

## PROPOSED REDRAFTED ISA 500

### MARK-UP, AFTER MAJOR DELETIONS AS NOTED IN AGENDA ITEM 5-C

Paragraph of Extant ISA 500	Redrafted ISA 500
	<b>Introduction</b>
	<u>Scope of this ISA</u>
1	1. <del>The purpose of t</del> This International Standard on Auditing (ISA) <del>is to establish standards and to provide guidance on deals with</del> what constitutes audit evidence in an audit of financial statements, <del>the</del> auditor's responsibility to obtain information that is capable of providing sufficient appropriate <del>the quantity and quality of audit</del> evidence <del>to be obtained</del> , and the <del>types of</del> audit procedures that auditors use for obtaining that audit evidence.
	2. <del>This ISA is applicable to all the audit evidence obtained during the course of the audit. Other ISAs deal with specific aspects of the audit, the audit evidence to be obtained, the procedures to be performed in obtaining audit evidence, and the evaluation of whether sufficient appropriate evidence has been obtained.</del>
	<b>Effective Date</b>
39	3. This ISA is effective for audits of financial statements for periods beginning on or after <del>December 15, 2004</del> [date].
	<u>Objective</u>
2	4. The <del>objective of the auditor should is to</del> obtain <del>information that is capable of providing</del> sufficient appropriate audit evidence <del>to be able to for the purposes of drawing reasonable conclusions on which to base the audit opinion.</del>
	<u>Definitions</u>
	5. <del>For purposes of the ISAs, the following terms have the meanings attributed below:</del>
4	(a) Accounting records <del>— generally include t</del> The records of initial <u>accounting</u> entries and supporting records, such as checks and records of electronic fund transfers; invoices; contracts; the general and subsidiary ledgers, journal entries and other adjustments to the financial statements that are not reflected in formal journal entries; and records such as work sheets and spreadsheets supporting cost allocations, computations, reconciliations and disclosures.

3	(b) “Audit evidence” is <u>all the information used by the auditor in arriving at the conclusions on which the audit opinion is based, and, Audit evidence includes the both information contained in the accounting records underlying the financial statements and other information.</u>
7	(c) Sufficiency is <u>The measure of the quantity of audit evidence.</u>
7	(d) Appropriateness <u>is The measure of the quality of audit evidence (Ref: Para. A1-A3) that is, its relevance and its reliability in providing support for, or detecting misstatements in, the classes of transactions, account balances, and disclosures, and related assertions.</u>
	<b><u>Requirements</u></b>
	<b><u>Obtaining Audit Evidence</u></b>
32	6. <u>In order to obtain sufficient appropriate audit evidence, the auditor shall performs audit procedures in addition to the use of inquiry to obtain sufficient appropriate audit evidence. Although inquiry provides audit evidence, inquiry alone ordinarily does not provide sufficient audit evidence to detect a material misstatement at the assertion level, nor of. Moreover, inquiry alone is not sufficient to test the operating effectiveness of controls.</u>
5	7. <u>Although the entity’s accounting records provide audit evidence, the auditor shall also obtain audit evidence from other sources on which to base the audit opinion.</u>
	<b><u>Considering the Reliability of Audit Evidence</u></b>
10	8. <u>However, the auditor shall considers the reliability of the information to be used as audit evidence, for example, photocopies, facsimiles, filmed, digitized or other electronic documents, including consideration of controls over their preparation and maintenance where relevant.</u>
11  Pre-clarified ISA 315.39 and .99	9. <u>When information produced by the entity is used by the auditor for purposes of the audit, to perform audit procedures, the auditor should shall (a) obtain audit evidence about the accuracy and completeness of the information, including consideration of controls over its preparation and maintenance where relevant, and (b) evaluate whether the information is sufficiently precise or detailed for the auditor’s purpose.</u>
	<b><u>Inconsistency in, or Doubts Over Reliability of, Audit Evidence</u></b>
12	10. <u>Conversely, wWhen audit evidence obtained from one source is inconsistent with that obtained from another, or the auditor has doubts</u>

	over the reliability of information to be used as audit evidence, the auditor <u>shall</u> determine what <u>modifications to, or additional audit procedures are necessary to resolve the <u>matter, inconsistency.</u></u>
	<b><u>Application and Other Explanatory Material</u></b>
	<b><u>Relevance and Reliability</u></b>
7	A1. <u>Audit evidence is appropriate if it is both, its relevance and its reliability in providing support for, or detecting misstatements in, the classes of transactions, account balances, and disclosures, and related assertions.</u>
8	A2. <u>Relevance deals with the logical connection with, or bearing upon, the assertion under consideration. A given set of audit procedures may provide audit evidence that is relevant to certain assertions, but not others. For example, inspection of <del>records and documents</del> related to the collection of receivables after the period end may provide audit evidence regarding both existence and valuation, <del>although but</del> not necessarily the appropriateness of period end cutoffs. On the other hand, the auditor often obtains audit evidence from different sources or of a different nature that is relevant to the same assertion.</u>
	<b>Audit Procedures for Obtaining Audit Evidence</b>
19	<p>A3. <u>As required by, and explained further in, ISA 315, “Identifying and Assessing the Risks of Material Misstatement Through Understanding the Entity and Its Environment” and ISA 330 “The Auditor’s Procedures in Response to Assessed Risks,” <del>The auditor obtains audit evidence to draw reasonable conclusions on which to base the audit opinion is obtained by performing audit procedures to:</del></u></p> <p>(a) <u><del>Obtain an understanding of the entity and its environment, including its internal control, to assess the risks of material misstatement at the financial statement and assertion levels (audit procedures performed for this purpose are referred to in the ISAs as “Risk assessment procedures”);</del></u></p> <p><del>1.</del>(b) <u>Test of controls, <del>When necessary or when the auditor has determined to do so, test the operating effectiveness of controls in preventing, or detecting and correcting, material misstatements at the assertion level (audit procedures performed for this purpose are referred to in the ISAs as “tests of controls”); and</del></u></p> <p>(c) <u><del>Detect material misstatements at the assertion level (audit procedures performed for this purpose are referred to in the ISAs as “Substantive procedures” and include tests of details of for material classes of transactions, account balances, and disclosures and substantive analytical procedures).</del></u></p>
23	A4. <u>The auditor <del>uses one or more types of</del> audit procedures described in</u>

	<p>paragraphs <del>26-38</del><u>A7-A18</u> below. <del>These audit procedures, or combinations thereof,</del> may be used as risk assessment procedures, tests of controls or substantive procedures, depending on the context in which they are applied by the auditor. In certain circumstances, audit evidence obtained from previous audits may provide <u>appropriate</u> audit evidence where the auditor performs audit procedures to establish its continuing relevance.</p>
24	A5. The nature and timing of the audit procedures to be used may be affected by the fact that some of the accounting data and other information may be available only in electronic form or only at certain points or periods in time. <u>For example, source documents, such as purchase orders, and bills of lading, invoices, and checks, may exist only in electronic form when an entity uses electronic commerce, or may be replaced with electronic messages. For example, entities may use electronic commerce or</u> <del>discarded after scanning when an entity uses image processing systems. In image processing systems, documents are scanned and converted into electronic images to facilitate storage and reference, and the source documents may not be retained after conversion.</del> When the information is in electronic form, the auditor may carry out certain of the audit procedures described below through CAATs.
25	
24	A6. Certain electronic information may <del>exist at a certain point in time. However, such information may not be retrievable after a specified period of time, e.g., if files are changed and if backup files do not exist. Accordingly, a</del> An entity's data retention policies may require the auditor to request retention of some information for the auditor's review or to perform audit procedures at a time when the information is available.
	<b><i>Inspection of Records or Documents</i></b>
26	A7. Inspection <del>involves</del> <u>consists of</u> examining records or documents, whether internal or external, in paper form, electronic form, or other media, <u>or a physical examination of an asset.</u> Inspection of records and documents provides audit evidence of varying degrees of reliability, depending on their nature and source and, in the case of internal records and documents, on the effectiveness of the controls over their production. An example of inspection used as a test of controls is inspection of records <del>or documents</del> for evidence of authorization.
27	A8. Some documents represent direct audit evidence of the existence of an asset, for example, a document constituting a financial instrument such as a stock or bond. Inspection of such documents may not necessarily provide audit evidence about ownership or value. In addition, inspecting an executed contract may provide audit evidence relevant to the entity's application of accounting policies, such as revenue recognition.

28	<p><b><del>Inspection of Tangible Assets</del></b></p> <p>A9. <del>Inspection of tangible assets consists of physical examination of the assets.</del> Inspection of tangible assets may provide reliable audit evidence with respect to their existence, but not necessarily about the entity's rights and obligations or the valuation of the assets. Inspection of individual inventory items ordinarily accompanies the observation of inventory counting.</p>
	<i>Observation</i>
29	<p>A10. Observation consists of looking at a process or procedure being performed by others, <del>e.g., Examples include the auditor's observation of the counting of inventory</del> <u>ies counting</u> by the entity's personnel, <del>and observation or</del> of the performance of control activities. Observation provides audit evidence about the performance of a process or procedure, but is limited to the point in time at which the observation takes place, and by the fact that the act of being observed may affect how the process or procedure is performed. See ISA 501, "Audit Evidence—Additional Considerations for Specific Items" for further guidance on observation of the counting of inventory.</p>
	<i>Inquiry</i>
30	<p>A11. Inquiry consists of seeking information of knowledgeable persons, both financial and non-financial, throughout the entity or outside the entity. Inquiry is <del>an audit procedure that is used extensively throughout the audit and often is as a</del> complementary to <del>performing</del> other audit procedures. Inquiries may range from formal written inquiries to informal oral inquiries. Evaluating responses to inquiries is an integral part of the inquiry process.</p>
31	<p>A12. Responses to inquiries may provide the auditor with information not previously possessed or with corroborative audit evidence. Alternatively, responses might provide information that differs significantly from other information that the auditor has obtained, <del>for example e.g.,</del> information regarding the possibility of management override of controls. In some cases, responses to inquiries provide a basis for the auditor to modify or perform additional audit procedures.</p>
33	<p>A13. Although corroboration of evidence obtained through inquiry is often of particular importance, in the case of inquiries about management intent, the information available to support management's intent may be limited. In these cases, understanding management's past history of carrying out its stated intentions with respect to assets or liabilities, management's stated reasons for choosing a particular course of action, and management's ability to pursue a specific course of action may provide relevant information about management's intent.</p>

34	A14. In respect of some matters, the auditor <u>is required to</u> obtains written representations from management to confirm responses to oral inquiries. See ISA 580, "Management Representations" for further guidance <del>on written representations</del> .
	<i>Confirmation</i>
35	A15. Confirmation, <del>which</del> is a specific type of inquiry, <u>that</u> is the process of obtaining a representation of information or of an existing condition directly from a third party. <del>For example, the auditor may seek direct confirmation of receivables by communication with debtors.</del> Confirmations are frequently used in relation to account balances and their components. <u>For example, the auditor may seek direct confirmation of receivables by communication with debtors.</u> However, <u>confirmations</u> <del>but</del> need not be restricted to these items. For example, the auditor may request confirmation of the terms of agreements or transactions an entity has with third parties; the confirmation request is designed to ask if any modifications have been made to the agreement and, if so, what the relevant details are. Confirmations also are used to obtain audit evidence about the absence of certain conditions, <del>for example e.g.,</del> the absence of a "side agreement" that may influence revenue recognition. See ISA 505, "External Confirmations" for further guidance <del>on confirmations</del> .
	<i>Recalculation</i>
36	A16. Recalculation consists of checking the mathematical accuracy of documents or records. Recalculation can be performed <u>manually</u> through the use of <u>CAATs</u> . <del>information technology, for example</del> <u>Recalculation may be performed, e.g.,</u> by obtaining an electronic file from the entity and using CAATs to check the accuracy of the summarization of the file.
	<i>Reperformance</i>
37	A17. Repformance <u>involves</u> <del>is</del> the auditor's independent execution of procedures or controls that were originally performed as part of the entity's internal control. <del>either manually or through the use of CAATs, for example,</del> <u>Reperformance may include, e.g., reperforming the aging of accounts receivable either manually or through the use of CAATs.</u>
	<i>Analytical Procedures</i>
38	A18. Analytical procedures consist of evaluations of financial information made by a study of plausible relationships among both financial and non-financial data. Analytical procedures also encompass the investigation of identified fluctuations and relationships that are inconsistent with other relevant information or deviate significantly from predicted amounts. See ISA 520, "Analytical Procedures" for

	further guidance <del>on analytical procedures.</del>
	<b><u>Source of Audit Evidence</u></b>
5	A19. Management is responsible for the preparation of the financial statements based upon the accounting records of the entity. <del>The auditor obtains—</del> Some audit evidence <u>is obtained by performing audit procedures to testing</u> the accounting records, <del>for example e.g.,</del> through analysis and review, reperforming procedures followed in the financial reporting process, and reconciling related types and applications of the same information. Through the performance of such audit procedures, the auditor may determine that the accounting records are internally consistent and agree to the financial statements.
5  12	A20. <del>However, because a</del> Accounting records alone, <del>however,</del> do not provide sufficient <u>appropriate</u> audit evidence on which to base an audit opinion on the financial statements, <del>the auditor obtains other audit evidence.</del>  <del>The auditor ordinarily obtains m</del> More assurance <u>is obtained</u> from consistent audit evidence obtained from different sources or of a different nature than from items of audit evidence considered individually. For example, corroborating information obtained from a source independent of the entity may increase the assurance the auditor obtains from <u>evidence existing within the accounting records or from a</u> management representation.
6	A21. <del>Other i</del> Information that the auditor may use as audit evidence includes, <u>but is not limited to:</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <u>Information produced by the entity, for example from the entity's information system.</u></li> <li>• <u>M</u>inutes of meetings.;</li> <li>• <u>C</u>onfirmations from third parties.;</li> <li>• <u>A</u>nalysts' reports.;</li> <li>• <u>C</u>omparable data about competitors (benchmarking).;</li> <li>• <u>C</u>ontrols manuals.;</li> <li>• <u>I</u>nformation obtained by the auditor from such audit procedures as inquiry, observation, and inspection.;</li> <li>• <u>O</u>ther information developed by, or available to, the auditor that permits the auditor to reach conclusions through valid reasoning.</li> </ul>
	<b><u>Considering the Reliability of Audit Evidence</u></b>
9	A22. <u>Due to the fact that t</u> The reliability of audit evidence is influenced by its source and <del>by its nature,</del> and <del>is dependent on the individual</del> circumstances under which it is obtained, <u>including the controls over</u>

	<p><del>its preparation and maintenance where relevant.</del> Generalizations about the reliability of various kinds of audit evidence <del>can be made;</del> however, such generalizations are subject to important exceptions. Even when audit evidence is obtained from sources external to the entity, circumstances may exist that could affect the reliability of the information obtained. For example, audit evidence obtained from an independent external source may not be reliable if the source is not knowledgeable. While recognizing that exceptions may exist, the following generalizations about the reliability of audit evidence may be useful:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Audit evidence is more reliable when it is obtained from independent sources outside the entity.</li> <li>• Audit evidence that is generated internally is more reliable when the related controls, <u>including those over their preparation and maintenance</u>, imposed by the entity are effective.</li> <li>• Audit evidence obtained directly by the auditor (<del>for example e.g.,</del> observation of the application of a control) is more reliable than audit evidence obtained indirectly or by inference (<del>for example e.g.,</del> inquiry about the application of a control).</li> <li>• Audit evidence is more reliable when it exists in documentary form, whether paper, electronic, or other medium (<del>for example e.g.,</del> a contemporaneously written record of a meeting is more reliable than a subsequent oral representation of the matters discussed).</li> <li>• Audit evidence provided by original documents is more reliable than audit evidence provided by photocopies or facsimiles, <u>or documents that have been filmed, digitized or otherwise transformed into electronic form, the reliability of which may depend on the controls over their preparation and maintenance.</u></li> </ul>
10	<p>A23. <del>An</del> <u>The auditor's consideration of the reliability of audit evidence rarely involves the authentication of documentation, nor is the auditor trained as or expected to be an expert in such authentication. ISA 240 deals with circumstances where the auditor has reason to believe that a document may not be authentic, or may have been modified without that modification having been disclosed to the auditor</u></p>
	<p><i>Information Produced by the Entity and Used for Audit Purposes</i></p>
11	<p>A24. In order for the auditor to obtain reliable audit evidence, <del>the information produced by the entity that is used for performing upon which the audit procedures are based</del> needs to be sufficiently complete and accurate. For example, <del>the effectiveness of in</del> auditing revenue by applying standard prices to records of sales volume; <del>the auditor considers is affected by</del> the accuracy of the price information and the completeness and accuracy of the sales volume data.</p>
11	<p>A25. Obtaining audit evidence about the <u>accuracy and completeness</u> <del>and</del></p>



	<p>accuracy of the <u>such</u> information produced by the entity's information system may be performed concurrently with the actual audit procedure applied to the information when obtaining such audit evidence is an integral part of the audit procedure itself. In other situations, the auditor may have obtained audit evidence of the accuracy and completeness of such information by testing controls over the production and maintenance of the information. <del>However, i</del>In some situations, <del>however</del>, the auditor may determine that additional audit procedures are needed. <del>For example e.g., these additional procedures may include using computer assisted audit techniques (by using CAATs) to recalculate the information.</del></p>
Pre-clarified ISA 315.39	<p>A26. <u>In some cases, the auditor may intend to use information produced by the entity for other audit purposes. For example, the auditor may intend to make use of the entity's performance measures for the purpose of analytical procedures, or to make use of the entity's information produced for monitoring activities, such as internal auditor's reports. In such cases, the appropriateness of the audit evidence obtained is affected by whether the information</u><del>Much of the information used in performance measurement may be produced by the entity's information system. If management assumes that data used for reviewing the entity's performance are accurate without having a basis for that assumption, errors may exist in the information, potentially leading management to incorrect conclusions about performance. When the auditor intends to make use of the performance measures for the purpose of the audit (for example, for analytical procedures), the auditor considers whether the information related to management's review of the entity's performance provides a reliable basis and is sufficiently precise or detailed for the auditor's such a purposes. For example If making use of performance measures used by management may not be, the auditor considers whether they are precise enough to detect material misstatements.</del></p>
	<p><b><u>Inconsistency in, or Doubts Over Reliability of, Audit Evidence</u></b></p>
12	<p>A27. <del>In addition, o</del>Obtaining audit evidence from different sources or of a different nature may indicate that an individual item of audit evidence is not reliable, <u>such as when audit evidence obtained from one source is inconsistent with that obtained from another. This may be the case when, for example, responses to inquires of management, internal audit, and others are inconsistent, or when responses to inquiries of those charged with governance made to corroborate the responses to inquiries of management are inconsistent with the response by management. In such cases, modification to or further audit procedures may be necessary to resolve the inconsistencies.</u></p>

**[Blank Page]**