

PROPOSED INTERNATIONAL STANDARD ON AUDITING ISA 500**(REDRAFTED)****AUDIT EVIDENCE**(Effective for audits of financial statements for periods beginning on or after [date])^{*}**CONTENTS**

	Paragraph
Introduction	
Scope of this ISA	1-7
Effective Date	8
Objective	9
Definitions	10
Requirements	
Information to be Used as Audit Evidence	11-12
Selecting Items for Testing to Obtain Audit Evidence.....	13
Inconsistency in, or Doubts Over Reliability of, Audit Evidence.....	14
Application and Other Explanatory Material	
Sources of Audit Evidence.....	A1-A3
Audit Procedures for Obtaining Audit Evidence	A4-A19
Information to be Used as Audit Evidence	A20-A30
Selecting Items for Testing to Obtain Audit Evidence.....	A31-A34
Inconsistency in, or Doubts Over Reliability of, Audit Evidence.....	A35

^{*} See footnote 1.

Introduction

Scope of this ISA

1. This International Standard on Auditing (ISA) deals with what constitutes audit evidence in an audit of financial statements, the auditor's responsibility to obtain information that is capable of providing sufficient appropriate audit evidence, and the types of audit procedures that auditors use for obtaining that audit evidence.
2. This ISA is applicable to all the audit evidence obtained during the course of the audit. Other ISAs deal with specific aspects of the audit, the audit evidence to be obtained, the procedures to be performed in obtaining audit evidence, and the evaluation of whether sufficient appropriate evidence has been obtained.

Audit Evidence

3. Audit evidence is all the information used by the auditor in arriving at the conclusions on which the audit opinion is based. Audit evidence is necessary to support that opinion and the auditor's report. It is cumulative in nature and is primarily obtained from audit procedures performed during the course of the audit. It may, however, also include information obtained from, e.g., previous audits and a firm's quality control procedures for client acceptance and continuance. The entity's accounting records are an important source of audit evidence along with other sources inside and outside the entity. Audit evidence comprises both information that supports and corroborates management's assertions, and any information that contradicts such assertions. (Ref: Para. A1-A3)
4. Most of the auditor's work in forming the audit opinion consists of obtaining and evaluating audit evidence. Audit procedures to obtain audit evidence can include inspection, observation, confirmation, recalculation, reperformance and analytical procedures and inquiry, often in some combination. Although inquiry may provide important audit evidence, inquiry alone ordinarily does not provide sufficient audit evidence to detect a material misstatement at the assertion level, nor of the operating effectiveness of controls. (Ref: Para. A4-A19)
5. As explained in [proposed] ISA 200 (Revised and Redrafted), "Overall Objective of the Independent Auditor, and Concepts Relevant to an Audit of Financial Statements," reasonable assurance is obtained when the auditor has reduced audit risk to an acceptably low level by obtaining sufficient appropriate audit evidence. Sufficiency is the measure of the quantity of audit evidence. The quantity of audit evidence needed is affected by the risks of misstatement (the higher the risks, the more audit evidence is likely to be required) and also by the quality of such audit evidence (the higher the quality, the less may be required). Obtaining more audit evidence, however, may not compensate for its poor quality.
6. Appropriateness is the measure of the quality of audit evidence; that is, its relevance and its reliability in providing support for, or detecting misstatements in, the financial statements. The reliability of evidence is influenced by its source and by its nature, and is dependent on the individual circumstances under which it is obtained.
7. The sufficiency and appropriateness of audit evidence are interrelated. Whether sufficient appropriate audit evidence has been obtained to reduce audit risk to an acceptably low

level, and thereby enable the auditor to draw reasonable conclusions on which to base the audit opinion, is a matter for the auditor to determine using professional judgment.

Effective Date

- ~~38.~~ This ISA is effective for audits of financial statements for periods beginning on or after [date].¹

Objective

- ~~49.~~ The objective of the auditor is to ~~obtain information that is~~ design and perform audit procedures capable of providing sufficient appropriate audit evidence ~~for the purposes of drawing conclusions.~~

Definitions

- ~~510.~~ For purposes of the ISAs, the following terms have the meanings attributed below:

- (a) Accounting records – The records of initial accounting entries and supporting records, such as checks and records of electronic fund transfers; invoices; contracts; the general and subsidiary ledgers, journal entries and other adjustments to the financial statements that are not reflected in formal journal entries; and records such as work sheets and spreadsheets supporting cost allocations, computations, reconciliations and disclosures.
- (b) ~~-~~Audit evidence – All the information used by the auditor in arriving at the conclusions on which the audit opinion is based. Audit evidence includes both information contained in the accounting records underlying the financial statements and other information.
- (c) Sufficiency – The measure of the quantity of audit evidence.
- (d) Appropriateness – The measure of the quality of audit evidence, that is, its relevance and its reliability in providing support for, or detecting misstatements in the financial statements, classes of transactions, account balances, and disclosures, and related assertions. (Ref: Para. A1-A2)

Requirements

- ~~6.~~ In order to obtain sufficient appropriate audit evidence, the auditor shall perform audit procedures in addition to the use of inquiry. Although inquiry provides audit evidence, inquiry alone ordinarily does not provide sufficient audit evidence to detect a material misstatement at the assertion level, nor of the operating effectiveness of controls. (Ref: Para. A3-A18)
- ~~7.~~ Although the entity's accounting records provide audit evidence, the auditor shall also obtain audit evidence from other sources on which to base the audit opinion. (Ref: Para. A19-A24)

Considering the Reliability of Information to be Used as Audit Evidence

- ~~811.~~ When designing audit procedures ~~The~~ auditor shall consider the objective of the procedures in determining the relevance and reliability of the information to be used as audit evidence. (Ref: Para. ~~A22-A23~~ A20-A27)

¹ This date will not be earlier than December 15, 2008.

~~912.~~ When information ~~produced by the entity is~~ used by the auditor for purposes of the audit ~~was produced by the entity~~, the auditor shall ~~(a) obtain evaluate whether the information is sufficiently reliable for the auditor's purposes, including as necessary in the circumstances:~~

- ~~(a) Obtaining~~ audit evidence about the accuracy and completeness of the information, including;
- ~~(b) Ceonsid~~ing~~eration of~~ controls over ~~its~~~~the~~ information's preparation and maintenance where relevant; and
- ~~(bc) e~~Evaluate~~ing~~ whether the information is sufficiently precise or detailed for the auditor's purposes. (Ref: Para. ~~A24-A26~~~~A28-A30~~)

Selecting Items for Testing to Obtain Audit Evidence

~~13.~~ When designing tests of controls and tests of details, the auditor shall determine means of selecting items for testing that are effective in meeting the objectives of the audit procedure. The means available to the auditor are:

- ~~(a) Selecting all items (100% examination);~~
- ~~(b) Selecting specific items; and~~
- ~~(c) Audit sampling. (Ref: Para. A31-A34)~~

Inconsistency in, or Doubts Over Reliability of, Audit Evidence

~~1014.~~ When audit evidence obtained from one source is inconsistent with that obtained from another, or the auditor has doubts over the reliability of information to be used as audit evidence, the auditor shall determine what modifications to, or additional audit procedures are necessary to resolve the matter. (Ref: Para. ~~A27~~~~A35~~)

Application and Other Explanatory Material

Relevance and Reliability (Ref: Para. 5 (d))

~~A1. Audit evidence is appropriate if it is both relevant and reliable in providing support for, or detecting misstatements in, classes of transactions, account balances, and disclosures, and related assertions.~~

Sources of Audit Evidence (Ref: Para. ~~7~~~~3~~)

A19. Management is responsible for the preparation of the financial statements based upon the accounting records of the entity. Some audit evidence is obtained by performing audit procedures to test the accounting records, e.g., through analysis and review, reperforming procedures followed in the financial reporting process, and reconciling related types and applications of the same information. Through the performance of such audit procedures, the auditor may determine that the accounting records are internally consistent and agree to the financial statements.

A20. ~~Accounting records alone, however, do not provide sufficient appropriate audit evidence on which to base an audit opinion on the financial statements.~~ More assurance is obtained from consistent audit evidence obtained from different sources or of a different nature

than from items of audit evidence considered individually. For example, corroborating information obtained from a source independent of the entity may increase the assurance the auditor obtains from evidence existing within the accounting records or from a management representation.

~~A21~~A3. Information from sources independent of the entity that the auditor may use as audit evidence may include, ~~but is not limited to:~~

- ~~Information produced by the entity, for example from the entity's information system.~~
- ~~Minutes of meetings.~~
- ~~Confirmations from third parties.~~
- ~~Analysts' reports, and.~~
- Comparable data about competitors (benchmarking data).
- ~~Controls manuals.~~
- ~~Information obtained by the auditor from such audit procedures as inquiry, observation, and inspection.~~
- ~~Other information developed by, or available to, the auditor that permits the auditor to reach conclusions through valid reasoning.~~

Audit Procedures for Obtaining Audit Evidence (Ref: Para. ~~64~~)

~~A3~~A4. As required by, and explained further in, ISA 315 (Redrafted), “Identifying and Assessing the Risks of Material Misstatement Through Understanding the Entity and Its Environment” and ISA 330 (Redrafted), “The Auditor’s Procedures in Response to Assessed Risks,” audit evidence to draw reasonable conclusions on which to base the audit opinion is obtained by performing:

- (a) Risk assessment procedures; and
- (b) Further audit procedures, which comprise:
 - (i) Test of controls, when necessary or when the auditor has determined to do so;
and
 - (~~ei~~) Substantive procedures, including tests of details and substantive analytical procedures.

~~for material classes of transactions, account balances, and disclosures.~~

~~A4~~A5. The audit procedures described in paragraphs ~~A87-A194~~87-198 below may be used as risk assessment procedures, tests of controls or substantive procedures, depending on the context in which they are applied by the auditor. ~~In certain circumstances, As explained in ISA 330 (Redrafted),~~ audit evidence obtained from previous audits may, in certain circumstances, provide appropriate audit evidence where the auditor performs audit procedures to establish its continuing relevance.

~~A5~~A6. The nature and timing of the audit procedures to be used may be affected by the fact that some of the accounting data and other information may be available only in electronic form or only at certain points or periods in time. For example, source documents, such as purchase orders, and invoices may exist only in electronic form when

an entity uses electronic commerce, or may be discarded after scanning when an entity uses image processing systems to facilitate storage and reference. When the information is in electronic form, the auditor may carry out certain of the audit procedures described below through computer assisted audit techniques (CAATs).

- A6A7. Certain electronic information may not be retrievable after a specified period of time, e.g., if files are changed and if backup files do not exist. Accordingly, an entity's data retention policies may require the auditor to request retention of some information for the auditor's review or to perform audit procedures at a time when the information is available.

Inspection

- A7A8. Inspection involves examining records or documents, whether internal or external, in paper form, electronic form, or other media, or a physical examination of an asset. Inspection of records and documents provides audit evidence of varying degrees of reliability, depending on their nature and source and, in the case of internal records and documents, on the effectiveness of the controls over their production. An example of inspection used as a test of controls is inspection of records for evidence of authorization.

- A8A9. Some documents represent direct audit evidence of the existence of an asset, for example, a document constituting a financial instrument such as a stock or bond. Inspection of such documents may not necessarily provide audit evidence about ownership or value. In addition, inspecting an executed contract may provide audit evidence relevant to the entity's application of accounting policies, such as revenue recognition.

- A9A10. Inspection of tangible assets may provide reliable audit evidence with respect to their existence, but not necessarily about the entity's rights and obligations or the valuation of the assets. Inspection of individual inventory items ordinarily accompanies the observation of inventory counting.

Observation

- A10A11. Observation consists of looking at a process or procedure being performed by others, e.g., the auditor's observation of inventory counting by the entity's personnel, or of the performance of control activities. Observation provides audit evidence about the performance of a process or procedure, but is limited to the point in time at which the observation takes place, and by the fact that the act of being observed may affect how the process or procedure is performed. See ISA 501, "Audit Evidence—Additional Considerations for Specific Items" for further guidance on observation of the counting of inventory.

Inquiry

- A11A12. Inquiry consists of seeking information of knowledgeable persons, both financial and non-financial, throughout the entity or outside the entity. Inquiry is used extensively throughout the audit as a complement to other audit procedures. Inquiries may range from formal written inquiries to informal oral inquiries. Evaluating responses to inquiries is an integral part of the inquiry process.

- A12A13. Responses to inquiries may provide the auditor with information not previously possessed or with corroborative audit evidence. Alternatively, responses might provide

information that differs significantly from other information that the auditor has obtained, e.g., information regarding the possibility of management override of controls. In some cases, responses to inquiries provide a basis for the auditor to modify or perform additional audit procedures.

A13A14. Although corroboration of evidence obtained through inquiry is often of particular importance, in the case of inquiries about management intent, the information available to support management's intent may be limited. In these cases, understanding management's past history of carrying out its stated intentions with respect to assets or liabilities, management's stated reasons for choosing a particular course of action, and management's ability to pursue a specific course of action may provide relevant information about management's intent.

A14A15. In respect of some matters, the auditor is required to obtain written representations from management to confirm responses to oral inquiries. See [\[proposed\] ISA 580 \(Revised and Redrafted\)](#), "~~Written Management~~ Representations" for further guidance.

Confirmation

A15A16. Confirmation is a specific type of inquiry that is the process of obtaining a representation of information or of an existing condition directly from a third party. Confirmations are frequently used in relation to account balances and their components. For example, the auditor may seek direct confirmation of receivables by communication with debtors. However, confirmations need not be restricted to these items. For example, the auditor may request confirmation of the terms of agreements or transactions an entity has with third parties; the confirmation request is designed to ask if any modifications have been made to the agreement and, if so, what the relevant details are. Confirmations also are used to obtain audit evidence about the absence of certain conditions, e.g., the absence of a "side agreement" that may influence revenue recognition. See ISA 505, "External Confirmations" for further guidance.

Recalculation

A16A17. Recalculation consists of checking the mathematical accuracy of documents or records. Recalculation can be performed manually, or through the use of CAATs. ~~Recalculation may be performed~~, e.g., by obtaining an electronic file from the entity and using CAATs to check the accuracy of the summarization of the file.

Reperformance

A17A18. Reperformance involves the auditor's independent execution of procedures or controls that were originally performed as part of the entity's internal control. Reperformance may include, e.g., reperforming the aging of accounts receivable either manually or through the use of CAATs.

Analytical Procedures

A18A19. Analytical procedures consist of evaluations of financial information made by a study of plausible relationships among both financial and non-financial data. Analytical procedures also encompass the investigation of identified fluctuations and relationships that are inconsistent with other relevant information or deviate significantly from predicted amounts. See ISA 520, "Analytical Procedures" for further guidance.

Information to be Used as Audit Evidence

Relevance and Reliability (Ref: Para. 11)

A20. As noted in paragraph 3, while audit evidence is primarily obtained from audit procedures performed during the course of the audit, it may also include information obtained from other sources such as, e.g., previous audits, and a firm's quality control procedures for client acceptance and continuance. The quality of all audit evidence is affected by the relevance and reliability of the information upon which it is based.

Relevance

A21. Relevance deals with the logical connection with, or bearing upon, the objective of the audit procedure and, where appropriate, the assertion under consideration. Considering the relevance of information to be used as audit evidence includes considering the direction of testing. For example, if the objective of an audit procedure is to test for overstatement of accounts payable, testing the recorded accounts payable may be appropriate. On the other hand, when testing for understatement of accounts payable, testing the recorded accounts payable is not appropriate but testing such information as subsequent disbursements, unpaid invoices, suppliers' statements, and unmatched receiving reports may be appropriate.

A22. A given set of audit procedures may provide audit evidence that is relevant to certain assertions, but not others. For example, inspection of documents related to the collection of receivables after the period end may provide audit evidence regarding existence and valuation, but not necessarily cutoff. On the other hand, the auditor often obtains audit evidence from different sources or of a different nature that is relevant to the same assertion.

Considering the Reliability of Audit Evidence (Ref: Para. 8-9)

~~A22~~A23. Due to the fact that the reliability of information to be used as audit evidence, and therefore of the audit evidence itself, is influenced by its source and its nature, and the circumstances under which it is obtained, including the controls over its preparation and maintenance where relevant, generalizations about the reliability of various kinds of audit evidence are subject to important exceptions. Even when information to be used as audit evidence is obtained from sources external to the entity, circumstances may exist that could affect theits reliability ~~of the information obtained~~. For example, ~~audit evidence~~information obtained from an independent external source may not be reliable if the source is not knowledgeable. While recognizing that exceptions may exist, the following generalizations about the reliability of audit evidence may be useful:

- Audit evidence is more reliable when it is obtained from independent sources outside the entity.
- Audit evidence that is generated internally is more reliable when the related controls, including those over their preparation and maintenance, imposed by the entity are effective.
- Audit evidence obtained directly by the auditor (e.g., observation of the application of a control) is more reliable than audit evidence obtained indirectly or by inference (e.g., inquiry about the application of a control).

- Audit evidence is more reliable when it exists in documentary form, whether paper, electronic, or other medium (e.g., a contemporaneously written record of a meeting is more reliable than a subsequent oral representation of the matters discussed).
- Audit evidence provided by original documents is more reliable than audit evidence provided by photocopies or facsimiles, or documents that have been filmed, digitized or otherwise transformed into electronic form, the reliability of which may depend on the controls over their preparation and maintenance.

~~A23.~~A24. The auditor's consideration of the reliability of audit evidence rarely involves the authentication of documentation. ISA 240 ~~(Redrafted)~~, ["The Auditor's Responsibilities Relating to Fraud in an Audit of Financial Statements,"](#) deals with circumstances where the auditor has reason to believe that a document may not be authentic, or may have been modified without that modification having been disclosed to the auditor.

A25. Test of controls are designed to evaluate the operating effectiveness of controls in preventing, or detecting and correcting, material misstatements at the assertion level. Designing tests of controls to obtain relevant audit evidence includes identifying conditions (characteristics or attributes) that indicate performance of a control, and deviation conditions which indicate departures from adequate performance. The presence or absence of those conditions can then be tested by the auditor.

A26. Substantive procedures are designed to detect material misstatements at the assertion level. They comprise tests of details and substantive analytical procedures. Designing substantive procedures includes identifying conditions relevant to the objective of the test that constitute a misstatement in the relevant assertion.

A27. When designing tests of controls and tests of details, the auditor may need to make an assessment of the expected rate of deviation or expected misstatement in the population to be tested.

Information Produced by the Entity and Used for Audit Purposes [\(Ref: Para. 12\)](#)

~~A24.~~A28. In order for the auditor to obtain reliable audit evidence, information produced by the entity that is used for performing audit procedures needs to be sufficiently complete and accurate. For example, the effectiveness of auditing revenue by applying standard prices to records of sales volume is affected by the accuracy of the price information and the completeness and accuracy of the sales volume data. Similarly, if the auditor intends to test a population (e.g., payments) for a certain characteristic (e.g., authorization), the results of the test will be less reliable if the population from which items are selected for testing is not complete.

~~A25.~~A29. Obtaining audit evidence about the accuracy and completeness of such information may be performed concurrently with the actual audit procedure applied to the information when obtaining such audit evidence is an integral part of the audit procedure itself. In other situations, the auditor may have obtained audit evidence of the accuracy and completeness of such information by testing controls over the production and maintenance of the information. In some situations, however, the auditor may determine that additional audit procedures are needed e.g., by using CAATs to recalculate the information.

~~A26.~~A30. In some cases, the auditor may intend to use information produced by the entity for other audit purposes. For example, the auditor may intend to make use of the entity's

performance measures for the purpose of analytical procedures, or to make use of the entity's information produced for monitoring activities, such as internal auditor's reports. In such cases, the appropriateness of the audit evidence obtained is affected by whether the information is sufficiently precise or detailed for the auditor's purposes. For example performance measures used by management may not be precise enough to detect material misstatements.

Selecting Items for Testing to Obtain Audit Evidence (Ref: Para. 13)

A31. An effective test provides appropriate audit evidence to an extent that, taken with other audit evidence obtained or to be obtained, will be sufficient for the auditor's purpose. In selecting items for testing, the auditor is required by paragraph 11 to determine the relevance and reliability of information to be used as audit evidence; the other aspect of effectiveness (sufficiency) is an important consideration in selecting items to test. The application of any one or combination of the means of selecting items for testing identified in paragraph 13 may be appropriate depending on the particular circumstances, e.g., the risks of material misstatement related to the assertion being tested, and the practicality and efficiency of the different means.

Selecting All Items

A32. The auditor may decide that it will be most appropriate to examine the entire population of items that make up a class of transactions or account balance (or a stratum within that population). 100% examination is unlikely in the case of tests of controls; however, it is more common for tests of details. 100% examination may be appropriate when, e.g.,:

- The population constitutes a small number of large value items;
- There is a significant risk and other means do not provide sufficient appropriate audit evidence; or
- The repetitive nature of a calculation or other process performed automatically by an information system makes a 100% examination cost effective. In this circumstance the use of CAATs may be appropriate.

Selecting Specific Items

A33. The auditor may decide to select specific items from a population. In making this decision, factors that may be relevant include the auditor's understanding of the entity, the assessed risks of material misstatement, and the characteristics of the population being tested. The judgmental selection of specific items is subject to non-sampling risk. Specific items selected may include:

- High value or key items. The auditor may decide to select specific items within a population because they are of high value, or exhibit some other characteristic, e.g., items that are suspicious, unusual, particularly risk-prone or that have a history of error.
- All items over a certain amount. The auditor may decide to examine items whose recorded values exceed a certain amount so as to verify a large proportion of the total amount of a class of transactions or account balance.
- Items to obtain information. The auditor may examine items to obtain information about matters such as the nature of the entity, the nature of transactions, and internal control.

- Items to test control activities. The auditor may use judgment to select and examine specific items to determine whether or not a particular control activity is being performed.

A34. While selective examination of specific items from a class of transactions or account balance will often be an efficient means of obtaining audit evidence, it does not constitute audit sampling. The results of audit procedures applied to items selected in this way cannot be projected to the entire population; accordingly, selective examination of specific items does not provide audit evidence concerning the remainder of the population. Audit sampling, on the other hand, is designed to enable conclusions to be drawn about an entire population on the basis of testing a sample drawn from it. Audit sampling is discussed in ISA 530, “Audit Sampling and Other Means of Testing.”

Inconsistency in, or Doubts Over Reliability of, Audit Evidence (Ref: Para. ~~40~~14)

~~A27~~A35. Obtaining audit evidence from different sources or of a different nature may indicate that an individual item of audit evidence is not reliable, such as when audit evidence obtained from one source is inconsistent with that obtained from another. This may be the case when, for example, responses to inquiries of management, internal audit, and others are inconsistent, or when responses to inquiries of those charged with governance made to corroborate the responses to inquiries of management are inconsistent with the response by management. In such cases, modification to or further audit procedures may be necessary to resolve the inconsistencies.

[BLANK PAGE]