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Project Objective: Develop overarching standard

Responsive to the public interest need for a *timely* standard that supports consistent performance of quality sustainability assurance engagements

Suitable across all sustainability topics, information about these topics and reporting frameworks

Can be implemented by all assurance practitioners
## Public Interest Framework: Relevant Qualitative Characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Qualitative Standard-Setting Characteristics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Scalability</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Addresses both less and more complex circumstances</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Timeliness</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Addressing identified needs without sacrificing quality</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Comprehensiveness</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Limiting the extent of exceptions from the principles</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Focused on the Targeted Actions of the Project Proposal
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Progress to Date

December 2021
Information gathering on sustainability assurance commenced

September 2022
Project proposal approved

December 2022
Proposed ISSA 5000 requirements and application material addressing priority areas

March 2023
All requirements and application material

Ongoing engagement with key stakeholders
## Progress to date

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ISSA 5000 General Requirements for Sustainability Assurance Engagements</th>
<th>Dec 2022</th>
<th>Mar 2023</th>
<th>Jun 2023</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Introduction</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Objectives</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Definitions</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part 1: Conduct of an Assurance Engagement in Accordance with ISSA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part 2: Fundamental Concepts, General Principles and Overarching Requirements</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part 3: Acceptance and Continuance</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part 4: Evidence and Documentation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part 5: Planning</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part 6: Risk Identification and Assessment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part 7: Responding to Assessed Risks of Material Misstatement</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part 8: Concluding</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part 8.1: Consideration of Identified Misstatements</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part 8.2: Evaluating the Description of Applicable Criteria</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part 8.3: Subsequent Events</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part 8.4: Written Representations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part 8.5: Other Information</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part 8.6: Forming the Assurance Conclusion</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part 9: Reporting</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illustrative Reports</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Priority Areas: How they have been addressed

- Limited assurance versus reasonable assurance
- Suitability of criteria
- Scope of the engagement
- Evidence
- System of Internal Control
- Practitioners’ Materiality
Priority Area: Limited vs Reasonable Assurance

The difference in work effort between limited and reasonable assurance needs to be clear.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>How addressed?</th>
<th>Where addressed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Proposed ISSA 5000</td>
<td>Throughout ISSA 5000 and specifically in</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Covers both reasonable and limited assurance engagements</td>
<td>Part 6: Risks of Material Misstatement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Clearly signposts the difference in work effort between limited and reasonable assurance, focusing on risk and response</td>
<td>Part 7: Responding to Assessed Risks of Material Misstatement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Letters (R) and (L) used as paragraph number suffix to indicate which material applies to the respective engagements</td>
<td>Do the Representatives support the approach in proposed ISSA 5000 to differentiate limited and reasonable assurance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Columnar format to distinguish requirements for limited and reasonable assurance</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

How addressed? | Where addressed |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Proposed ISSA 5000</td>
<td>Throughout ISSA 5000 and specifically in</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Covers both reasonable and limited assurance engagements</td>
<td>Part 6: Risks of Material Misstatement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Clearly signposts the difference in work effort between limited and reasonable assurance, focusing on risk and response</td>
<td>Part 7: Responding to Assessed Risks of Material Misstatement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Letters (R) and (L) used as paragraph number suffix to indicate which material applies to the respective engagements</td>
<td>Do the Representatives support the approach in proposed ISSA 5000 to differentiate limited and reasonable assurance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Columnar format to distinguish requirements for limited and reasonable assurance</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Priority Area: Suitability of Criteria

The suitability of the reporting criteria

How addressed?
Proposed ISSA 5000

• Requires evaluation of the suitability of the criteria the entity will apply and availability to users

• Provides detailed application material on each characteristic of suitable criteria and sources of criteria

• Recognises that there may be many sources of criteria being applied

• Addresses availability of criteria when concluding and reporting

• The Task Force will further consider references to double materiality in the proposed standard

Where addressed

• Part 3 Acceptance and Continuance of the Assurance Engagement

• Part 5 Planning

• Part 8 Concluding

• Part 9 Reporting

Do the Representatives support the approach in proposed ISSA 5000 to address suitability of criteria
Priority Area - Scope

The scope of the assurance engagement

How addressed
Proposed ISSA 5000

- Requires an evaluation of the appropriateness of both the scope of the sustainability information and the assurance engagement, which is relevant to evaluating rational purpose

- Includes specific requirements to recognize that some sustainability information may be excluded from the scope of the engagement

- Application material on the entity’s process to identify sustainability topics and aspects of topics to be reported

- Clarifies that scope is explained in the report

Where addressed
- Part 3 Acceptance and Continuance of the Engagement
- Part 9 Reporting

Do the Representatives support the approach in proposed ISSA 5000 to address the scope of the engagement
Priority Area - Evidence

Evidence, including the reliability of information and what comprises sufficient appropriate evidence

How addressed
- Overarching principles regarding evidence were adapted from ED-500, which reflects the latest thinking about the relevance and reliability of information intended to be used as evidence
- Proposed ISSA 5000 has included application material discussing challenges in obtaining relevant and reliable evidence related to qualitative information, forward-looking information, and estimates (based on EER guidance)

Where addressed
Throughout the ISSA 5000 and specifically in
Part 4 Evidence and Documentation
Part 8.6 Forming a Conclusion

Do the Representatives support the approach in proposed ISSA 5000 to address evidence
Priority Area – System of Internal Control

The entity’s system of internal control and its impact on the ability of the practitioner to obtain sufficient appropriate evidence

How addressed
Proposed ISSA 5000

- Understanding the entity’s control environment is required for both limited (some components) and reasonable assurance (all components)
- Provides more detailed requirements that specify what needs to be understood or evaluated from the components of internal control
- Requires tests of operating effectiveness if the practitioner is planning to rely on internal controls (updated ISAE 3000 (Revised) using ISA 315 (Revised 2019) and ISAE 3410)
- Addresses the challenges arising from the level of maturity of the entity’s processes to prepare the sustainability information

Where addressed

Part 3: Acceptance and Continuance of the Assurance Engagement
Part 4: Evidence and Documentation
Part 6: Risks of Material Misstatement
Part 7: Responding to Assessed Risks of Material Misstatement and also other parts.

Do the Representatives support the approach in proposed ISSA 5000 to address internal control
Priority Area - Materiality

Materiality in the context of the assurance engagement, including materiality in the context of narrative and qualitative information

How addressed
Proposed ISSA 5000
- Does not distinguish between level of assurance when considering materiality
- Provides qualitative and quantitative factors to consider
- Requires the practitioner to consider whether performance materiality is needed for quantitative information

Where addressed?
Part 5  Planning
Part 8.1 Consideration of Identified Misstatements

Do the Representatives support the approach in proposed ISSA 5000 to address materiality
Other Key Areas: Definitions

How addressed

• Definitions were individually evaluated and were only included if necessary to support consistent interpretation and application

• Definitions were generally not amended from original source as far as possible (e.g., underlying subject matter, applicable criteria), but further explanation sometimes given (e.g., sustainability information is the equivalent of subject matter information)

• The term “engagement leader” in ISSA 5000 is intended to be the equivalent of “engagement partner” in ISQM 1

• Continue engagement with IESBA to align definitions/terms

Where addressed

Definitions

Do the Representatives support the approach in proposed ISSA 5000 regarding the definitions
Other Key Areas: Quality Management

**How addressed**

Proposed ISSA 5000

- Requires the practitioner to be a member of a firm that is subject to ISQM 1

- The members of the engagement team and the engagement quality control reviewer are subject to relevant ethical requirements, ordinarily being the provisions of the IESBA Code related to assurance engagements

- Adopts the applicable requirements of ISA 220 (Revised), especially in relation to the overall responsibilities of the Engagement Leader for managing the quality of the engagement, including the direction and supervision of members of the engagement team and review of their work

- Includes requirements related to sufficient appropriate involvement in the work of others, including experts and other practitioners

**Where addressed**

*Part 2: Fundamental Concepts, General Principles and Overarching Requirements*

Do the Representatives support the approach in proposed ISSA 5000 to address quality management, including relevant ethical requirements?
Other Key Areas: Reporting

How addressed

Proposed ISSA 5000

- Requires basic content elements that follow the flow of the assurance report (similar to ISA 700 (Revised))
- Requires the engagement leader’s name in the assurance report for listed entities
- Addresses that assurance on other reporting responsibilities required by law and regulations can be incorporated into the assurance report
- Includes a separate section regarding practitioner responsibilities for other information (using the approach from ISA 720 (Revised))
- Does not require KAM (Key Sustainability Assurance Matters) – will ask question on exposure

Where addressed

Part 8.5: Other Information
Part 8.6: Forming the Assurance Conclusion
Part 9: Reporting

Are there any other matters related to reporting that the Representatives suggest the SATF should consider?
Way forward

H2 2023
Exposure Draft (ED)

H1 2024
Comment period for ED closes

Targeted stakeholder outreach during the ED period

H2 2024
Approve final standard

Ongoing engagement with key stakeholders
Are there any other matters related to proposed ISSA 5000 that the Representatives suggest the SATF should consider?
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