures in accordance with ISA 520,⁸³ to address the risks of material misstatement at the assertion level for classes of transactions, account balances or disclosures in the group financial statements. Depending on the circumstances of the engagement, the financial information of the components may be aggregated by the group auditor at appropriate levels for purposes of developing expectations and determining the amount of any difference of recorded amounts from expected values in performing the substantive analytical procedures. The use of automated tools and techniques may be helpful in these circumstances. [Moved from paragraph A90]</p> <p>A89A. In other cases, it may be necessary for the group auditor to perform audit procedures at selected components individually financially insignificant components to address the risks of material misstatement of the group financial statements. The determination of the components at which audit procedures may need are to be performed, and the nature, timing and extent of audit procedures to be performed at the selected components, are matters of professional judgment. In these circumstances, introducing an element of unpredictability in the components selected for testing also may be helpful in relation to the risks of material misstatement of the group financial statements due to fraud (also see paragraph A91).</p> <p>A90. [Moved to paragraph A89AA]</p> <p><i>The Nature and Extent of Further Audit Procedures</i></p> <p>A90A. In determining the nature and extent of further audit procedures to be performed at a component, the group auditor may determine the following scope of work to be appropriate at a component (with the involvement of component auditors, as applicable):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Design and perform further audit procedures on the entire financial information of the component; • Design and perform further audit procedures on one or more classes of

⁸³ ISA 520, *Analytical Procedures*

ISA 600 Requirement	Application Material
	<p>transactions, account balances or disclosures; or</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Perform specific further audit procedures. <p>A90B. Although tThe group auditor shall<u>takes</u> responsibility for the nature, timing and extent of further audit procedures to be performed. However, as described in paragraph 3, component auditors can be, and often are, involved in all phases of the group audit, including in the <u>design and</u> performance of further audit procedures.</p> <p>Design and Perform Further Audit Procedures on the Entire Financial Information of the Component</p> <p>A90C. The group auditor may determine that designing and performing further audit procedures on the entire financial information of a component is an appropriate approach, including when:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Audit evidence needs to be obtained on all or a significant proportion of a component’s financial information to respond to the assessed risks of material misstatement of the group financial statements. • There is a pervasive risk of material misstatement of the group financial statements due to the existence of events or conditions at the component that may be relevant to the group auditor’s evaluation of group management’s assessment of the group’s ability to continue as a going concern. <p>Design and perform further audit procedures on one or more classes of transactions, account balances or disclosures</p> <p>A90D. The group auditor may determine that designing and performing further audit procedures on one or more <u>particular</u> classes of transactions, account balances, or disclosures of the financial information of a component is an appropriate approach to address an assessed <u>risks</u> of material misstatement of the group financial statements. For example, a component may have limited operations but holds a significant portion of the land and buildings of the group or has significant tax balances.</p> <p>Perform specific further audit procedures</p> <p>A90E. The group auditor may determine that <u>designing and</u> performing specific further audit</p>

ISA 600 Requirement	Application Material
	<p>procedures on the financial information of a component is an appropriate approach, such as including when audit evidence needs to be obtained with respect to for specific assertions <u>only</u>. For example, the group auditor may centrally test the class of transaction, account balance or disclosure and may require the component auditor to perform specific further audit procedures at the component (e.g., specific further audit procedures related to the valuation of claims or litigations in the component’s jurisdiction or the existence of an asset).</p> <p><i>Element of Unpredictability</i></p> <p>A91. Including an element of unpredictability in the type of work to be performed, the entities or business units at which procedures are performed and the extent to which the group auditor is involved in the work, may increase the likelihood of identifying a material misstatement of the components’ financial information that may give rise to a material misstatement of the group financial statements due to fraud.⁸⁴</p> <p><i>Operating Effectiveness of Controls that Are Common Across the Group</i></p> <p>A92. If the group auditor intends to rely on the operating effectiveness of controls that operate throughout the group in determining the nature, timing and extent of substantive procedures to be performed at either the group level or at the components, the group auditor, in accordance with ISA 330,⁸⁵ is required to design and perform tests of controls to obtain sufficient appropriate audit evidence as to the operating effectiveness of those controls. This includes obtaining sufficient appropriate audit evidence that the controls are operating at the components as designed. The group auditor may request the component auditor to assist the group auditor in performing these procedures.</p> <p>A93. If deviations from controls upon which the auditor intends to rely are detected, ISA 330⁸⁶ requires the auditor to make specific inquiries to understand these matters and</p>

⁸⁴ ISA 240, paragraph 30(c)

⁸⁵ ISA 330, paragraph 8

⁸⁶ ~~ISA 3530, Audit Sampling, paragraph 172~~

ISA 600 Requirement	Application Material
	<p><u>their potential consequences</u>. If more deviations than expected are detected as a result of testing the operating effectiveness of the controls, the group auditor may need to revise the group audit plan. Possible revisions to the group audit plan may include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Requesting additional substantive procedures to be performed at certain components. • Identifying and testing the operating effectiveness of other relevant controls that are designed and implemented effectively. • Increasing the number of components selected for further audit procedures. <p>A93A. When the operating effectiveness of controls is tested centrally (e.g., controls at a shared service center or testing of common controls), the group auditor may need to communicate information about the audit work performed <u>to the</u>with component auditors. For example, when a component auditor is requested to design and perform <u>substantive</u>further audit procedures on the entire financial information of the component, or design and perform <u>substantive</u>further audit procedures on one or more classes of transactions, account balances or disclosures, the component auditor may <u>communicate with the group auditor</u> need information about the control testing performed to determine the nature, timing and extent of the substantive procedures.</p>
<p><i>Consolidation Process</i></p> <p>34. The group auditor shall take responsibility for designing and performing further audit procedures to respond to the assessed risks of material misstatement of the group financial statements arising from the consolidation process. This shall include:</p> <p>(a) Evaluating whether all entities and business units have been included in the group financial statements as required by the applicable financial reporting framework and, if applicable, for designing and performing further audit procedures on sub-consolidations; (Ref: Para.</p>	<p><i>Consolidation Process</i></p> <p>Consolidation Procedures (Ref: Para. 34(a))</p> <p>A94. The further audit procedures on the consolidation, including sub-consolidations, may include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Determining that the journal entries necessary are reflected in the consolidation; and • Evaluating the operating effectiveness of the controls over the consolidation process and responding appropriately if any controls are determined to be ineffective. <p>Consolidation Adjustments and Reclassifications (Ref: Para. 34(cb))</p>

ISA 600 Requirement	Application Material
<p>A94)</p> <p>(b) <u>Responding to the assessed risks of material misstatement due to</u>Evaluating whether any fraud risk factors or indicators of possible management bias <u>that exist in the consolidation process</u>; and</p> <p>(c) Evaluating the appropriateness, completeness and accuracy of consolidation adjustments and reclassifications. (Ref: Para. A95)</p>	<p>A95. The consolidation process may require adjustments and reclassifications to amounts reported in the group financial statements that do not pass through the usual IT applications, and may not be subject to the same controls to which other financial information is subject. The group auditor’s evaluation of the appropriateness, completeness and accuracy of the adjustments and reclassifications may include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Evaluating whether significant adjustments appropriately reflect the events and transactions underlying them; • Determining whether those entities or business units whose financial information has been included in the group financial statements were appropriately included; • Determining whether significant adjustments have been correctly calculated, processed and authorized by group management and, where applicable, by component management; • Determining whether significant adjustments are properly supported and sufficiently documented; and • Evaluating the reconciliation and elimination of intra-group transactions, unrealized profits, and intra-group account balances.
<p>35. If the financial information of an entity or business unit has not been prepared in accordance with the same accounting policies applied to the group financial statements, the group auditor shall evaluate whether the financial information has been appropriately adjusted for purposes of preparing and presenting the group financial statements.</p>	
<p>36. If the group financial statements include the financial <u>statements-information</u> of an entity or business unit with a financial reporting period-end that differs from that of the group, the group auditor shall take responsibility for evaluating whether appropriate adjustments have been</p>	

ISA 600 Requirement	Application Material
made to that ^{these} financial information ^{statements} in accordance with the applicable financial reporting framework.	
<p><i>Considerations When Component Auditors Are Involved</i></p> <p>37. When the group auditor involves component auditors in the design or performance of further audit procedures, the group auditor shall communicate with component auditors matters that are relevant to the design of responses to the assessed risks of material misstatement of the group financial statements.</p>	<p><u><i>Considerations When Component Auditors Are Involved (Ref: Para. 38–39)</i></u></p>
<p>38. For areas of higher assessed risks of material misstatement of the group financial statements, or significant risks identified in accordance with ISA 315 (Revised 2019), on which a component auditor is determining the further audit procedures to be performed, the group auditor shall evaluate the appropriateness of the design and performance of those further audit procedures. <u>(Ref: Para. A95A)</u></p>	<p><u>A95A. When experts are involved in performing further audit procedures, ISA 620⁸⁷ requires the auditor to evaluate the adequacy of the auditor's expert's work for the auditor's purposes. In a group audit these evaluations include the work of auditor's experts engaged by component auditors.</u></p>
<p>39. In accordance with paragraph 23, the group auditor shall determine the nature and extent of direction and supervision of component auditors and the review of their work when component auditors perform further audit procedures on the consolidation process, including on sub-consolidations. (Ref: Para. A102)</p>	<p>Consolidation Process (Ref: Para. 39)A102. The appropriate level of the group auditor's involvement may depend on the circumstances and the structure of the group and other factors, such as the group auditor's previous experience with the component auditors that perform procedures on the consolidation and sub-consolidations (also see paragraphs A42 and A51) and the circumstances of the group audit engagement (e.g., if the financial information of an entity or business unit has not been prepared in accordance with the same accounting policies applied to the group financial statements).</p>

⁸⁷ ISA 620, paragraph 12

ISA 600 Requirement	Application Material
<p>40. The group auditor shall determine whether the financial information identified in the component auditor's communication (see paragraph 44(a)) is the financial information that is incorporated in the group financial statements.</p>	
<p><i>Using Audit Evidence from an Audit Performed for Another Purpose</i></p> <p>42. If an audit has been performed on the financial statements of an entity or business unit that is part of the group, and an auditor's report has been issued for statutory, regulatory or other reasons, and the group auditor plans to use such work as audit evidence for the group audit, the group auditor shall evaluate whether: (Ref: Para. A103–A104)</p> <p>(a) The audit procedures performed are an appropriate response to the assessed risks of material misstatement of the group financial statements;</p> <p>(b) Performance materiality used for that audit is appropriate for the purposes of the group audit; and</p> <p>(c) Other relevant requirements in this ISA have been met with respect to the use of the work of a component auditor, including the requirements in paragraphs 20–22. (Ref: Para. A105)</p>	<p><i>Using Audit Evidence from an Audit Performed for Another Purpose (Ref: Para. 42)</i></p> <p>A103. An audit may be performed on the financial statements of an entity or business unit that is part of the group, and an auditor's report has been issued for statutory, regulatory or other reasons. For example, when an entity or business unit has been acquired close to year-end. If an audit has been performed and an auditor's report has been issued for statutory, regulatory or other reasons, the group auditor may use audit evidence from that audit if the group auditor is satisfied that the work is appropriate for the group auditor's purposes. If the audit procedures performed are not an appropriate response to the assessed risks of material misstatement of the group financial statements, the group auditor may decide not to use the audit evidence from that audit. Alternatively, the group auditor may plan to have additional audit procedures performed on the component, to address the assessed risks of material misstatement of the group financial statements.</p> <p>A104. In addition to the factors in paragraph 42, factors that may affect the group auditor's decision whether to use the audit evidence from an audit that has already been performed due to statutory, regulatory or other reasons to provide audit evidence for the group audit may include the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Differences in the financial reporting framework applied in preparing the financial statements of the entity or business unit and that applied in preparing the group financial statements. • Differences in the auditing and other standards applied by the component auditor and those applied in the audit of the group financial statements. • Differences in the financial reporting period-end between the financial statements of the entity or business unit and the financial statements of the

ISA 600 Requirement	Application Material
	<p style="text-align: center;">group.</p> <p>A105. Other relevant requirements in this ISA with respect to the use of the work of a component auditor as described in paragraph 42(c), may include the requirements in the sections on understanding the group and its environment, the applicable financial reporting framework and the group's system of internal control, materiality, identifying and assessing the risks of material misstatement, responding to the assessed risks of material misstatement and two-way communication between the group auditor and the component auditor.</p>
Communication about Matters Relevant to the Group Auditor's Conclusion	
<p>44. The group auditor shall request the component auditor to communicate matters relevant to the group auditor's conclusion with regard to the group audit. Such communication shall include: (Ref: Para. A111A)</p> <p>(a) Identification of the financial information on which the component auditor has been requested to perform audit procedures;</p> <p>(aa) Whether the component auditor has performed the work requested by the group auditor;</p> <p>(ab) Whether the component auditor has complied with the ethical requirements that are relevant to the group audit, including those relating to independence;</p> <p>(b) Information on instances of non-compliance with laws or regulations;</p> <p>(c) Corrected and uncorrected misstatements of the component financial information identified by the component auditor and that are above the threshold communicated by the group auditor in accordance with paragraph 30; (Ref: Para.</p>	<p>Communication about Matters Relevant to the Group Auditor's Conclusion</p> <p><i>Communication about Matters Relevant to the Group Auditor's Conclusion with Regard to the Group Audit</i> (Ref: Para. 44)</p> <p>A111A. Although the matters required to be communicated in accordance with paragraph 44 relate are relevant to the group auditor's conclusion with regard to the group audit, certain matters may need to be communicated timely in the course of the component auditor's procedures. <u>In addition to the matters in paragraphs 26A and 48, Such such</u> matters may include, for example:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Information on instances of non-compliance with <u>breaches of relevant</u> ethical requirements relevant to the group audit, including identified breaches of independence provisions; • Information on instances of non-compliance with laws or regulations; • Newly arising significant risks of material misstatement, including risks of fraud; • Identified or suspected fraud or illegal acts involving component management or employees that could have a material effect on the group financial statements; or • Significant and unusual transactions. <p><i>Communication of Misstatements of Component Financial Information</i> (Ref: Para. 44(c))</p>

ISA 600 Requirement	Application Material
<p>A111B)</p> <p>(d) Indicators of possible management bias;</p> <p>(e) Description of any deficiencies in the system of internal control identified in connection with the audit procedures performed;</p> <p>(f) Fraud or suspected fraud involving component management, employees who have significant roles in the group's system of internal control at the component or others where the fraud resulted in a material misstatement of the financial information of the component; (Ref: Para. A112)</p> <p>(fa) Other significant matters that the component auditor communicated or expects to communicate to component management or those charged with governance of the component;</p> <p>(g) Any other matters that may be relevant to the group audit, or that the component auditor wishes determines are appropriate to draw to the attention of the group auditor, including exceptions noted in the written representations that the component auditor requested from component management; and</p> <p>(h) The component auditor's overall findings or conclusions. (Ref: Para. A112A)</p>	<p>A111B. Knowledge about corrected and uncorrected misstatements across components may alert the group auditor to potential pervasive internal control deficiencies, when considered along with the communication of deficiencies in accordance with paragraph 44(e). In addition, a higher than expected number of identified misstatements (uncorrected or corrected) may indicate a higher risk of undetected misstatements, which may lead the group auditor to conclude that additional audit procedures need to be performed at certain components.</p> <p>Communications with Those Charged with Governance of the Component (Ref: Para. 44(f))</p> <p>A112. In certain circumstances, the group auditor may combine certain entities or business units into components for purposes of planning and performing the group audit (see paragraphs A4–A6). In these circumstances, the group auditor may need to use professional judgment to determine, in accordance with ISA 260 (Revised),⁸⁸ the appropriate person(s) in the governance structure of those entities or business units with whom to communicate, in view of the nature of the matters to be communicated.</p> <p><i>Component Auditor's Overall Findings or Conclusions</i> (Ref: Para. 44(h))</p> <p>A112A. The form and content of the deliverables from the component auditor are influenced by the nature and extent of the audit work the component auditor has been requested to perform. The <u>group auditor's firm policies or procedures may address the form or specific wording of an overall conclusion statement from the</u> component auditor's overall findings or conclusions may take the form of a conclusion or opinion on the audit work performed by the component auditor for purposes of the group audit.</p>
<p>45. The group auditor shall:</p> <p>(a) Discuss significant matters arising from the communications with the component auditor,</p>	

⁸⁸ ~~ISA 260 (Revised), paragraph 14~~

ISA 600 Requirement	Application Material
<p>component management or group management, as appropriate; and</p> <p>(b) Evaluate whether the communications with component auditors are adequate for the group auditor’s purposes.</p>	
<p>45A. The group auditor also shall determine whether, and the extent to which, it is necessary <u>for the group auditor</u> to review parts of the component auditor’s audit documentation. In making this determination, the group auditor shall consider: <u>(Ref: Para. A112B-A113)</u></p> <p>(a) The nature, timing and extent of the work performed by the component auditor; and</p> <p>(b) The <u>determination of the competence and capabilities of the component auditor in accordance with paragraph 21(a); and</u></p> <p><u>(c) The direction, supervision and review of the component auditor, including communications from the component auditor. (Ref: Para. A112C–A113)</u></p>	<p><i>Reviewing the Component Auditor’s Audit Documentation</i> (Ref: Para. 45A)</p> <p><u>A112B. The determination required by paragraph 45A relates to whether there is a need for the group auditor to review parts of the component auditor’s audit documentation in order to conclude on the group audit, taking into account:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>The review performed by the component auditor reviewer(s); and</u> • <u>The review that already may have been done by the group auditor as part of the ongoing direction, supervision and review of the work of the component auditor, and communications received from the component auditor, in accordance with the requirements of this ISA.</u> <p><u>A112Ba. Paragraph 23 requires the group engagement partner to take responsibility for the nature, timing and extent of the direction and supervision of component auditors and the review of their work. However, as explained in paragraph A49, the group engagement partner may assign such responsibilities to other members of the engagement team.</u></p> <p>A112C. ISA 220 (Revised) requires the engagement partner to determine that the nature, timing and extent of direction, supervision and review is planned and performed in accordance with the firm’s policies or procedures, professional standards and applicable legal and regulatory requirements and is responsive to the nature and circumstances of the audit engagement and the resources assigned or made available to the engagement team.⁸⁹ Paragraph A51 provides factors that<u>guidance for</u> the group auditor may take into account in tailoring the nature, timing and extent of the direction and supervision of the component auditor, and the review of their work, to the facts</p>

⁸⁹ ISA 220 (Revised ~~2020~~), paragraph 30

ISA 600 Requirement	Application Material
	<p>and circumstances of the group audit. Accordingly, when determining whether, and the extent to which, it is necessary to review parts of the component auditor's audit documentation, paragraph 45A requires the group auditor to consider the work performed by the component auditor and the competence and capabilities of the component auditor.</p> <p>A113. Paragraph A51 provides factors that the group auditor may take into account in tailoring the nature, timing and extent of the direction and supervision of the component auditor, and the review of their work, to the facts and circumstances of the group audit. Other factors that may affect the group auditor's determination about whether, and the extent to which it may be necessary to nature, timing and extent of the review parts of the component auditor's audit documentation in the circumstances include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The degree to which the component auditor was involved in risk assessment procedures and in the identification and assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the group financial statements; • The significant judgments made by, and the findings or conclusions of, the component auditor with respect to <u>about</u> matters that are material to the group financial statements; • The matters communicated to the group auditor in accordance with this ISA; and • The competence and capabilities of the component auditor reviewer(s) based on previous experience with those individuals; and • Whether the component auditor and group auditor are subject to common policies or procedures the fact that the component auditor's audit documentation has been subjected to the component auditor's firm's policies or procedures for review of audit documentation.
<p>46. If the group auditor determines that the component auditors' communications are not adequate for the group auditor's purposes, the group auditor shall consider whether further information can be obtained</p>	

ISA 600 Requirement	Application Material
<p>from component auditors or other sources. If such information cannot be obtained <u>from component auditors or</u> through other sources, the group auditor shall consider the implications for the group audit, in accordance with paragraph <u>4951</u>.</p>	
Subsequent Events	
<p>47. In applying ISA 560,⁹⁰ the group auditor shall take responsibility for performing procedures, including, as appropriate, requesting component auditors to perform procedures, designed to identify events that may require adjustment to of, or disclosure in₁ the group financial statements. (Ref: Para. A114)</p>	<p>Subsequent Events (Ref: Para. 47) A114. The group auditor may:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Request a component auditor to perform subsequent events procedures to assist the group auditor to identify events that occur between the dates of the financial information of the components and the date of the auditor's report on the group financial statements. • Perform procedures to cover the period between the date of communication of subsequent events by the component auditor and the date of the auditor's report on the group financial statements.
<p><i>Considerations When Component Auditors Are Involved</i></p> <p>48. The group auditor shall request the component auditors to notify the group auditor if they become aware of subsequent events that may require an adjustment to of, or disclosure in₁ the group financial statements. (Ref: Para. A114)</p>	
Evaluating the Sufficiency and Appropriateness of Audit Evidence Obtained	
<p>49. In applying ISA 330,⁹¹ the group auditor shall evaluate whether sufficient appropriate audit evidence has been</p>	<p>Evaluating the Sufficiency and Appropriateness of Audit Evidence Obtained</p>

⁹⁰ ISA 560, *Subsequent Events*, paragraph 7

⁹¹ ISA 330, paragraph 26

ISA 600 Requirement	Application Material
<p>obtained from the audit procedures performed, including with respect to <u>from</u> the work performed by component auditors, on which to base the group audit opinion. (Ref: Para. A114A–A115B<u>A115C</u>)</p>	<p><i>Sufficiency and Appropriateness of Audit Evidence</i> (Ref: Para. 49)</p> <p>A114A. The audit of group financial statements is a cumulative and iterative process. As the group auditor performs planned audit procedures, the audit evidence obtained may cause the group auditor to modify the nature, timing or extent of other planned audit procedures as information may come to the group auditor’s attention that differs significantly from the information on which the risk assessment was based. For example:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The misstatements identified at a component may need to be considered in relation to other components; or • The group auditor may become aware of access restrictions to information or people at a component because of changes in the environment (e.g., war, civil unrest or outbreaks of disease). <p>In such circumstances, the group auditor may need to reevaluate the planned audit procedures, based on the revised consideration of assessed risks for all or some of the classes of transactions, account balances, or disclosures and related assertions.</p> <p>A115. The evaluation required by paragraph 49 assists the group auditor in determining whether the overall group audit strategy and group audit plan developed to respond to the assessed risks of material misstatement of the group financial statements continues to be appropriate. The requirement in ISA 330⁹² for the auditor, irrespective of the assessed risks of material misstatement, to design and perform substantive procedures for each material account balance, class of transactions and disclosure also may be helpful for purposes of this evaluation in the context of the group financial statements.</p> <p>A115A. In addition, the group auditor may further consider matters that may affect challenges to the exercise of professional skepticism in a group audit, such as those described in paragraph A9A, may be considered by the group auditor in the evaluation when evaluating of the sufficiency and appropriateness of audit evidence obtained in accordance with paragraph 49. In particular, the group auditor may</p>

⁹² [ISA 330, paragraph 18](#)

ISA 600 Requirement	Application Material
	<p><u>consider whether such matters have inappropriately led the engagement team to:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>Obtain audit evidence that is easier to access rather than obtain evidence that is more relevant and reliable:</u> • <u>Obtain less persuasive evidence than is necessary in the circumstances; or</u> • <u>Design and perform audit procedures in a manner that is biased towards obtaining evidence that is corroborative or excluding evidence that is contradictory.</u> <p>A115A<u>A115B.</u> <u>ISA 220 (Revised) requires the engagement partner to determine, on or before the date of the auditor’s report, through review of audit documentation and discussion with the engagement team, that sufficient appropriate audit evidence has been obtained to support the conclusions reached and for the auditor’s report to be issued.</u>⁹³ In performing the evaluation required by paragraph 49, i<u>Information that may be relevant to the group auditor’s evaluation of</u> may take into account with respect to the audit evidence obtained from the work performed by component auditors depends on the facts and circumstances of the group audit, and may include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The communications from the component auditors required by paragraph 44, including the overall findings or conclusions of the component auditors on the work performed for purposes of the group audit; • Other communications from the component auditors throughout the group audit, including those required by paragraph 26A; • The group auditor’s direction and supervision of the component auditors, and review of their work, including, as applicable, the group auditor’s review of the component auditor’s audit documentation in accordance with paragraph 45A. <p>A115B<u>A115C.</u> In some circumstances, an overall summary memorandum or report describing the work performed and the results thereof may provide a basis on its own for the group auditor to conclude that the work performed and audit evidence obtained by the component auditor is sufficient for purposes of the group audit. This may be the case, for example, when the component auditor has been requested to perform</p>

⁹³ [ISA 220 \(Revised\), paragraph 32](#)

ISA 600 Requirement	Application Material
	specific further audit procedures as identified and communicated by the group auditor.
<p><i>Evaluating the Effect on the Group Audit Opinion</i></p> <p>50. The group engagement partner shall evaluate the effect on the group audit opinion of any uncorrected misstatements (whether identified by the group auditor or communicated by component auditors) and any instances where there has been an inability to obtain sufficient appropriate audit evidence. (Ref: Para. A116)</p>	<p><i>Evaluating the Effect on the Group Audit Opinion</i> (Ref: Para. 50)</p> <p>A116. The group engagement partner’s evaluation may include a consideration of whether corrected and uncorrected misstatements communicated by component auditors indicate a systemic issue (e.g., with respect toregarding transactions subject to common accounting policies or common controls) that may affect other components.</p>
<p><i>Considerations When Component Auditors Are Involved</i></p> <p>51. If the group auditor concludes that the work of the component auditor is insufficient, the group auditor shall determine what additional audit procedures are to be performed, and whether they are to be performed by a component auditor or by the group auditor.</p>	
Auditor’s Report	
<p>52. The auditor’s report on the group financial statements shall not refer to a component auditor, unless required by law or regulation to include such reference. If such reference is required by law or regulation, the auditor’s report shall indicate that the reference does not diminish the group engagement partner’s or the group engagement partner’s firm’s responsibility for the group audit opinion. (Ref: Para. A117–A118)</p>	<p>Auditor’s Report (Ref: Para: 52)</p> <p>A117. Although component auditors may perform work on the financial information of the components for the group audit and as such are responsible for their overall findings or conclusions, the group engagement partner or the group engagement partner’s firm is responsible for the group audit opinion.</p> <p>A118. When the group audit opinion is modified because the group auditor was unable to obtain sufficient appropriate audit evidence in relation to the financial information of one or more components, the Basis for Qualified Opinion or Basis for Disclaimer of Opinion section in the auditor’s report on the group financial statements describes the</p>

ISA 600 Requirement	Application Material
	<p>reasons for that inability.⁹⁴ In some circumstances, a reference to a component auditor may be necessary to adequately describe the reasons for the modified opinion, e-g-for example, when the component auditor is unable to perform or complete the work requested on the component financial information due to circumstances beyond the control of component management.</p>
<p>Communication with Group Management and Those Charged with Governance of the Group</p>	
<p><i>Communication with Group Management</i></p> <p>53A. The group auditor shall communicate with group management an overview of the planned scope and timing of the audit, including the work to be performed at components of the group audit plan. (Ref: Para. A119A)</p>	<p>Communication with Group Management and Those Charged with Governance of the Group</p> <p><i>Communication with Group Management</i> (Ref: Para. 53A–55)</p> <p>A119A. The group audit plan may be complex due to the number and nature of the entities and business units comprising the group. In addition, as explained in paragraph A5, the group auditor may determine that certain entities or business units may be considered together as a component for purposes of planning and performing the group audit. Therefore, discussing with group management an overview of the group auditor plan may be helpful with respect to help in coordinating the work performed at components, including when component auditors are involved, and in identifying component management (see paragraph A15).</p>
<p>54. If fraud has been identified by the group auditor or brought to its attention by a component auditor (see paragraph 44(f)), or information indicates that a fraud may exist, the group auditor shall communicate this on a timely basis to the appropriate level of group management in order to inform those with primary responsibility for the prevention and detection of fraud of matters relevant to their responsibilities. (Ref. Para.</p>	<p>A120. ISA 240 contains requirements and guidance on communication of fraud to management and, where-when management may be involved in the fraud, to those charged with governance.⁹⁵</p>

⁹⁴ ISA 705 (Revised), paragraphs 20 and 24

⁹⁵ ISA 240, paragraphs 41–43

ISA 600 Requirement	Application Material
A120)	
<p>55. A component auditor may be required by statute, regulation or for another reason, to express an audit opinion on the financial statements of an entity or business unit that forms part of the group. In that case, the group auditor shall request group management to inform management of the entity or business unit of any matter of which the group auditor becomes aware that may be significant to the financial statements of the entity or business unit, but of which management of the entity or business unit may be unaware. If group management refuses to communicate the matter to management of the entity or business unit, the group auditor shall discuss the matter with those charged with governance of the group. If the matter remains unresolved, the group auditor, subject to legal and professional confidentiality considerations, shall consider whether to advise the component auditor not to issue the auditor's report on the financial statements of the entity or business unit until the matter is resolved. (Ref: Para. A121)</p>	<p>A121. Group management may need to keep certain material sensitive information confidential. Examples of matters that may be significant to the financial statements of the component of which component management may be unaware include the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Potential litigation. • Plans for abandonment of material operating assets. • Subsequent events. • Significant legal agreements.
<p><i>Communication with Those Charged with Governance of the Group</i></p> <p>56. The group auditor shall communicate the following matters with those charged with governance of the group, in addition to those required by ISA 260 (Revised)⁹⁶ and other ISAs: (Ref: Para. A122)</p>	<p><i>Communication with Those Charged with Governance of the Group</i> (Ref: Para. 56)</p> <p>A122. The matters the group auditor communicates to those charged with governance of the group may include those brought to the attention of the group auditor by component auditors that the group auditor judges to be significant to the responsibilities of those charged with governance of the group. Communication with those charged with governance of the group may take place at various times during the group audit. For</p>

⁹⁶ ISA 260 (Revised), *Communication with Those Charged with Governance*

ISA 600 Requirement	Application Material
<p>(a) An overview of the work to be performed at the components of the group and the nature of the group auditor’s planned involvement in the work to be performed by component auditors. (Ref: Para. A123)</p> <p>(b) Instances where <u>when</u> the group auditor’s review of the work of a component auditor gave rise to a concern about the quality of that component auditor’s work, and how the group auditor addressed the concern.</p> <p>(c) Any limitations on the scope of the group audit, for example, significant matters related to restrictions on access to people or information.</p> <p>(d) Fraud or suspected fraud involving group management, component management, employees who have significant roles in the group’s system of internal control or others where <u>when</u> the fraud resulted in a material misstatement of the group financial statements.</p>	<p>example, the matter referred to in paragraph 56(a) may be communicated after the group auditor has determined the work to be performed on the financial information of the components. On the other hand, the matter referred to in paragraph 56(b) may be communicated at the end of the audit, and the matters referred to in paragraph 56(c)–(d) may be communicated when they occur.</p> <p>A123. ISA 260 (Revised)⁹⁷ requires the auditor to communicate with those charged with governance an overview of the planned scope and timing of the audit. With respect to <u>For</u> a group audit, <u>this communication helps those charged with governance understand</u> the group auditor’s determination of the planned scope and approach is based on the understanding of the group and its environment in accordance with paragraph 24 of this ISA. This understanding helps the group auditor to determine components at which audit work will be performed, including whether certain of the group’s entities or business units may <u>will</u> be considered together as a component, and the nature, timing and extent to which <u>planned involvement of</u> component auditors are to be involved. <u>This communication also helps to enable a mutual understanding of and discussion about the group and its environment (see paragraph 24) and any areas in which those charged with governance may request the group auditor to undertake additional procedures.</u></p>
<p><i>Communication of Identified Deficiencies in Internal Control</i></p> <p>56A. In applying ISA 265,⁹⁸ the group auditor shall determine whether any identified deficiencies in the group’s system of internal control are required to be communicated to those charged with governance of the group and or group management. In making this determination, the</p>	<p><i>Communication of Identified Deficiencies in Internal Control (Ref: Para. 56A)</i></p> <p>A123A. In accordance with ISA 265, the group auditor is responsible for determining, on the basis of the audit work performed, whether one or more identified deficiencies, individually <u>individually</u> or in combination, constitute significant deficiencies.⁹⁹ The group auditor may request input from the component auditor as to whether an identified deficiency or combination of deficiencies at the component is a significant</p>

⁹⁷ ISA 260 (Revised), paragraph 15

⁹⁸ ISA 265, *Communicating Deficiencies in Internal Control to Those Charged with Governance and Management*

⁹⁹ ISA 265, paragraph 8

ISA 600 Requirement	Application Material
group auditor shall consider deficiencies in internal control that have been identified by component auditors and communicated to the group auditor in accordance with paragraph 44(e). (Ref: Para. A123A)	deficiency in internal control.
Documentation	
<p>57. In accordance with ISA 230, the audit documentation for a group audit engagement needs to be sufficient to enable an experienced auditor, having no previous connection with the audit, to understand the nature, timing and extent of audit procedures performed, the evidence obtained, and the conclusions reached with respect to significant matters arising during the group audit. In applying ISA 230,¹⁰⁰ the group auditor shall include in the audit documentation: (Ref: Para. A123B–A124x, A129–A130A)</p> <p>(a) Significant matters related to restrictions on access to people or information within the group that were considered before deciding to accept or continue the engagement, or that arose subsequent to acceptance or continuance, and how such matters were addressed.</p> <p>(b) The basis for the group auditor’s determination of components for purposes of planning and performing the group audit. (Ref: Para. A124y)</p> <p>(c) The basis for the determination of component performance materiality and the threshold for</p>	<p>Documentation (Ref: Para. 57)</p> <p>A123B. As explained in paragraph A6 of ISA 230, oOther ISAs contain specific documentation requirements that are intended to clarify the application of ISA 230 in the particular circumstances of those other ISAs. The Appendix to ISA 230 lists other ISAs that contain specific documentation requirements and guidance.</p> <p>A123C. The audit documentation for the group audit supports the group auditor’s evaluation in accordance with paragraph 49 as to whether sufficient appropriate audit evidence has been obtained on which to base the group audit opinion. <u>Also see paragraph A115B.</u></p> <p>A124. The audit documentation for the group audit comprises:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The documentation residing in the group auditor’s file; and • The separate documentation residing in the respective component auditor audit files relating to the work performed by the component auditors for purposes of the group audit (<u>i.e.</u>, component auditor documentation). <p>A124x. The final assembly and retention of the audit documentation for a group audit is subject to the policies or procedures of the group auditor’s firm in accordance with ISQM 1.¹⁰¹ The group auditor may provide specific instructions to component auditors regarding the assembly and retention of the documentation of work performed by them for purposes of the group audit.</p>

¹⁰⁰ ISA 230, paragraphs 1–3, 8–11, A6–A7 and Appendix

¹⁰¹ ISQM 1, paragraphs 31(f) and A83–A85

ISA 600 Requirement	Application Material
<p>communicating misstatements in <u>the</u> component financial information to the group auditor.</p> <p>(ca) The basis for the group auditor’s determination that component auditors have the appropriate competence and capabilities, including sufficient time, to perform the assigned audit procedures at the components. (Ref: Para. A124z)</p> <p>(cb) Key elements of the understanding of the group’s system of internal control in accordance with paragraph 24(c);</p> <p>(d) The nature, timing and extent of the group auditor’s direction and supervision of component auditors and the review of their work, including, as applicable, the group auditor’s review of parts of the component auditor’s audit documentation in accordance with paragraph 45A. (Ref: Para. A124D–A128)</p> <p>(e) Matters related to communications with component auditors, including:</p> <p>(i) Matters, if any, related to fraud, related parties or going concern communicated in accordance with paragraph 26A.</p> <p>(ii) Matters relevant to the group auditor’s conclusion with regard to the group audit, in accordance with paragraph 44, including how the group auditor has addressed significant matters discussed with component auditors, component</p>	<p><i>Basis for the Group Auditor’s Determination of Components</i> (Ref: Para: 57(b))</p> <p>A124y. Documentation of the basis for the group auditor’s determination of components may be evidenced in various ways, including through fulfillment <u>fulfilling of</u> the following requirements:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Paragraph 17A, which requires the group auditor to determine the components at which audit work will be performed, based on the group auditor’s understanding of the group’s organizational structure and information system. • Paragraph 26B, which requires the group auditor to take responsibility for the identification and assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the group financial statements. • Paragraph 56(a), which requires the group auditor to communicate with those charged with governance of the group an overview of the work to be performed at the components of the group and the nature of the group auditor’s planned involvement in the work to be performed by component auditors. <p><i>Basis for the Group Auditor’s Determination of the Competence and Capabilities of Component Auditors</i> (Ref: Para: 57(ca))</p> <p>A124z. ISQM 1 provides guidance regarding on matters that the firm’s policies or procedures that may address <u>regarding</u> the competence and capabilities of the engagement team <u>members</u>.¹⁰² Such policies or procedures also may describe or provide guidance about how to the <u>documentation of</u> the determination of the competence and capabilities of the engagement team, including component auditors. <u>For example, the confirmation obtained from the component auditor in accordance with paragraph 19 may include information about the component auditor’s relevant industry experience. The group auditor also may ask for confirmation that the component auditor has sufficient time to perform the assigned audit procedures (see paragraph A40B).</u></p>

¹⁰² ISQM 1, paragraph A96

ISA 600 Requirement	Application Material
<p>management or group management.</p> <p>(f) The group auditor’s evaluation of, and response to, findings or conclusions of the component auditors with respect toabout matters that could have a material effect on the group financial statements.</p>	<p><i>Documentation of the Direction and Supervision of Component Auditors and the Review of Their Work</i> (Ref: Para. 57(d))</p> <p>A124D. As described in paragraph A48A, the approach to direction, supervision and review in a group audit will be tailored by the group auditor based on the facts and circumstances of the engagement, and will generally include a combination of addressing the group auditor’s firm policies or procedures and responses specific to the group audit and addressing the group auditor’s firm policies or procedures. Such policies or procedures may also describe or provide guidance about the documentation of the group auditor’s direction and supervision of the engagement team and the review of their work.</p> <p>A125. ISA 300 requires the auditor to describe, in the audit plan, the nature, timing and extent of the planned direction and supervision of engagement team members and the review of their work. When component auditors are involved, the extent of such descriptions will often vary by component, recognizing that the planned nature, timing and extent of direction and supervision of component auditors, and review of their work, is influenced by the factors described in paragraphs A33E and A33F.</p> <p>A126. When component auditors are involved in the group audit, the group auditor’s documentation of its involvement in the work of component auditors may include, for example:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Required communications with component auditors, including instructions issued and other confirmations required by this ISA. • The rationale for the selection of visits to component auditor sites, attendees at meetings and the nature of the matters discussed. • Matters discussed in audioconferences or videoconferences with component auditors or component management. • The rationale for the group auditor’s determination of component auditor audit documentation selected for review. • Changes in the planned nature and extent of involvement with component

ISA 600 Requirement	Application Material
	<p>auditors, and the reasons why.</p> <p>A127. Paragraph 45A requires the group auditor to consider certain matters in determining <u>determine</u> whether, and the extent to which, it is necessary to review parts of the component auditor's audit documentation. Paragraphs <u>A112B-A113 provide guidance for the group auditor in making this determination</u>includes other factors that may affect the nature, timing and extent of the review of audit documentation of component auditors.</p> <p>A127A. Component auditor documentation generally ordinarily need not be replicated in the group auditor's audit file. However, depending on the facts and circumstances, the group auditor may decide to replicate, <u>summarize</u> or retain copies of certain component auditor documentation in the group auditor's audit file (e.g., for ease of accessibility). For example, the group auditor may determine that copies of certain component auditor documentation may be helpful to facilitate the review by the group engagement partner or engagement quality reviewer for the group audit, or to supplement the description of a particular matter in the communications from the component auditor, <u>including the matters required to be communicated by this ISA.</u> Examples of such component auditor documentation may include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A listing or summary of the significant judgments made by the component auditor, and the conclusions reached thereon, that are relevant to the group audit; • Matters that may need to be communicated to those charged with governance of the group; or • <u>_____</u> Matters that may be determined to be key audit matters to be communicated in the auditor's report on the group financial statements. <p>A127B. When required by law or regulation, certain component auditor documentation may need to be included in the group auditor's audit file, for example, to <u>respond to facilitate</u> the request of a regulatory authority to review documentation related to work performed by a component auditor.</p> <p>A128. Policies or procedures established by the firm in accordance with the firm's system of quality management, or resources provided by the firm or a network, may assist the</p>

ISA 600 Requirement	Application Material
	<p>group auditor in documenting the direction and supervision of component auditors and the review of their work. For example, an electronic audit tool may be used to facilitate communications between the group auditor and component auditors, –. The electronic audit tool and also may be used for audit documentation, including <u>providing evidence indicating the names</u> of the group auditor reviewer(s) and the date(s) and extent of the review.</p> <p><i>Additional Considerations When Access to Component Auditor Documentation is Restricted (Ref: Para. 57)</i></p> <p>A129. Audit documentation for a group audit may present some additional complexities or challenges in certain circumstances. This may be the case, for example, when law or regulation may restrict the component auditor from providing documentation outside of its jurisdiction, <u>or when war, civil unrest or outbreaks of disease restrict access to relevant component auditor documentation.</u></p> <p>A130. In these circumstances, the group auditor may be able to overcome the such restrictions <u>and, such as when the group auditor is able to review the component auditor documentation by visiting the component auditor’s location, or remotely when permitted by law or regulation (see paragraph A29), access the relevant component auditor documentation by one or more of the following:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>Visiting the location of the component;</u> • <u>Meeting with the component auditor in a location different from where the component auditor is located;</u> • <u>Reviewing the relevant audit documentation remotely through the use of technology, when not prohibited by law or regulation;</u> • <u>Requesting the component auditor to prepare and provide a memorandum that addresses the relevant information and holding discussions with the component auditor, if necessary, to discuss the contents of the memorandum;</u> <u>or</u> • <u>Discussing with the component auditor the procedures performed, the results</u>

ISA 600 Requirement	Application Material
	<p style="text-align: right;"><u>obtained and the conclusions reached by the component auditor.</u></p> <p><u>A130x.</u> In these circumstances, the group auditor’s documentation nonetheless needs to comply with the requirements of this ISA, including with respect<u>those relating</u> to the documentation of the nature, timing and extent of the group auditor’s direction and supervision of component auditors and the review of their work. The guidance in paragraph A113 may be helpful to the group auditor in determining the extent of the review of the component auditor documentation in these circumstances. The guidance in p<u>Paragraphs A127A and A127B provides</u> examples of circumstances in which certain component auditor documentation may be included in the group auditor’s audit file.</p> <p>A130A. If the group auditor is unable to obtain access to the component auditor documentation, the group auditor may need to consider whether a scope limitation exists that may require a modification to the opinion on the group financial statements. See paragraph A32.</p>

Appendix 1
(Ref: Para. 4, A8, A82)

Considerations Relating to the Involvement of Component Auditors in the Group Audit

1. ~~This appendix provides additional guidance about the matters that the group engagement team may consider in determining whether, and the extent to which, component auditors are to be involved in the group audit. When component auditors are involved, this ISA includes additional requirements and guidance relating to the group engagement team’s direction and supervision of component auditors, and the review of their work in accordance with proposed ISA 220 (Revised). However, as indicated in paragraph 6, the group engagement partner remains ultimately responsible, and therefore accountable, for compliance with the requirements of this ISA.~~
2. ~~Component auditors can be, and often are, involved in all phases of the group audit. The determination of whether, and the extent to which, component auditors are to be involved in the group audit engagement is a matter of professional judgment for the group engagement team and begins with the acceptance and continuance of the group audit engagement (see paragraph 13). A preliminary understanding of the group and its environment, including the matters described in paragraph 24 and expectations of the locations, functions or activities within the group at which audit evidence is to be obtained, provides a foundation for the group engagement partner’s determination that sufficient and appropriate resources to perform the group~~

~~audit engagement can be assigned. This determination relates to, for example:~~

- ~~• The nature of resources, such as the use of appropriately experienced engagement team members. For example, component auditors may have greater experience and a more in-depth knowledge of the components and their environments (including language, culture, business practices, and local laws and regulations) than the group engagement team;~~
- ~~• The amount and location of resources to allocate to specific audit areas. For example, the extent to which components are dispersed across multiple locations may impact the need to involve component auditors in specific locations; or~~
- ~~• Access arrangements. For example, when the group engagement team's access to a component in a particular jurisdiction is restricted, component auditors may need to be involved.~~

~~3. The determination of the involvement of component auditors is an iterative process. When the group engagement team makes a preliminary determination that component auditors will be involved in the group audit, the group engagement team obtains an understanding of component auditors to determine that they have the appropriate competence and capabilities (see paragraph 21). Paragraphs A41-A46 address the nature, timing and extent of the group engagement team's procedures to obtain an understanding of the competence and capabilities of component auditors. Such procedures are affected by factors described in paragraph A42, for example, previous experience with or knowledge of the component auditor, and the degree to which the group engagement team and the component auditor are subject to common policies and procedures.~~

~~4. The nature, timing and extent of the involvement of component auditors will vary depending on the circumstances of the engagement. The group engagement team may determine that it is appropriate to involve component auditors to assist the group engagement team in fulfilling its responsibilities with respect to the requirements in this ISA, including relating to some or all of the following:~~

- ~~• Understanding the group and its environment by designing and performing risk assessment procedures (see paragraph 25);~~
- ~~• Identifying and assessing the risks of material misstatement of the group financial statements (see paragraph 32); and~~
- ~~• Designing and performing further audit procedures (see paragraph 37).~~

~~5. For certain components, when obtaining an understanding of the group and its environment for a continuing group audit in accordance with paragraph 24 of this ISA, the group engagement team may decide that it has sufficient resources and experience and therefore does not need to assign the design and performance of risk assessment procedures in relation to a component to the component auditor. However, the group engagement team may still discuss with the component auditor whether there are any significant changes in the business or the system of internal control of the component that could have an effect on the risks of material misstatement of the group financial statements when the group engagement team intends to assign the design and performance of further audit procedures to the component auditor.~~

~~6. For some components, the group engagement team may determine that it is appropriate for the component auditor to be involved in all phases of the group audit regarding the work to be performed in relation to that component. (e.g., for a component for which the group engagement team does not have the same in-depth knowledge or experience as the component auditor with respect to the component's business activities). The component auditor's involvement may include:~~

- ~~Designing and performing risk assessment procedures to provide information relating to the component for purposes of identifying and assessing the risks of material misstatement of the group financial statements; and~~
 - ~~Designing and performing further audit procedures in response to the assessed risks of material misstatement of the group financial statements.~~
7. ~~For example, as described in paragraph A98, the group engagement team may determine that audit evidence needs to be obtained on all, or a significant proportion of, a component's financial information to respond to the assessed risks of material misstatement of the group financial statements. In such circumstances, a component auditor's greater experience and knowledge of the component's business may mean that the component auditor is better placed to design and perform the further audit procedures.~~

Appendix 2
(Ref: Para. A32)

Illustration of Independent Auditor's Report Where the Group ~~Engagement Team~~Auditor Is Not Able to Obtain Sufficient Appropriate Audit Evidence on Which to Base the Group Audit Opinion

For purposes of this illustrative auditor's report, the following circumstances are assumed:

- Audit of a complete set of consolidated financial statements of an entity other than a listed entity using a fair presentation framework. The audit is a group audit of an entity with subsidiaries (i.e., ISA 600 (Revised) applies).
- The consolidated financial statements are prepared by management of the entity in accordance with IFRSs (a general purpose framework).
- The terms of the audit engagement reflect the description of management's responsibility for the consolidated financial statements in ISA 210.
- The group ~~auditor~~engagement team is unable to obtain sufficient appropriate audit evidence relating to a component accounted for by the equity method (recognized at \$15 million in the statement of financial position, which reflects total assets of \$60 million) because the group ~~engagement team~~auditor did not have access to the accounting records, management, or auditor of the component.
- The group ~~engagement team~~auditor has read the audited financial statements of the component as at December 31, 20X1, including the auditor's report thereon, and considered related financial information kept by group management in relation to the component.
- In the group engagement partner's judgment, the effect on the group financial statements of this inability to obtain sufficient

appropriate audit evidence is material but not pervasive.¹⁰³

- The IESBA Code comprises all of the relevant ethical requirements that apply to the audit.
- Based on the audit evidence obtained, the auditor has concluded that a material uncertainty does not exist related to events or conditions that may cast significant doubt on the entity’s ability to continue as a going concern in accordance with ISA 570 (Revised).
- The auditor is not required, and has otherwise not decided, to communicate key audit matters in accordance with ISA 701.¹⁰⁴
- The auditor has obtained all of the other information prior to the date of the auditor's report and the qualified opinion on the consolidated financial statements also affects the other information.
- Those responsible for oversight of the consolidated financial statements differ from those responsible for the preparation of the consolidated financial statements.
- In addition to the audit of the consolidated financial statements, the auditor has other reporting responsibilities required under local law.

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR’S REPORT

To the Shareholders of ABC Company [or Other Appropriate Addressee]

Report on the Audit of the Consolidated Financial Statements¹⁰⁵

Qualified Opinion

We have audited the consolidated financial statements of ABC Company and its subsidiaries (the Group), which comprise the consolidated statement of financial position as at December 31, 20X1, and the consolidated statement of comprehensive income, consolidated statement of changes in equity and consolidated statement of cash flows for the year then ended, and notes to the consolidated financial statements, including a summary of significant accounting policies.

In our opinion, except for the possible effects of the matter described in the Basis for Qualified Opinion section of our report, the accompanying consolidated financial statements present fairly, in all material respects (or give a true and fair view of), the consolidated financial position of the Group as at December 31, 20X1, and (of) ~~its~~ consolidated financial performance and ~~its~~ consolidated cash flows for the year then ended in accordance with International

¹⁰³ If, in the group engagement partner’s judgment, the effect on the group financial statements of the inability to obtain sufficient appropriate audit evidence is material and pervasive, the group engagement partner would disclaim an opinion in accordance with ISA 705 (Revised).

¹⁰⁴ ISA 701, *Communicating Key Audit Matters in the Independent Auditor’s Report*

¹⁰⁵ The sub-title, “Report on the Audit of the Consolidated Financial Statements” is unnecessary in circumstances when the second sub-title, “Report on Other Legal and Regulatory Requirements” is not applicable.

Financial Reporting Standards (IFRSs).

Basis for Qualified Opinion

ABC Company's investment in XYZ Company, a foreign associate acquired during the year and accounted for by the equity method, is carried at \$15 million on the consolidated statement of financial position as at December 31, 20X1, and ABC's share of XYZ's net income of \$1 million is included in the consolidated statement of comprehensive income for the year then ended. We were unable to obtain sufficient appropriate audit evidence about the carrying amount of ABC's investment in XYZ as at December 31, 20X1 and ABC's share of XYZ's net income for the year because we were denied access to the financial information, management, and the auditors of XYZ. Consequently, we were unable to determine whether any adjustments to these amounts were necessary. We conducted our audit in accordance with International Standards on Auditing (ISAs). Our responsibilities under those standards are further described in the *Auditor's Responsibilities for the Audit of the Consolidated Financial Statements* section of our report. We are independent of the Group in accordance with the International Ethics Standards Board for Accountants' International *Code of Ethics for Professional Accountants (including International Independence Standards)* (IESBA Code), and we have fulfilled our other ethical responsibilities in accordance with the IESBA Code. We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our qualified audit opinion.

Other Information [or another title if appropriate such as "Information Other than the Financial Statements and Auditor's Report Thereon"]

[Reporting in accordance with the reporting requirements in ISA 720 (Revised)¹⁰⁶ – see Illustration 6 in Appendix 2 of ISA 720 (Revised). The last paragraph of the other information section in Illustration 6 would be customized to describe the specific matter giving rise to the qualified opinion that also affects the other information.]

Responsibilities of Management and Those Charged with Governance for the Consolidated Financial Statements¹⁰⁷

[Reporting in accordance with ISA 700 (Revised)¹⁰⁸ – see Illustration 2 in ISA 700 (Revised).]

Auditor's Responsibilities for the Audit of the Consolidated Financial Statements

[Reporting in accordance with ISA 700 (Revised) – see Illustration 2 in ISA 700 (Revised). The last two paragraphs which are applicable for audits of listed entities only would not be included.]

Report on Other Legal and Regulatory Requirements

¹⁰⁶ ISA 720 (Revised), *The Auditor's Responsibilities Relating to Other Information*

¹⁰⁷ Throughout these illustrative auditor's reports, the terms management and those charged with governance may need to be replaced by another term that is appropriate in the context of the legal framework in the particular jurisdiction.

¹⁰⁸ ISA 700 (Revised), *Forming an Opinion and Reporting on Financial Statements*

[Reporting in accordance with ISA 700 (Revised) – see Illustration 2 in ISA 700 (Revised).]

[Signature in the name of the audit firm, the personal name of the auditor, or both, as appropriate for the particular jurisdiction]

[Auditor Address]

[Date]

If, in the group engagement partner's judgment, the effect on the group financial statements of the inability to obtain sufficient appropriate audit evidence is material and pervasive, the group engagement partner would disclaim an opinion in accordance with ISA 705 (Revised).

Appendix 3
(Ref: Para. A53)

Understanding the Group's System of Internal Control

1. This appendix provides examples of matters related to internal controls that may be helpful in obtaining an understanding of the ~~group's~~ system of internal control in the context of a group environment, and expands on how ISA 315 (Revised 2019) is to be applied in relation to an audit of group financial statements.¹⁰⁹ The examples may not be relevant to every group audit engagement and the list of examples is not necessarily complete.

Control Environment

2. The group ~~engagement team~~ auditor's understanding of the control environment may include matters such as the following:
 - The structure of the governance and management functions across the group, and group management's oversight responsibilities, including arrangements for assigning authority and responsibility to management of entities or business units in the group.
 - How oversight over the group's system of internal control by, those charged with governance is structured and organized.
 - How ethical and behavioral standards are communicated and reinforced in practice across the group, (e.g., group-wide programs, such as codes of conduct and fraud prevention programs).
 - The consistency of policies and procedures across the group, including a group financial reporting procedures manual.

The Group's Risk Assessment Process

3. The group ~~auditor~~ engagement team's understanding of the group's risk assessment process may include matters such as group management's risk assessment process, that is, the process for identifying, analyzing and managing business risks, including the risk of fraud, that may result in material

¹⁰⁹ ISA 315 (Revised 2019), Appendix 3

misstatement of the group financial statements. It may also include an understanding of how sophisticated the group's risk assessment process is and the involvement of entities and business units in this process.

The Group's Process to Monitor the System of Internal Control

4. The group ~~engagement team~~auditor's understanding of the group's process to monitor the system of internal control may include matters such as monitoring of controls, including how the controls are monitored across the group and, where relevant, activities of the internal audit function across the group. The group's internal audit function, including its nature, responsibilities and activities in respect of monitoring of controls at entities or business units in the group. ISA 610 (Revised 2013)¹¹⁰ deals with the group ~~engagement team~~auditor's evaluation of whether the internal audit function's organizational status and relevant policies and procedures adequately supports the objectivity of internal auditors, the level of competence of the internal audit function, and whether the function applies a systematic and disciplined approach where the group audit team expects to use the function's work.

The Information System and Communication

5. The group ~~engagement team~~auditor's understanding of the group's information system and communication may include matters such as the following:
- Group management's monitoring of operations and the financial results of entities or business units in the group, including regular reporting routines, which enables group management to monitor performance against budgets, and to take appropriate action.
 - Monitoring, controlling, reconciling, and eliminating intra-group transactions and unrealized profits, and intra-group account balances at group level.
 - A process for monitoring the timeliness and ~~evaluating~~assessing the accuracy and completeness of financial information received from entities or business units in the group.

Consolidation Process

6. The group ~~auditor~~engagement team's understanding of the consolidation process may include matters such as the following:
- Matters relating to the applicable financial reporting framework:
- The extent to which management of entities or business units in the group have an understanding of the applicable financial reporting framework.
 - The process for identifying and accounting for entities or business units in the group in accordance with the applicable financial reporting framework.
 - The process for identifying reportable segments for segment reporting in accordance with the applicable financial reporting framework.
 - The process for identifying related party relationships and related party transactions for reporting in accordance with the applicable financial

¹¹⁰ ISA 610 (Revised 2013), *Using the Work of Internal Auditors*, paragraph 15

reporting framework.

- The accounting policies applied to the group financial statements, changes from those of the previous financial year, and changes resulting from new or revised standards under the applicable financial reporting framework.
- The procedures for dealing with entities or business units in the group with financial year-ends different from the group's year-end.

Matters relating to the consolidation process:

- Group management's process for obtaining an understanding of the accounting policies used by entities or business units in the group, and, where applicable, ensuring that uniform accounting policies are used to prepare the financial information of the entities or business units in the group for the group financial statements, and that differences in accounting policies are identified, and adjusted where required in terms of the applicable financial reporting framework. Uniform accounting policies are the specific principles, bases, conventions, rules, and practices adopted by the group, based on the applicable financial reporting framework, that the entities or business units in the group use to report similar transactions consistently. These policies are ordinarily described in the financial reporting procedures manual and reporting package issued by group management.
- Group management's process for ensuring complete, accurate and timely financial reporting by the entities or business units in the group for the consolidation.
- The process for translating the financial information of foreign entities or business units in the group into the currency of the group financial statements.
- How the group's IT environment is organized for the consolidation and the policies that define the flows of information in the consolidation process, including the IT applications involved.
- Group management's process for obtaining information on subsequent events.

Matters relating to consolidation adjustments and reclassifications:

- The process for recording consolidation adjustments, including the preparation, authorization and processing of related journal entries, and the experience of personnel responsible for the consolidation.
- The consolidation adjustments required by the applicable financial reporting framework.
- The business rationale for the events and transactions that gave rise to the consolidation adjustments.
- Frequency, nature and size of transactions between entities or business units in the group.
- The procedures for monitoring, controlling, reconciling and eliminating intra-group transactions and unrealized profits, and intra-group account balances.
- Steps taken to arrive at the fair value of acquired assets and liabilities, procedures for amortizing goodwill (where applicable), and impairment testing of goodwill, in accordance with the applicable financial reporting framework.
- Arrangements with a majority owner or minority interests regarding losses incurred by an entity or business unit in the group (e.g., an obligation

of the minority interest to make good such losses).

Control Activities

7. The group ~~auditor engagement team~~'s understanding of the control activities component may include matters such as the following:
- The extent of centralization in the group's IT environment and the commonality of IT applications, IT processes and IT infrastructure.
 - The commonality of information processing controls and general IT controls for all or part of the group.
 - The extent of the commonality of the design of controls for all or part of the group that address risks of material misstatement of the group financial statements at the assertion level.
 - The extent to which commonly designed controls have been implemented consistently for all or part of the group.

Appendix 4

(Ref: Para. A81)

Examples of Events or Conditions that May Give Rise to Risks of Material Misstatement of the Group Financial Statements

The following are examples of events (including transactions) and conditions that may indicate the existence of risks of material misstatement in the group financial statements, at the financial statement level or the assertion level, including with respect to the consolidation process. The examples provided by inherent risk factor cover a broad range of events and conditions; however, not all events and conditions are relevant to every group audit engagement and the list of examples is not exhaustive. The events and conditions have been categorized by the inherent risk factor that may have the greatest effect in the circumstances. Importantly, due to the interrelationships among inherent risk factors, the example events and conditions also are likely to be subject to, or affected by, other inherent risk factors to varying degree. Also see ISA 315 (Revised 2019), Appendix 2.

Inherent Risk Factor	Examples of Events or Conditions that May Give Rise to the Existence of Risks of Material Misstatement of the Group Financial Statements at the Assertion Level:
Complexity	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The existence of complex transactions that are accounted for in more than one entity or business units in the group. • The application of accounting policies by entities or business units in the group that differ from those applied to the group financial statements. • Accounting measurements or disclosures that involve complex processes used by entities or business units in the group such as accounting for complex financial instruments. • Operations that are subject to a high degree of complex regulation in multiple jurisdictions, or entities or business units in the group that operate in multiple industries that are subject to different types of regulation.
Subjectivity	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Judgments regarding which entities or business units in the group require incorporation of their financial information in the group financial statements in accordance with the applicable financial reporting framework, for example, whether any special-purpose entities or non-trading entities exist and require incorporation. • Judgments regarding the correct application of the requirements of the applicable financial reporting framework by entities or business units in the group.
Change	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Frequent acquisitions, disposals or reorganizations.

<p>Uncertainty</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Entities or business units in the group operating in foreign jurisdictions that may be exposed to factors such as <u>unexpected</u>unusual government intervention in areas such as trade and fiscal policy, and restrictions on currency and dividend movements; and fluctuations in exchange rates.
<p>Susceptibility to Misstatement Due to Management Bias or Other Fraud Risk Factors Insofar as They Affect Inherent Risk</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Unusual related party relationships and transactions. • Entities or business units in the group with different financial year-ends, which may be utilized to manipulate the timing of transactions. • Prior occurrences of unauthorized or incomplete consolidation adjustments. • Aggressive tax planning within the group, or large cash transactions with entities in tax havens. • <u>Prior occurrences of intra-group account balances that did not balance or reconcile on consolidation.</u> • <u>Large or unusual cash transfers within the group, particularly to newly incorporated entities or business units operating in locations with a significant or heightened fraud risk</u>

Indicators that the control environment, the group’s risk assessment process or the group’s process to monitor the group’s system of internal control are not appropriate to the group’s circumstances, considering the nature and complexity of the group, and do not provide an appropriate foundation for the other components of the group’s system of internal control, include:

- Poor corporate governance structures, including decision-making processes that are not transparent.
- Non-existent or ineffective controls over the group’s financial reporting process, including inadequate group management information on monitoring of operations and financial results of entities or business units in the group.