Appendix 1

(Ref: Para. 23(a), A52–A63)

Considerations for Understanding the Entity and its Business Model

This appendix explains the objectives and scope of the entity’s business model and provides examples of matters that the auditor may consider in understanding the activities of the entity that may be included in the business model. The auditor’s understanding of the entity’s business model, and how it is affected by its business strategy and business objectives, may assist the auditor in identifying business risks that are relevant to the audit may have an effect on the financial statements. In addition, this may assist the auditor in identifying risks of material misstatement.

Objectives and Scope of an Entity’s Business Model

1. An entity’s business model describes how an entity considers, for example its organizational structure, operations or scope of activities, business lines (including competitors and customers thereof), processes, growth opportunities, globalization, regulatory requirements and technologies. The entity’s business model describes how the entity creates, preserves and captures financial or broader value, such as public benefits, for its stakeholders.

2. Strategies are the approaches by which management plans to achieve the entity’s objectives, including how the entity plans to address the risks and opportunities that it faces. An entity’s strategies are changed over time by management, to respond to changes in its objectives and in the internal and external circumstances in which it operates.

3. A description of a business model typically includes:
   - The scope of the entity’s activities, and why it does them.
   - The entity’s structure and scale of its operations.
   - The markets or geographical or demographic spheres, and parts of the value chain, in which it operates, how it engages with those markets or spheres (main products, customer segments and distribution methods), and the basis on which it competes.
   - The entity’s business or operating processes (e.g., investment, financing and operating processes) employed in performing its activities, focusing on those parts of the business processes that are important in creating, preserving or capturing value.

---
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The resources (e.g., financial, human, intellectual, environmental and technological) and other inputs and relationships (e.g., customers, competitors, suppliers and employees) that are necessary or important to its success.

How the entity’s business model integrates the use of IT in its interactions with customers, suppliers, lenders and other stakeholders through IT interfaces and other technologies.

4. A business risk may have an immediate consequence for the risk of material misstatement for classes of transactions, account balances, and disclosures at the assertion level or the financial statement level. For example, the business risk arising from a significant fall in real estate market values may increase the risk of material misstatement associated with the valuation assertion for a lender of medium-term real estate backed loans. However, the same risk, particularly in combination with a severe economic downturn that concurrently increases the underlying risk of lifetime credit losses on its loans, may also have a longer-term consequence. The resulting net exposure to credit losses may cast significant doubt on the entity’s ability to continue as a going concern. If so, this could have implications for management’s and the auditor’s conclusion as to the appropriateness of the entity’s use of the going concern basis of accounting, and determination as to whether a material uncertainty exists. Whether a business risk may result in a risk of material misstatement is, therefore, considered in light of the entity’s circumstances. Examples of events and conditions that may indicate risks of material misstatement are indicated in Appendix 2.

Activities of the Entity

5. Examples of matters that the auditor may consider when obtaining an understanding of the activities of the entity (included in the entity’s business model) include:

(a) Business operations such as:

- Nature of revenue sources, products or services, and markets, including involvement in electronic commerce such as Internet sales and marketing activities.
- Conduct of operations (for example, stages and methods of production, or activities exposed to environmental risks).
- Alliances, joint ventures, and outsourcing activities.
- Geographic dispersion and industry segmentation.
- Location of production facilities, warehouses, and offices, and location and quantities of inventories.
- Key customers and important suppliers of goods and services, employment arrangements (including the existence of union contracts, pension and other post-employment benefits, stock option or incentive bonus arrangements, and government regulation related to employment matters).
- Research and development activities and expenditures.
- Transactions with related parties.
(b) Investments and investment activities such as:
   - Planned or recently executed acquisitions or divestitures.
   - Investments and dispositions of securities and loans.
   - Capital investment activities.
   - Investments in non-consolidated entities, including partnerships, joint ventures and special-purpose entities.

(c) Financing and financing activities such as:
   - Ownership structure of major subsidiaries and associated entities, including consolidated and non-consolidated structures.
   - Debt structure and related terms, including off-balance-sheet financing arrangements and leasing arrangements.
   - Beneficial owners (local, foreign, business reputation and experience) and related parties.
   - Use of derivative financial instruments.

Nature of Special-Purpose Entities

6. A special-purpose entity (sometimes referred to as a special-purpose vehicle) is an entity that is generally established for a narrow and well-defined purpose, such as to effect a lease or a securitization of financial assets, or to carry out research and development activities. It may take the form of a corporation, trust, partnership or unincorporated entity. The entity on behalf of which the special-purpose entity has been created may often transfer assets to the latter (for example, as part of a derecognition transaction involving financial assets), obtain the right to use the latter’s assets, or perform services for the latter, while other parties may provide the funding to the latter. As ISA 550 indicates, in some circumstances, a special-purpose entity may be a related party of the entity.2

7. Financial reporting frameworks often specify detailed conditions that are deemed to amount to control, or circumstances under which the special-purpose entity should be considered for consolidation. The interpretation of the requirements of such frameworks often demands a detailed knowledge of the relevant agreements involving the special-purpose entity.

---
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Understanding the Inherent Risk Factors

This appendix provides further explanation about the inherent risk factors, as well as matters that the auditor may consider in understanding and applying the inherent risk factors in identifying and assessing the risks of material misstatement at the assertion level.

The Inherent Risk Factors

1. The inherent risk factors are characteristics of events or conditions that affect susceptibility to misstatement, whether due to fraud or error, of an assertion about a class of transactions, account balance or disclosure, before consideration of controls. Such factors may be qualitative or quantitative, and include complexity, subjectivity, change, uncertainty or susceptibility to misstatement due to management bias or other fraud risk factors insofar as they affect inherent risk misappropriation of assets. In obtaining the understanding of the entity and its environment, and the applicable financial reporting framework, in accordance with paragraph 23(a) and (b), the auditor considers how the inherent risk factors that affect susceptibility to misstatement of assertions, and how they do so, in the preparation of the financial statements. Events and conditions are subject to, or affected by, the inherent risk factors.

2. Inherent risk factors relating to the preparation of information required by the applicable financial reporting framework (referred to in this paragraph as “required information”) include:

   - **Complexity**—arises either from the nature of the information or in the way that the required information is prepared, including when such preparation processes are more inherently difficult to apply. For example, complexity may arise:
     - In calculating supplier rebate provisions because it may be necessary to take into account different commercial terms with many different suppliers, or many interrelated commercial terms that are all relevant in calculating the rebates due; or
     - When there are many potential data sources, with different characteristics used in making an accounting estimate, the processing of that data involves many inter-related steps, and the data is therefore inherently more difficult to identify, capture, access, understand or process.

   - **Subjectivity**—arises from inherent limitations in the ability to prepare required information in an objective manner, due to limitations in the availability of knowledge or information, such that management may need to make an election or subjective judgment about the appropriate approach to take and about the resulting information to include in the financial statements. Because of different approaches to preparing the required information, different outcomes could result from appropriately applying the requirements of the applicable financial reporting framework. As limitations in knowledge or data increase, the subjectivity in the judgments that
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could be made by reasonably knowledgeable and independent individuals, and the diversity in possible outcomes of those judgments will also increase.

- **Change**—results from events or conditions that, over time, affect the entity’s business or the economic, accounting, regulatory, industry or other aspects of the environment in which it operates, when the effects of those events or conditions are reflected in the required information. Such events or conditions may occur during, or between, financial reporting periods. For example, change may result from developments in the requirements of the applicable financial reporting framework, or in the entity and its business model, or in the environment in which the entity operates. Such change may affect management’s assumptions and judgments, including as they relate to management’s selection of accounting policies or how accounting estimates are made or related disclosures are determined.

- **Uncertainty**—arises when the required information cannot be prepared based only on sufficiently precise and comprehensive data that is verifiable through direct observation. In these circumstances, an approach may need to be taken that applies the best available knowledge to prepare the information using sufficiently precise and comprehensive observable data, to the extent available, and reasonable assumptions supported by the best available data, when it is not. Constraints on the availability of knowledge or data, which are not within the control of management (subject to cost constraints where applicable) are sources of uncertainty and their effect on the preparation of the required information cannot be eliminated. For example, estimation uncertainty arises when the required monetary amount cannot be determined with precision and the outcome of the estimate is not known before the date the financial statements are finalized.

- **Susceptibility to misstatement due to management bias or other fraud risk factors insofar as they affect inherent risk or misappropriation of assets**—susceptibility to management bias results from conditions that create susceptibility to intentional or unintentional failure by management to maintain neutrality in preparing the information. Management bias is often associated with certain conditions that have the potential to give rise to management not maintaining neutrality in exercising judgment (indicators of potential management bias), which could lead to a material misstatement of the information that would be fraudulent if intentional. Such indicators include inherent incentives or pressures insofar as they affect inherent risk (for example, as a result of motivation to achieve a desired result, such as a desired profit target or capital ratio), and opportunity, not to maintain neutrality. Factors relevant to the susceptibility to misstatement due to management bias that could result in susceptibility to fraud in the form of fraudulent financial reporting or misappropriation of assets are described in paragraphs A1 to A5 of ISA 240.

3. When complexity is an inherent risk factor, there may be an inherent need for more complex processes in preparing the information, and such processes may be inherently more difficult to apply. As a result, applying them may require specialized skills or knowledge, and may require the use of a management’s expert. For example, when there are many potential data sources, with different characteristics, and the processing of that data involves many interrelated steps, the data may be inherently more difficult to identify, capture, access, understand or process.
4. When management judgment is more subjective, the susceptibility to misstatement due to management bias, whether unintentional or intentional, may also increase. For example, significant management judgment may be involved in making accounting estimates that have been identified as having high estimation uncertainty, and conclusions regarding methods, data models, and assumptions may reflect unintentional or intentional management bias.

Examples of Events or Conditions that May Indicate the Existence of Risks of Material Misstatement

5. The following are examples of events (including transactions) and conditions that may indicate the existence of risks of material misstatement in the financial statements, either at the financial statement level or the assertion level. The examples provided by inherent risk factor cover a broad range of events and conditions; however, not all events and conditions are relevant to every audit engagement and the list of examples is not necessarily complete. The events and conditions have been categorized by the inherent risk factor that may have the greatest effect in the circumstances. Importantly, due to the interrelationships among the inherent risk factors, the example events and conditions also are likely to be subject to, or affected by, other inherent risk factors to varying degrees.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Relevant Inherent Risk Factor</th>
<th>Examples of Events and Conditions That May Indicate the Existence of Risks of Material Misstatement at the Assertion Level</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Complexity</td>
<td>Regulatory:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Operations that are subject to a high degree of complex regulation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Business model:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• The existence of complex alliances and joint ventures.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Applicable financial reporting framework:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Accounting measurements that involve complex processes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Transactions:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Use of off-balance sheet finance, special-purpose entities, and other complex financing arrangements.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subjectivity</td>
<td>Applicable financial reporting framework:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• A wide range of possible measurement criteria of an accounting estimate. For example, management’s recognition of depreciation or construction income and expenses.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Management’s selection of a valuation technique or model for a non-current asset, such as investment properties.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change</td>
<td>Economic conditions:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operations in regions that are economically unstable, for example, countries with significant currency devaluation or highly inflationary economies.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Markets:</td>
<td>Operations exposed to volatile markets, for example, futures trading.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Customer loss:</td>
<td>Going concern and liquidity issues including loss of significant customers.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Industry model:</td>
<td>Changes in the industry in which the entity operates.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business model:</td>
<td>Changes in the supply chain.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Developing or offering new products or services, or moving into new lines of business.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geography:</td>
<td>Expanding into new locations.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Entity structure:</td>
<td>Changes in the entity such as large acquisitions or reorganizations or other unusual events.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Entities or business segments likely to be sold.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human resources competence:</td>
<td>Changes in key personnel including departure of key executives.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IT:</td>
<td>Changes in the IT environment.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Installation of significant new IT systems related to financial reporting.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Applicable financial reporting framework:</td>
<td>Application of new accounting pronouncements.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital:</td>
<td>New constraints on the availability of capital and credit.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regulatory:</td>
<td>Inception of investigations into the entity’s operations or financial results by regulatory or government bodies.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relevant Inherent Risk Factor:</td>
<td>Examples of Events and Conditions That May Indicate the Existence of Risks of Material Misstatement at the Assertion Level:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uncertainty</td>
<td>Reporting:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Events or transactions that involve significant measurement uncertainty, including accounting estimates, and related disclosures.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Pending litigation and contingent liabilities, for example, sales warranties, financial guarantees and environmental remediation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Susceptibility to misstatement due to management bias and the misappropriation of assets or other fraud risk factors insofar as they affect inherent risk</td>
<td>Reporting:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Opportunities for management and employees to engage in fraudulent financial reporting, including omission, or obscuring, of significant information in disclosures.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Transactions:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Significant transactions with related parties.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Significant amount of non-routine or non-systematic transactions including intercompany transactions and large revenue transactions at period end.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Transactions that are recorded based on management’s intent, for example, debt refinancing, assets to be sold and classification of marketable securities.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Other events or conditions that may indicate risks of material misstatement at the financial statement level:

- Lack of personnel with appropriate accounting and financial reporting skills.
- Control deficiencies, especially those not addressed by management.
- Past misstatements, history of errors or a significant amount of adjustments at period end.
Appendix 3

(Ref: Para. 16(l), 287–398, A93a, A105102–A165200)

Understanding the Entity’s System of Internal Control

1. The entity’s system of internal control may be reflected in policy and procedures manuals, systems and forms, and the information embedded therein, and is effected by people. The system of internal control is implemented by management, those charged with governance, and other personnel based on the structure of the entity. The system of internal control can be applied, based on the decisions of management, those charged with governance and other personnel and in the context of legal or regulatory requirements, to the operating model of the entity, the legal entity structure, or a combination of these.

2. This appendix further explains the components of, as well as the limitations of, the entity’s system of internal control as set out in paragraphs 16(l), 27–398, A93a and A105102–A165200, as they relate to a financial statement audit.

3. Included within the entity’s system of internal control are aspects that relate to the entity’s reporting objectives, including its financial reporting objectives, but may also include aspects that relate to its operations or compliance objectives, when such aspects are relevant to financial reporting.

   **Example:**
   Controls over compliance with laws and regulations may be relevant to financial reporting when such controls are relevant to the entity’s preparation of contingency disclosures in the financial statements.

Components of the System of Internal Control

**Control Environment**

4. The control environment includes the governance and management functions and the attitudes, awareness, and actions of those charged with governance and management concerning the entity’s system of internal control and its importance in the entity. The control environment sets the tone of an organization, influencing the control consciousness of its people, and provides the overall foundation for the operation of the other components of the system of internal control.

5. An entity’s control consciousness is influenced by those charged with governance, because one of their roles is to counterbalance pressures on management in relation to financial reporting that may arise from market demands or remuneration schemes. The effectiveness of the design of the control environment in relation to participation by those charged with governance is therefore influenced by such matters as:
   - Their independence from management and their ability to evaluate the actions of management.
   - Whether they understand the entity’s business transactions.
The extent to which they evaluate whether the financial statements are prepared in accordance with the applicable financial reporting framework, including whether the financial statements include adequate disclosures.

6. The control environment encompasses the following elements:

(a) **How management’s oversight responsibilities are carried out, such as the entity’s culture and management’s— the entity demonstrates a commitment to integrity and ethical values.** The effectiveness of controls cannot rise above the integrity and ethical values of the people who create, administer, and monitor them. Integrity and ethical behavior are the product of the entity’s ethical and behavioral standards or codes of conduct, how they are communicated (e.g., through policy statements), and how they are reinforced in practice (e.g., through management actions to eliminate or mitigate incentives or temptations that might prompt personnel to engage in dishonest, illegal, or unethical acts). The communication of entity policies on integrity and ethical values may include the communication of behavioral standards to personnel through policy statements and codes of conduct and by example.

(b) **When those charged with governance are separate from management,** how those charged with governance demonstrate independence from management and exercise oversight of the entity’s system of internal control. An entity’s control consciousness is influenced significantly by those charged with governance. Considerations may include whether there are sufficient individuals who are independent from management and objective in their evaluations and decision-making; how those charged with governance identify and accept oversight responsibilities and whether those charged with governance retain oversight responsibility for management’s design, implementation and conduct of the entity’s system of internal control. The importance of the responsibilities of those charged with governance is recognized in codes of practice and other laws and regulations or guidance produced for the benefit of those charged with governance. Other responsibilities of those charged with governance include oversight of the design and effective operation of whistle blower procedures.

(c) **How the entity assigns has established, with oversight from those charged with governance, structures, reporting lines, and appropriate authorities and responsibilities in pursuit of its objectives.** This may includes considerations about:

- Key areas of authority and responsibility and appropriate lines of reporting;
- Policies relating to appropriate business practices, knowledge and experience of key personnel, and resource provided for carrying out duties; and
- Policies and communications directed at ensuring that all personnel understand the entity’s objectives, know how their individual actions interrelate and contribute to those objectives, and recognize how and for what they will be held accountable.

The appropriateness of an entity’s organizational and governance structure depends, in part, on its size and the nature of its activities.

(d) **How the entity demonstrates a commitment to attracts, develops, and retains competent individuals in alignment with its objectives.** This includes how the entity ensures the individuals
have the knowledge and skills necessary to accomplish the tasks that define the individual’s job, such as:

- Standards for recruiting the most qualified individuals – with an emphasis on educational background, prior work experience, past accomplishments, and evidence of integrity and ethical behavior.
- Training policies that communicate prospective roles and responsibilities, including practices such as training schools and seminars that illustrate expected levels of performance and behavior; and
- Periodic performance appraisals driving promotions that demonstrate the entity’s commitment to the advancement of qualified personnel to higher levels of responsibility.

(e) How the entity holds individuals accountable for their internal control responsibilities in pursuit of their objectives of the system of internal control. This may be accomplished through, for example:

- Mechanisms to communicate and hold individuals accountable for performance of internal control responsibilities and implement corrective actions as necessary;
- Establishing performance measures, incentives and rewards for those responsible for internal control, including how the measures are evaluated and maintain their relevance;
- How pressures associated with the achievement of internal control objectives impact the individual’s responsibilities and performance measures; and
- How the individuals are disciplined as necessary.

The appropriateness of the above matters will be different for every entity depending on its size, the complexity of its structure and the nature of its activities.

**The Entity’s Risk Assessment Process**

7. The entity’s risk assessment process is an iterative process for identifying and analyzing risks to achieving the entity’s objectives, and forms the basis for how management or those charged with governance determine the risks to be managed.

8. For financial reporting purposes, the entity’s risk assessment process includes how management identifies business risks relevant to the preparation of financial statements in accordance with the entity’s applicable financial reporting framework, estimates their significance, assesses the likelihood of their occurrence, and decides upon actions to respond to and manage them and the results thereof. For example, the entity’s risk assessment process may address how the entity considers the possibility of unrecorded transactions or identifies and analyzes significant estimates recorded in the financial statements.

9. Risks relevant to reliable financial reporting include external and internal events, transactions or circumstances that may occur and adversely affect an entity’s ability to initiate, record, process, and report financial information consistent with the assertions of management in the financial statements. Management may initiate plans, programs, or actions to address specific risks or it may decide to
accept a risk because of cost or other considerations. Risks can arise or change due to circumstances such as the following:

- **Changes in operating environment.** Changes in the regulatory, economic or operating environment can result in changes in competitive pressures and significantly different risks.
- **New personnel.** New personnel may have a different focus on or understanding of the system of internal control.
- **New or revamped information system.** Significant and rapid changes in the information system can change the risk relating to the entity’s system of internal control.
- **Rapid growth.** Significant and rapid expansion of operations can strain controls and increase the risk of a breakdown in controls.
- **New technology.** Incorporating new technologies into production processes or the information system may change the risk associated with the entity’s system of internal control.
- **New business models, products, or activities.** Entering into business areas or transactions with which an entity has little experience may introduce new risks associated with the entity’s system of internal control.
- **Corporate restructurings.** Restructurings may be accompanied by staff reductions and changes in supervision and segregation of duties that may change the risk associated with the entity’s system internal control.
- **Expanded foreign operations.** The expansion or acquisition of foreign operations carries new and often unique risks that may affect internal control, for example, additional or changed risks from foreign currency transactions.
- **New accounting pronouncements.** Adoption of new accounting principles or changing accounting principles may affect risks in preparing financial statements.
- **Use of IT.** Risks relating to:
  - Maintaining the integrity of data and information processing (including cyber security risks);
  - Risks to the entity business strategy that arise if the entity’s IT strategy does not effectively supporting the entity’s business strategy; or
  - Changes or interruptions in the entity’s IT environment or turnover of IT personnel or when the entity does not make necessary updates to the IT environment or such updates are not timely.

### The Entity’s Process to Monitor the System of Internal Control

10. The entity’s process to monitor the system of internal control is a continuous process to evaluate the effectiveness of the system of internal control, and to take necessary remedial actions on a timely basis. The entity’s process to monitor the system of internal controls may consist of ongoing activities, separate evaluations (conducted periodically), or some combination of the two. Ongoing monitoring activities are often built into the normal recurring activities of an entity and may include regular
management and supervisory activities. The entity’s process will likely vary in scope and frequency depending on the assessment of the risks by the entity.

11. The entity’s process to monitor the system of internal control may include activities such as management’s review of whether bank reconciliations are being prepared on a timely basis, internal auditors’ evaluation of sales personnel’s compliance with the entity’s policies on terms of sales contracts, and a legal department’s oversight of compliance with the entity’s ethical or business practice policies. Monitoring is done also to ensure that controls continue to operate effectively over time. For example, if the timeliness and accuracy of bank reconciliations are not monitored, personnel are likely to stop preparing them.

12. Controls within related to the entity’s process to monitor the system of internal control, including those that monitor underlying automated controls, may be automated or manual, or a combination of both. For example, an entity may use automated monitoring controls over access to certain technology with automated reports of unusual activity to management, who manually investigate identified anomalies.

13. When distinguishing between a monitoring activity and a control in the control activities component related to the information system, the underlying details of the activity are considered, especially where the activity involves some level of supervisory review. As also explained in the application material, supervisory reviews are not automatically classified as monitoring activities and it may be a matter of judgment whether a review is classified as a control related to the information system in the control activities component or a monitoring activity. For example, the intent of a monthly completeness control in the control activities component would be to detect and correct errors, where a monitoring activity would ask why errors are occurring and assign management the responsibility of fixing the process to prevent future errors. In simple terms, a control in the control activities component related to the information system responds to a specific risk, whereas a monitoring activity assesses whether controls within each of the five components of the system of internal control are operating as intended.

14. Monitoring activities may include using information from communications from external parties that may indicate problems or highlight areas in need of improvement. Customers implicitly corroborate billing data by paying their invoices or complaining about their charges. In addition, regulators may communicate with the entity concerning matters that affect the functioning of the system of internal control, for example, communications concerning examinations by bank regulatory agencies. Also, management may consider in performing monitoring activities any communications relating to the system of internal control from external auditors.

The Information System and Communication

15. The information system relevant to financial reporting the preparation of the financial statements in consists of the activities and policies or procedures, and accounting and supporting records, designed and established to:

---

4 The objectives and scope of internal audit functions typically include activities designed to evaluate or monitor the effectiveness of the entity’s internal control. ISA 610 (Revised), Using the Work of Internal Auditors, and Appendix 4 of this ISA provides further guidance related to internal audit.
• Initiate, record, and process, and report entity transactions (as well as to capture, process and disclose information about events and conditions other than transactions) and to maintain accountability for the related assets, liabilities, and equity;

• Resolve incorrect processing of transactions, for example, automated suspense files and procedures followed to clear suspense items out on a timely basis;

• Process and account for system overrides or bypasses to controls;

• Incorporate information from transaction processing in the general ledger (e.g., transferring of accumulated transactions from a subsidiary ledger);

• Capture and process information relevant to financial reporting the preparation of the financial statements for events and conditions other than transactions, such as the depreciation and amortization of assets and changes in the recoverability of assets; and

• Ensure information required to be disclosed by the applicable financial reporting framework is accumulated, recorded, processed, summarized and appropriately reported in the financial statements.

16. An entity’s business processes include the activities designed to:

• Develop, purchase, produce, sell and distribute an entity’s products and services;

• Ensure compliance with laws and regulations; and

• Record information, including accounting and financial reporting information.

Business processes result in the transactions that are recorded, processed and reported by the information system.

17. The quality of the information affects management’s ability to make appropriate decisions in managing and controlling the entity’s activities and to prepare reliable financial reports.

18. Communication, which involves providing an understanding of individual roles and responsibilities pertaining to the entity’s system of internal control, may take such forms as policy manuals, accounting and financial reporting manuals, and memoranda. Communication also can be made electronically, orally, and through the actions of management.

19. Communication by the entity of the financial reporting roles and responsibilities and of significant matters relating to financial reporting involves providing an understanding of individual roles and responsibilities pertaining to the system of internal control relevant to financial reporting. It may include such matters as the extent to which personnel understand how their activities in the information system relate to the work of others and the means of reporting exceptions to an appropriate higher level within the entity.

Control Activities

20. Controls in the control activities component consist of controls related to all the components of the entity’s system of internal control. Such controls include application information processing controls and general IT controls, both of which may be manual or automated in nature. The greater the extent of automated controls, or controls involving automated aspects, that management uses and relies on
in relation to its financial reporting, the more important it may become for the entity to implement general IT controls that address the continued functioning of the automated aspects of application information processing controls. Controls in the control activities component may pertain to the following:

- **Authorization and approvals.** An authorization affirms that a transaction is valid (i.e., it represents an actual economic event or is within an entity’s policy). An authorization typically takes the form of an approval by a higher level of management or of verification and a determination if the transaction is valid. For example, a supervisor approves an expense report after reviewing whether the expenses seem reasonable and within policy. An example of an automated approval is when an invoice unit cost is automatically compared with the related purchase order unit cost within a pre-established tolerance level. Invoices within the tolerance level are automatically approved for payment. Those invoices outside the tolerance level are flagged for additional investigation.

- **Reconciliations** – Reconciliations compare two or more data elements, and if differences are identified, action is taken to bring the data into agreement. Reconciliations generally address the completeness or accuracy of processing transactions.

- **Verifications** – Verifications compare two or more items with each other or compare an item with a policy, and may involve performing a follow-up action when the two items do not match or the item is not consistent with policy. Verifications generally address the completeness, accuracy, or validity of processing transactions.

- **Physical or logical controls, including those that address security of assets against unauthorized access, acquisition, use or disposal.** Controls that encompass:
  - The physical security of assets, including adequate safeguards such as secured facilities over access to assets and records.
  - The authorization for access to computer programs and data files (i.e., logical access).
  - The periodic counting and comparison with amounts shown on control records (for example, comparing the results of cash, security and inventory counts with accounting records).

The extent to which physical controls intended to prevent theft of assets are relevant to the reliability of financial statement preparation depends on circumstances such as when assets are highly susceptible to misappropriation.

- **Segregation of duties.** Assigning different people the responsibilities of authorizing transactions, recording transactions, and maintaining custody of assets. Segregation of duties is intended to reduce the opportunities to allow any person to be in a position to both perpetrate and conceal errors or fraud in the normal course of the person’s duties.

For example, a manager authorizing credit sales is not responsible for maintaining accounts receivable records or handling cash receipts. If one person is able to perform all these activities he or she could, for example, create a fictitious sale that could go undetected. Similarly, salespersons should not have the ability to modify product price files or commission rates.
Sometimes segregation is not practical, cost effective, or feasible. For example, smaller and less complex entities may lack sufficient resources to achieve ideal segregation, and the cost of hiring additional staff may be prohibitive. In these situations, management may institute alternative controls. In the example above, if the salesperson can modify product price files, a detective control activity can be put in place to have personnel unrelated to the sales function periodically review whether and under what circumstances the salesperson changed prices.

21. Certain controls in the control activities component may depend on the existence of appropriate supervisory controls established by management or those charged with governance. For example, authorization controls may be delegated under established guidelines, such as investment criteria set by those charged with governance; alternatively, non-routine transactions such as major acquisitions or divestments may require specific high-level approval, including in some cases that of shareholders.

Limitations of Internal Control

22. Internal control, no matter how effective, can provide an entity with only reasonable assurance about achieving the entity’s financial reporting objectives. The likelihood of their achievement is affected by the inherent limitations of internal control. These include the realities that human judgment in decision-making can be faulty and that breakdowns in internal control can occur because of human error. For example, there may be an error in the design of, or in the change to, a control. Equally, the operation of a control may not be effective, such as where information produced for the purposes of the system of internal control (for example, an exception report) is not effectively used because the individual responsible for reviewing the information does not understand its purpose or fails to take appropriate action.

23. Additionally, controls can be circumvented by the collusion of two or more people or inappropriate management override of internal control. For example, management may enter into side agreements with customers that alter the terms and conditions of the entity’s standard sales contracts, which may result in improper revenue recognition. Also, edit checks in an IT application that are designed to identify and report transactions that exceed specified credit limits may be overridden or disabled.

24. Further, in designing and implementing controls, management may make judgments on the nature and extent of the controls it chooses to implement, and the nature and extent of the risks it chooses to assume.
Considerations for Understanding an Entity’s Internal Audit Function

This appendix provides further matters considerations relating to understanding the entity’s internal audit function when such a function exists.

Objectives and Scope of the Internal Audit Function

1. The objectives and scope of an internal audit function, the nature of its responsibilities and its status within the organization, including the function’s authority and accountability, vary widely and depend on the size and structure of the entity and the requirements of management and, where applicable, those charged with governance. These matters may be set out in an internal audit charter or terms of reference.

2. The responsibilities of an internal audit function may include performing procedures and evaluating the results to provide assurance to management and those charged with governance regarding the design and effectiveness of risk management, the entity’s system of internal control and governance processes. If so, the internal audit function may play an important role in the entity’s process to monitor the entity’s system of internal control. However, the responsibilities of the internal audit function may be focused on evaluating the economy, efficiency and effectiveness of operations and, if so, the work of the function may not directly relate to the entity’s financial reporting.

Inquiries of the Internal Audit Function

3. If an entity has an internal audit function, inquiries of the appropriate individuals within the function may provide information that is useful to the auditor in obtaining an understanding of the entity and its environment, the applicable financial reporting framework and the entity’s system of internal control, and in identifying and assessing risks of material misstatement at the financial statement and assertion levels. In performing its work, the internal audit function is likely to have obtained insight into the entity’s operations and business risks, and may have findings based on its work, such as identified control deficiencies or risks, that may provide valuable input into the auditor’s understanding of the entity and its environment, the applicable financial reporting framework, and the system of internal control, the auditor’s risk assessments or other aspects of the audit. The auditor’s inquiries are therefore made whether or not the auditor expects to use the work of the internal audit function to modify the nature or timing, or reduce the extent, of audit procedures to be performed. Inquiries of particular relevance may be about matters the internal audit function has raised with those charged with governance and the outcomes of the function’s own risk assessment process.

4. If, based on responses to the auditor’s inquiries, it appears that there are findings that may be relevant to the entity’s financial reporting and the audit, the auditor may consider it appropriate to read related reports of the internal audit function. Examples of reports of the internal audit function that may be relevant include the function’s strategy and planning documents and reports that have been prepared

5 The relevant requirements are contained in ISA 610 (Revised 2013).
for management or those charged with governance describing the findings of the internal audit function's examinations.

5. In addition, in accordance with ISA 240, if the internal audit function provides information to the auditor regarding any actual, suspected or alleged fraud, the auditor takes this into account in the auditor’s identification of risk of material misstatement due to fraud.

6. Appropriate individuals within the internal audit function with whom inquiries are made are those who, in the auditor’s judgment, have the appropriate knowledge, experience and authority, such as the chief internal audit executive or, depending on the circumstances, other personnel within the function. The auditor may also consider it appropriate to have periodic meetings with these individuals.

Consideration of the Internal Audit Function in Understanding the Control Environment

7. In understanding the control environment, the auditor may consider how management has responded to the findings and recommendations of the internal audit function regarding identified control deficiencies relevant to the preparation of the financial statements, including whether and how such responses have been implemented, and whether they have been subsequently evaluated by the internal audit function.

Understanding the Role that the Internal Audit Function Plays in the Entity’s Process to Monitor the System of Internal Control.

8. If the nature of the internal audit function’s responsibilities and assurance activities are related to the entity’s financial reporting, the auditor may also be able to use the work of the internal audit function to modify the nature or timing, or reduce the extent, of audit procedures to be performed directly by the auditor in obtaining audit evidence. Auditors may be more likely to be able to use the work of an entity’s internal audit function when it appears, for example, based on experience in previous audits or the auditor’s risk assessment procedures, that the entity has an internal audit function that is adequately and appropriately resourced relative to the size of the entity and the nature of its operations, and has a direct reporting relationship to those charged with governance.

9. If, based on the auditor’s preliminary understanding of the internal audit function, the auditor expects to use the work of the internal audit function to modify the nature or timing, or reduce the extent, of audit procedures to be performed, ISA 610 (Revised 2013) applies.

10. As is further discussed in ISA 610 (Revised 2013), the activities of an internal audit function are distinct from other monitoring controls that may be relevant to financial reporting, such as reviews of management accounting information that are designed to contribute to how the entity prevents or detects misstatements.

11. Establishing communications with the appropriate individuals within an entity’s internal audit function early in the engagement, and maintaining such communications throughout the engagement, can facilitate effective sharing of information. It creates an environment in which the auditor can be informed of significant matters that may come to the attention of the internal audit function when such matters may affect the work of the auditor. ISA 200 discusses the importance of the auditor planning
and performing the audit with professional skepticism, including being alert to information that brings into question the reliability of documents and responses to inquiries to be used as audit evidence. Accordingly, communication with the internal audit function throughout the engagement may provide opportunities for internal auditors to bring such information to the auditor’s attention. The auditor is then able to take such information into account in the auditor’s identification and assessment of risks of material misstatement.
Considerations for Understanding Information Technology (IT)

This appendix provides further matters that the auditor may consider in understanding the entity’s use of IT in its system of internal control.

Understanding the Entity’s Use of Information Technology in the Components of the System of Internal Control

1. An entity’s system of internal control contains manual elements and automated elements (i.e., manual and automated controls and other resources used in the entity’s system of internal control). An entity’s mix of manual and automated elements varies with the nature and complexity of the entity’s use of IT. An entity’s use of IT affects the manner in which the information relevant to the preparation of the financial statements in accordance with the applicable financial reporting framework is processed, stored and communicated, and therefore affects the manner in which the system of internal control is designed and implemented. Each component of the system of internal control may use some extent of IT.

Generally, IT benefits an entity’s system of internal control by enabling an entity to:

- Consistently apply predefined business rules and perform complex calculations in processing large volumes of transactions or data;
- Enhance the timeliness, availability, and accuracy of information;
- Facilitate the additional analysis of information;
- Enhance the ability to monitor the performance of the entity’s activities and its policies and procedures;
- Reduce the risk that controls will be circumvented; and
- Enhance the ability to achieve effective segregation of duties by implementing security controls in IT applications, databases, and operating systems.

2. The characteristics of manual or automated elements are relevant to the auditor’s identification and assessment of the risks of material misstatement, and further audit procedures based thereon. Automated controls may be more reliable than manual controls because they cannot be as easily bypassed, ignored, or overridden, and they are also less prone to simple errors and mistakes. Automated controls may be more effective than manual controls in the following circumstances:

- High volume of recurring transactions, or in situations where errors that can be anticipated or predicted can be prevented, or detected and corrected, through automation
- Controls where the specific ways to perform the control can be adequately designed and automated.
3. The entity’s information system may include the use of manual and automated elements, which also affect the manner in which transactions are initiated, recorded, processed, and reported. In particular, procedures to initiate, record, process, and report transactions may be enforced through the IT applications used by the entity, and how the entity has configured those applications. In addition, records in the form of digital information may replace or supplement records in the form of paper documents.

4. In obtaining an understanding of the IT environment relevant to the flows of transactions and information processing in the information system, the auditor gathers information about the nature and characteristics of the IT applications used, as well as the supporting IT infrastructure and IT. The following table includes examples of matters that the auditor may consider in obtaining the understanding of the IT environment and includes examples of typical characteristics of IT environments based on the complexity of IT applications used in the entity’s information system. However, such characteristics are directional and may differ depending on the nature of the specific IT applications in use by an entity.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Matters related to extent of automation and use of data:</th>
<th>Non-complex commercial software</th>
<th>Mid-size and moderately complex commercial software or IT applications</th>
<th>Large or complex IT applications (e.g., ERP systems)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>· The extent of automated procedures for processing, and the complexity of those procedures, including, whether there is highly automated, paperless processing</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Extensive and often complex automated procedures</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>· The extent of the entity’s reliance on system-generated reports in the processing of information.</td>
<td>Simple automated report logic</td>
<td>Simple relevant automated report logic</td>
<td>Complex automated report logic; Report-writer software</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>· How data is input (i.e., manual input, customer or vendor input, or file load).</td>
<td>Manual data inputs</td>
<td>Small number of data inputs or simple interfaces</td>
<td>Large number of data inputs or complex interfaces</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
- How IT facilitates communication between applications, databases or other aspects of the IT environment, internally and externally, as appropriate, through system interfaces.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No automated interfaces (manual inputs only)</th>
<th>Small number of data inputs or simple interfaces</th>
<th>Large number of data inputs or complex interfaces</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Low volume of data or simple data that is able to be verified manually; Data available locally</td>
<td>Low volume of data or simple data</td>
<td>Low volume of data or complex data; Data warehouses; Use of internal or external IT service providers (e.g., third-party storage or hosting of data)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- The volume and complexity of data in digital form being processed by the information system, including whether accounting records or other information are stored in digital form and the location of stored data.

- The type of application (e.g., a commercial application with little or no customization, or a highly-customized or highly-integrated application that may have been purchased and customized, or developed in-house).

- The complexity of the nature of the IT applications and the underlying IT infrastructure.

---

A data warehouse is a central repository of integrated data from one or more disparate sources (such as multiple databases) from which reports may be generated or that may be used by the entity for other data analysis activities. A report-writer is an IT application that is used to extract data from one or more sources (such as a data warehouse, a database or an IT application) and present the data in a specified format.
- Whether there is third-party hosting or outsourcing of IT.
  - If outsourced, competent, mature, proven provider (e.g., cloud provider)
  - If outsourced, competent, mature, proven provider (e.g., cloud provider)
  - Competent, mature proven provider for certain applications and new or start-up provider for others

- Whether the entity is using emerging technologies that affect its financial reporting.
  - No use of emerging technologies
  - Limited use of emerging technologies in some applications
  - Mixed use of emerging technologies across platforms

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Matters related to IT processes:</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• The personnel involved in maintaining the IT environment (the number and skill level of the IT support resources that manage security and changes to the IT environment)</td>
<td>Few personnel with limited IT knowledge to process vendor upgrades and manage access</td>
<td>Limited personnel with IT skills / dedicated to IT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• The complexity of processes to manage access rights</td>
<td>Single individual with administrative access manages access rights</td>
<td>Few individuals with administrative access manages access rights</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• The complexity of the security over the IT environment, including vulnerability of the IT applications, databases, and other aspects of the IT environment to cyber risks, particularly when there are web-based transactions or transactions involving external interfaces.</td>
<td>Simple on-premise access with no external web-facing elements;</td>
<td>Some web-based applications with primarily simple, role-based security</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Whether program changes have been made to the manner in which information is processed, and the extent of such changes during the period.</td>
<td>Commercial software with no source code installed</td>
<td>Some commercial applications with no source code and other mature applications with a small number or simple changes; traditional systems development lifecycle</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
• The extent of change within the IT environment (e.g., new aspects of the IT environment or significant changes in the IT applications or the underlying IT infrastructure)

Changes limited to version upgrades of commercial software
Changes consist of commercial software upgrades, ERP version upgrades, or legacy enhancements
New or large number or complex changes, several development cycles each year, heavy ERP customization

• Whether there was a major data conversion during the period and, if so, the nature and significance of the changes made, and how the conversion was undertaken.

Software upgrades provided by vendor. No data conversion features for upgrade.
Minor version upgrades for commercial software applications with limited data being converted
Major version upgrade, new release, platform change

Emerging Technologies

5. Entities may use emerging technologies (e.g., blockchain, robotics or artificial intelligence) because such technologies may present specific opportunities to increase operational efficiencies or enhance financial reporting. When emerging technologies are used in the entity’s information system relevant to the preparation of the financial statements, the auditor may include such technologies in the identification of IT applications and other aspects of the IT environment that are subject to risks arising from the use of IT. While emerging technologies may be seen to be more sophisticated or more complex compared to existing technologies, the auditor’s responsibilities in relation to IT applications and identified general IT controls in accordance with paragraph 39 remain unchanged.

Scalability

6. Obtaining an understanding of the entity’s IT environment may be more easily accomplished for a less complex entity that uses commercial software and when the entity does not have access to the source code to make any program changes. Such entities may not have dedicated IT resources but may have a person assigned in an administrator role for the purpose of granting employee access or installing vendor-provided updates to the IT applications. Specific matters that the auditor may consider in understanding the nature of a commercial accounting software package, which may be the single IT application used by a less complex entity in its information system, may include:

• The extent to which the software is well established and has a reputation for reliability;

• The extent to which it is possible for the entity to modify the source code of the software, to include additional modules (i.e., add-ons) to the base software, or to make direct changes to data; and

• The nature and extent of modifications that have been made to the software. Although an entity may not be able to modify the source code of the software, many software packages allow for configuration (e.g., setting or amending reporting parameters). These do not usually involve modifications to source code; however, the auditor may consider the extent to which the entity
is able to configure the software when considering the completeness and accuracy of information produced by the software that is used as audit evidence; and.

- The extent to which data related to the preparation of the financial statements can be directly accessed (i.e., direct access to the database without using the IT application) and the volume of data that is processed. The greater the volume of data, the more likely the entity may need controls that address maintaining the integrity of the data, which may include general IT controls over unauthorized access and changes to the data.

7. Complex IT environments may include highly-customized or highly-integrated IT applications and may therefore require more effort to understand. Financial reporting processes or IT applications may be integrated with other IT applications. Such integration may involve IT applications that are used in the entity’s business operations and that provide information to the IT applications relevant to the flows of transactions and information processing in the entity's information system. In such circumstances, certain IT applications used in the entity’s business operations may also be relevant to the preparation of the financial statements. Complex IT environments also may require dedicated IT departments that have structured IT processes supported by personnel that have software development and IT environment maintenance skills. In other cases, an entity may use internal or external service providers to manage certain aspects of, or IT processes within, its IT environment (e.g., third-party hosting).

Identifying IT Applications that are Subject to Risks Arising from the use of IT

8. Through understanding the nature and complexity of the entity’s IT environment, including the nature and extent of information processing controls, the auditor may determine which IT applications the entity is relying upon to accurately process and maintain the integrity of financial information. The identification of IT applications on which the entity relies, may affect the auditor’s decision to test the automated controls within such IT applications, also assuming that such automated controls address identified risks of material misstatement. Conversely, if the entity is not relying on an IT application, the automated controls within such IT application are unlikely to be appropriate or sufficiently precise for purposes of operating effectiveness tests. Automated controls that may be identified in accordance with paragraph 39(a) may include, for example, automated calculations or input, processing and output controls, such as a three-way match of a purchase order, vendor shipping document, and vendor invoice. System-generated reports that the auditor may intend to use as audit evidence may include, for example, a trade receivable aging report or an inventory valuation report. When automated controls are identified by the auditor and the auditor determines through the understanding of the IT environment that the entity is relying on the IT application that includes those automated controls, it may be more likely for the auditor to identify the IT application as one that is subject to risks arising from the use of IT.

9. In considering whether the IT applications in which the auditor has identified automated controls exist and reports are generated are subject to risks arising from the use of IT, the auditor is likely to consider whether, and the extent to which, the entity may have access to source code that enables management to make program changes to such controls or the IT applications. The extent to which the entity makes program and/or configuration changes and the extent to which the IT
processes over such changes are formalized may also be relevant considerations. The auditor is also likely to consider the risk of inappropriate access or changes to data.

10. System-generated reports that the auditor may intend to use as audit evidence may include, for example, a trade receivable aging report or an inventory valuation report. For system-generated reports to be used as audit evidence such reports, the auditor may obtain audit evidence about the completeness and accuracy of the reports by substantively testing the inputs and outputs of the report. In other cases, the auditor may plan to test the operating effectiveness of the controls over the preparation and maintenance of the report, in which case the IT application from which it is produced is likely to be subject to risks arising from the use of IT. In addition to testing the completeness and accuracy of the report, the auditor may plan to test the operating effectiveness of general IT controls that address risks related to inappropriate or unauthorized program changes to, or data changes in, the report.

11. Some IT applications may include report-writing functionality within them while some entities may also utilize separate report-writing applications (i.e., report-writers). In such cases, the auditor may need to determine the sources of system-generated reports (i.e., the application that prepares the report and the data sources used by the report) to determine the IT applications subject to risks arising from the use of IT.

12. The data sources used by IT applications may be databases that, for example, can only be accessed through the IT application or by IT personnel with database administration privileges. In other cases, the data source may be a data warehouse that may itself be considered to be an IT application subject to risks arising from the use of IT.

13. The auditor may have identified a risk for which substantive procedures alone are not sufficient because of the entity’s use of highly-automated and paperless processing of transactions, which may involve multiple integrated IT applications. In such circumstances, the controls identified by the auditor are likely to include automated controls. Further, the entity may be relying on general IT controls to maintain the integrity of the transactions processed and other information used in processing. In such cases, the IT applications involved in the processing and the storage of the information are likely subject to risks arising from the use of IT.

End-User Computing

14. Although audit evidence may also come in the form of system-generated output that is used in a calculation performed in an end-user computing tool (e.g., spreadsheet software or simple databases), such tools are not typically identified as IT applications in the context of paragraph 39(b). Designing and implementing controls around access and change to end-user computing tools may be challenging, and such controls are rarely equivalent to, or as effective as, general IT controls. Rather, the auditor may consider a combination of information processing controls, taking into account the purpose and complexity of the end-user computing involved, such as:

- Information processing controls over the initiation and processing of the source data, including relevant automated or interface controls to the point from which the data is extracted (i.e., the data warehouse):
• Controls to check that the logic is functioning as intended, for example, controls which 'prove' the extraction of data, such as reconciling the report to the data from which it was derived, comparing the individual data from the report to the source and vice versa, and controls which check the formulas or macros; or

• Use of validation software tools, which systematically check formulas or macros, such as spreadsheet integrity tools.

Scalability

15. The entity’s ability to maintain the integrity of information stored and processed in the information system may vary based on the complexity and volume of the related transactions and other information. The greater the complexity and volume of data that supports a significant class of transactions, account balance or disclosure, the less likely it may become for the entity to maintain integrity of that information through information processing controls alone (e.g., input and output controls or review controls). It also becomes less likely that the auditor will be able to obtain audit evidence about the completeness and accuracy of such information through substantive testing alone when such information is used as audit evidence. In some circumstances, when volume and complexity of transactions are lower, management may have an information processing control that is sufficient to verify the accuracy and completeness of the data (e.g., individual sales orders processed and billed may be reconciled to the hard copy originally entered into the IT application). When the entity relies on general IT controls to maintain the integrity of certain information used by IT applications, the auditor may determine that the IT applications that maintain that information are subject to risks arising from the use of IT.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Example characteristics of an IT application that is likely not subject to risks arising from IT</th>
<th>Example characteristics of an IT application that is likely subject to risks arising from IT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Standalone applications</td>
<td>• Applications are interfaced.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• The volume of data (transactions) is not significant.</td>
<td>• The volume of data (transactions) is significant/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• The application’s functionality is not complex.</td>
<td>• The application’s functionality is complex as</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Each transaction is supported by original hard copy documentation.</td>
<td>– The application automatically initiates transactions; and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>– There are multi-factor transactions, and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>– There are a variety of complex calculations underlying automated entries.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
IT application is likely not subject to risks arising from IT because:

- The volume of data is not significant and therefore management is not relying upon general IT controls to process or maintain the data.
- Management does not rely on automated controls or other automated functionality. The auditor has not identified automated controls in accordance with paragraph 39(a).
- Although management uses system-generated reports in their controls, they do not rely on these reports. Instead, they reconcile the reports back to the hard copy documentation and verify the calculations in the reports.
- We will directly test information produced by the entity used as audit evidence.

IT application is likely subject to risks arising from IT because:

- Management relies on an application system to process or maintain data as the volume of data is significant.
- Management relies upon the application system to perform certain automated controls that the auditor has also identified.

Other Aspects of the IT Environment that May Be Are Subject to Risks Arising from the Use of IT

16. When the auditor identifies IT applications that are subject to risks arising from the use of IT, other aspects of the IT environment are also typically subject to risks arising from the use of IT. The IT infrastructure includes the databases, network, operating system, and network and databases. Databases store the data used by IT applications and may consist of many interrelated data tables. Data in databases may also be accessed directly through database management systems by IT or other personnel with database administration privileges. The operating system is responsible for managing communications between hardware, IT applications, and other software used in the network. As such, IT applications and databases may be directly accessed through the operating system. A network is used in the IT infrastructure to transmit data and to share information, resources and services through a common communications link. The network also typically establishes a layer of logical security (enabled through the operating system) for access to the underlying resources. The operating system is responsible for managing communications between hardware, IT applications, and other software used in the network. Databases store the data used by IT applications and may consist of many interrelated data tables. Data in databases may also be accessed directly through database management systems by IT or other personnel with database administration privileges.

17. When IT applications are identified by the auditor to be subject to risks arising from IT, the database(s) that stores the data processed by an identified IT application is typically also identified. Similarly, because an IT application’s ability to operate is often dependent on the operating system and IT
applications and databases may be directly accessed from the operating system, the operating system is typically subject to risks arising from the use of IT. The network may be identified when it is a central point of access to the identified IT applications and related databases or when an IT application interacts with vendors or external parties through the internet, or when web-facing IT applications are identified by the auditor.

**Identifying Risks arising from the Use of IT and General IT Controls**

18. Examples of risks arising from the use of IT include risks related to inappropriate reliance on IT applications that are inaccurately processing data, processing inaccurate data, or both, such as

- Unauthorized access to data that may result in destruction of data or improper changes to data, including the recording of unauthorized or non-existent transactions, or inaccurate recording of transactions. Particular risks may arise where multiple users access a common database.
- The possibility of IT personnel gaining access privileges beyond those necessary to perform their assigned duties thereby breaking down segregation of duties.
- Unauthorized changes to data in master files.
- Unauthorized changes to IT applications or other aspects of the IT environment.
- Failure to make necessary changes to IT applications or other aspects of the IT environment.
- Inappropriate manual intervention.
- Potential loss of data or inability to access data as required.

19. The auditor’s consideration of unauthorized access may include risks related to unauthorized access by internal or external parties (often referred to as cybersecurity risks). Such risks may not necessarily affect financial reporting, as an entity’s IT environment may also include IT applications and related data that address operational or compliance needs. It is important to note that cyber incidents usually first occur through the perimeter and internal network layers, which tend to be further removed from the IT application, database and operating systems that affect the preparation of the financial statements. Accordingly, if information about a security breach has been identified, the auditor ordinarily first considers the extent to which such a breach had the potential to affect financial reporting. If financial reporting may be affected, the auditor may decide to understand, and test the related controls to determine the possible impact or scope of potential misstatements in the financial statements or may determine that the entity has provided adequate disclosures in relation to such security breach. For an identified IT application or other aspect of the IT environment, the auditor’s consideration of such risks may include, for example, consideration of the entity’s IT processes and general IT controls that address privileged account access, data security, or customer/vendor access management.

20. In addition, laws and regulations that may have a direct or indirect effect on the entity’s financial statements may include data protection legislation. Considering an entity’s compliance with such laws or regulations, in accordance with ISA 250 (Revised), may involve understanding the entity’s IT

---

8 ISA 250, *Consideration of Laws and Regulations in an Audit of Financial Statements*
processes and general IT controls that the entity has implemented to address the relevant laws or regulations.

21. General IT controls are implemented to address risks arising from the use of IT. Accordingly, the auditor uses the understanding obtained about the identified IT applications and other aspects of the IT environment and the related applicable risks arising from the use of IT in determining the general IT controls to identify. In some cases, an entity may use common IT processes across its IT environment or across certain IT applications, in which case common risks arising from the use of IT and common general IT controls may be identified.

22. In general, a greater number of general IT controls related to IT applications and databases are likely to be identified than for other aspects of the IT environment. This is because these aspects are the most closely concerned with the information processing and storage of information and most subject to automated controls used in the entity’s information system. In identifying general IT controls, the auditor may consider controls over actions of both end users and of the entity’s IT personnel or IT service providers.

23. Appendix 6 provides further explanation of the nature of the general IT controls typically implemented for different aspects of the IT environment. In addition, examples of general IT controls for different IT processes are provided.
Appendix 6

Considerations for Understanding General IT Controls

This appendix provides further matters that the auditor may consider in understanding general IT controls.

1. The nature of the general IT controls (GITCs) typically implemented for each of the aspects of the IT environment
   (a) Applications

   General IT controls at the IT application layer will correlate to the nature and extent of application functionality and the access paths allowed in the technology. For example, more controls will be relevant for highly-integrated IT applications with complex security options than a legacy IT application supporting a small number of account balances with access methods only through transactions.

   (b) Database

   General IT controls at the database layer typically address risks arising from the use of IT related to unauthorized updates to financial reporting information in the database through direct database access or execution of a script or program.

   (c) Operating system

   General IT controls at the operating system layer typically address risks arising from the use of IT related to administrative access, which can facilitate the override of other controls. This includes actions such as compromising other user’s credentials, adding new, unauthorized users, loading malware or executing scripts or other unauthorized programs.

   (d) Network

   General IT controls at the network layer typically address risks arising from the use of IT related to network segmentation, remote access, and authentication. Network controls may be relevant when an entity has web-facing applications used in financial reporting. Network controls are also may be relevant when the entity has significant business partner relationships or third-party outsourcing, which may increase data transmissions and the need for remote access.

2. Examples of general IT controls that may be exist by IT process include:
   (a) Process to manage access:

      o **Authentication**

      Controls that ensure a user accessing the IT application or other aspect of the IT environment is using their own log-in credentials (i.e., the user is not using another user’s credentials).
Authorization
Controls that allow users to access the information necessary for their job responsibilities and nothing further, which facilitates appropriate segregation of duties.

Provisioning
Controls to authorize new users and modifications to existing users’ access privileges.

Deprovisioning
Controls to remove user access upon termination or transfer.

Privileged access
Controls over administrative or powerful users’ access.

User access reviews
Controls to recertify or evaluate user access for ongoing authorization over time.

Security configuration controls
Each technology generally has key configuration settings that help restrict access to the environment.

Physical access
Controls over physical access to the data center and hardware, as such access may be used to override other controls.

(b) Process to manage program or other changes to the IT environment

Change management process
Controls over the process to design, program, test and migrate changes to a production (i.e., end user) environment.

Segregation of duties over change migration
Controls that segregate access to make and migrate changes to a production environment.

Systems development or acquisition or implementation
Controls over initial IT application development or implementation (or in relation to other aspects of the IT environment).

Data conversion
Controls over the conversion of data during development, implementation or upgrades to the IT environment.

(c) Process to manage IT Operations

Job scheduling
Controls over access to schedule and initiate jobs or programs that may affect financial reporting.

- **Job monitoring**
  Controls to monitor financial reporting jobs or programs for successful execution.

- **Backup and recovery**
  Controls to ensure backups of financial reporting data occur as planned and that such data is available and able to be accessed for timely recovery in the event of an outage or attack.

- **Intrusion detection**
  Controls to monitor for vulnerabilities and or intrusions in the IT environment

3. The table below includes examples of general IT controls that may be identified to address example risks arising from the use of IT based on the nature of the identified IT application.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>IT Process</th>
<th>Example Risks Arising from the Use of IT</th>
<th>Example General IT Controls</th>
<th>Non-complex commercial software</th>
<th>Mid-size and moderately complex commercial software or IT applications</th>
<th>Large or complex IT applications (e.g., ERP systems)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Manage Access</td>
<td>User-access privileges: Users have access privileges beyond those necessary to perform their assigned duties, which may create improper segregation of duties.</td>
<td>Management approves the nature and extent of user-access privileges for new and modified user access, including standard application profiles/roles, critical financial reporting transactions, and segregation of duties.</td>
<td>X – instead of user access reviews below</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Access for terminated and/or transferred users is removed or modified in a timely manner.</td>
<td>X – instead of user access reviews below</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>User access is periodically reviewed.</td>
<td>X – instead of provisioning/</td>
<td>X for certain applications</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note:** The table below is NEW and has been added since the June version of the Appendices shared with the Board – and has not been shown as a marked change.
| Manage Access | Direct data access: Inappropriate changes are made directly to financial data through means other than application transactions. | Segregation of duties is monitored and conflicting access is either removed or mapped to mitigating controls, which are documented and tested. | Deprovisioning controls above | X for certain applications |
| Manage Access | System settings: Systems are not adequately configured or updated to restrict system access to properly authorized and appropriate users. | Privileged-level access (e.g., configuration, data and security administrators) is authorized and appropriately restricted. | N/A – no system enabled segregation | X at all layers of IT environment for platform |
| | Access to application data files and/or database objects/tables/data is limited to authorized personnel, based on their job responsibilities and assigned role, and such access is approved by management. | N/A | X at IT application layer only | |
| | Access is authenticated through unique user IDs and passwords or other methods as a mechanism for validating that users are authorized to gain access to the system. Password parameters meet company and/or industry standards (e.g., password minimum length and complexity, expiration, account lockout). | X – likely at IT application layer only | X at IT application and certain layers of IT environment for platform | |
| | The key attributes of the security configuration are appropriately implemented. | N/A – no technical security configurations exist | X for certain applications and databases | |
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<p>| Manage Change | Application changes: Inappropriate changes are made to application systems or programs that contain relevant automated controls (i.e., configurable settings, automated algorithms, automated calculations, and automated data extraction) and/or report logic. | Application changes are appropriately tested and approved before being moved into the production environment. | N/A—would verify no source code installed | X for non-commercial software | X |
| Manage Change | Database changes: Inappropriate changes are made to the database structure and relationships between the data. | Database changes are appropriately tested and approved before being moved into the production environment. | N/A—no database changes made at entity | X for non-commercial software | X |
| Manage Change | System software changes: Inappropriate changes are made to system software (e.g., operating system, network, change-management software, access-control software). | System software changes are appropriately tested and approved before being moved to production. | N/A—no system software changes are made at entity | X | X |
| Manage Change | Data conversion: Data converted from legacy systems or previous versions introduces data errors if the conversion transfers incomplete, redundant, | Management approves the results of the conversion of data (e.g., balancing and reconciliation activities) from the old application system or data structure to the new application system or data structure and monitors that the | N/A—Addressed through manual controls | X | X |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>IT Operations</th>
<th>obsolete, or inaccurate data.</th>
<th>conversion is performed in accordance with established conversion policies and procedures.</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Network</td>
<td>Network: The network does not adequately prevent unauthorized users from gaining inappropriate access to information systems.</td>
<td>Access is authenticated through unique user IDs and passwords or other methods as a mechanism for validating that users are authorized to gain access to the system. Password parameters meet company and/or professional policies and standards (e.g., password minimum length and complexity, expiration, account lockout).</td>
<td>N/A – no separate network authentication method exists</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Network is architected to segment web-facing applications from the internal network, where ICFR relevant applications are accessed.</td>
<td>Network is architected to segment web-facing applications from the internal network, where ICFR relevant applications are accessed.</td>
<td>N/A – no network segmentation employed</td>
<td>X - with judgment</td>
<td>X - with judgment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>On a periodic basis, vulnerability scans of the network perimeter are performed by the network management team, which also investigates potential vulnerabilities.</td>
<td>On a periodic basis, vulnerability scans of the network perimeter are performed by the network management team, which also investigates potential vulnerabilities.</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>X - with judgment</td>
<td>X - with judgment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>On a periodic basis, alerts are generated to provide notification of threats identified by the intrusion detection systems. These threats are investigated by the network management team.</td>
<td>On a periodic basis, alerts are generated to provide notification of threats identified by the intrusion detection systems. These threats are investigated by the network management team.</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>X - with judgment</td>
<td>X - with judgment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IT Operations</td>
<td>Data backup and recovery: Financial data cannot be recovered or accessed in a timely manner when there is a loss of data.</td>
<td>Financial data is backed up on a regular basis according to an established schedule and frequency.</td>
<td>N/A – no VPN</td>
<td>X - with judgment</td>
<td>X - with judgment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IT Operations</td>
<td>Job scheduling: Production systems, programs, and/or jobs result in inaccurate, incomplete, or unauthorized processing of data.</td>
<td>Only authorized users have access to update the batch jobs (including interface jobs) in the job scheduling software.</td>
<td>N/A – no batch jobs</td>
<td>X for certain applications</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Critical systems, programs, and/or jobs are monitored, and processing errors are corrected to ensure successful completion.</td>
<td>N/A – no job monitoring</td>
<td>X for certain applications</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>